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This document has the following question.

* A. How to indicate MCS table to be used for PSSCH?

# **A. How to indicate MCS table to be used for PSSCH?**

Based on the submitted contributions, there are the following alternatives and supporting companies.

* Alt A-1. Indication by 1st SCI, if more than one MCS tables are configured
	+ [Huawei, HiSilicon], [MediaTek], [LGE], [CATT], [CMCC], [InterDigital]
* Alt A-2. Only 1 table is configured per resource pool
	+ [ZTE, Sanechips]
* Alt A-3. Only 1 table is configured per resource pool. This can be overwritten by PC5-RRC.
	+ [Intel], [Apple], [NTT DCM]
* Alt A-4. Indication by 2nd SCI, if more than one MCS tables are configured
	+ [Futurewei], [Ericsson]

Based on the contributions, the following proposal can be made.

*Proposal 1. The MCS table is indicated by Indication by 1st SCI, if more than one MCS tables are configured (multiple MCS tables can be (pre-)configured per resource pool.*

Please share your views if Proposal 1 is agreeable or, if not, please share your views on the reason why it is not workable.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Views** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |