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# Introduction

Three email discussions have been sanctioned in RAN1#100b-e on initial access procedures for NR-U. This second discussion that aims to converge by 4/24 has the following scope:

[100b-e-NR-unlic-NRU-InitAccessProc-02] Email discussion/approval on following issues related to RA procedure by 4/24; if necessary, followed by endorsing the corresponding TPs by 4/29 – Amitav (Charter)

* MsgA PRACH-PUSCH gap for NR-U
* Remaining details of RACH occasion validation for FBE access

These issues have been selected based on the preparatory discussion summarized in [14].

# Company views

## MsgA PRACH-PUSCH gap for NR-U

Proposal 1: Apply the same PRACH-PUSCH gap defined in R16 to msgA PRACH for NR-U.

Proposal 2: Apply CP extension to PUSCH to enable no-gap msgA.

Proposal 3: Support a zero symbol gap (N = 0) between the PRACH and PUSCH parts of MsgA

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Views** |
| ZTE | Among the 3 proposals, we prefer Proposal 3. We agree that symbol gap N=0 is beneficial to NRU LBT, but we think this has already been supported in 38.213, i.e. N should be 2 or 4 for licensed band, and it can be derived that N could be 0 or any other value for NRU, thus we don’t need to make any further modifications. I don’t think we should limit N=0 for NRU to decrease the configuration flexibility.  As for proposal 1, it’s against the agreement made in 2-step RACH WI.  Proposal 2 is an optimization issue.  In 38.213:  For a Type-2 random access procedure, a UE transmits a PUSCH, when applicable, after transmitting a PRACH. The UE encodes a transport block provided for the PUSCH transmission using redundancy version number 0. If *useInterlacePUSCH-Common* is not provided, the PUSCH transmission is after the PRACH transmission by at least symbols where for or , for or , and is the SCS configuration for the active UL BWP. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## RACH occasion validation for FBE access #1

Proposal: To accommodate DL processing time at UE, a PRACH resource is considered invalid if it overlaps with the first X symbols at the front of each FFP when FBE operation is indicated, where X could be configured or fixed in spec.

FL suggestion is to first discuss the above proposal before moving to potential TP.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Views** |
| ZTE | We don’t agree with this proposal, it’s not reasonable to define a ‘X’ to indicate the possible SSB or other DL signal position which shouldn’t be limited at the front of a FFP. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## RACH occasion validation for FBE access #2

Update TS 38.213 for RACH occasion validation in FBE mode when UE is not provided tdd-UL-DL-ConfigurationCommon, and for Type-2 RA procedure.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Views** |
| ZTE | Support. We suggest to adopt the TP7 in [3]. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Proposal**: A PRACH resource in the channel occupancy of a Fixed Frame Period is valid only if a UE detects any DL transmission in the serving cell before the PRACH resource in the same FFP.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Views** |
| ZTE | Support. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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