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# Introduction

Four email discussions have been sanctioned in RAN1#101-e on initial access procedures for NR-U based on contributions submitted to this meeting [1]-[13]. This second discussion that aims to converge by 5/29 has the following scope:

[101-e-NR-unlic-NRU-InitAccessProc-02] Email discussion/approval on the following issues from R1-2003306 until 5/29; if necessary endorse associated TPs by 6/4 – Amitav (Charter)

* (#3.2) For the minimum value N of the MsgA PRACH-PUSCH gap in NR-U, select one of the alternatives in RAN1 #101-e:
  + Alt 1: N=2 (same as licensed operation)
  + Alt 2: N can be smaller than 2 for identified cases, and N=2 for the rest
    - For example, N = [0 or 1] when MsgA PUSCH has the same SCS and bandwith as MsgA PRACH
    - Note: it can be further discussed whether existing CP extension scheme can be applicable for the identified cases

These issues have been selected based on the preparatory discussion summarized in [14].

# Company views

It is proposed to first converge on either Alt. 1 or Alt. 2 before discussing TPs.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Views** |
| Samsung | Support Alt. 1  Alt.2 can be beneficial over Alt.1 only when all the following conditions are satisfied:   * Single beam operation or PRACH format B4 with particular RACH configuration (not more than 50%) * Same SCS and bandwidth of PRACH and PUSCH * LBT for PRACH succeeds but LBT for PUSCH fails in Alt.1   From our perspective, the chance of all the above conditions being satisfied is very low, so Alt.2 is just an enhancement for a corner case, which is not essential at this stage. Also note that Alt.2 introduces bias of SSBs in the case of multiple ROs in a slot, and leads to different operation on licensed and unlicensed spectrum (an implementation change comparing to licensed spectrum), with the only benefit of single LBT procedure.  More detailed reasoning can be found in our contribution R1-2003861. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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