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# 1 Introduction

Based on the conclusion of the e-meeting preparation phase [21] and the vice-Chairman’s guidance, the following e-mail discussion has been kicked-off:

[100b-e-NR-unlic-NRU-ULSignalsChannels-01] Email discussion/approval on the following issues

by 4/23; if necessary, followed by endorsing the corresponding TPs by 4/29 – Steve (Ericsson)

* Finalize design for FDRA field of DCI 0\_0 for UL resource allocation Type 2
* Editorial correction on interlace configuration

The following topics are included in this email discussion

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Issue** | **Description** | **Tdoc References** | **Class** |
| 1 | FDRA field for DCI 0\_0 for UL resource allocation Type 2:   * Issue 1-1:   DCI 0\_0 in a CSS: Agree on rule for RB set allocation for PUSCH   * Isuee 1-2:   DCI 0\_0 in a USS: Agree on whether or not FDRA field includes Y bits for RB set allocation + rule for RB set allocation for PUSCH (if Y bits not included) or value of Y (if Y bits included)  TPs needed to 38.212 §7.3.1.1.1 and 38.214 §6.1.2.2.3 | R1-2002321: P1,P2  R1-2002030: P1,P2  R1-2001875: P1-P3  R1-2001533: P1  R1-2001934: P1-P4  R1-2001973: P2-P4  R1-2002433: P1  R1-2001758: P1  R1-2002116: P1  R1-2002382: P1-P3  R1-2002276: P1-P2  R1-2001704: P1-P2  R1-2001651: P1-P2 | Critical |
| 2 | Clarify that minimum number of resource blocks within an interlace contained in a BWP is 10 (Interlaced transmission not supported for 10 MHz SCell)  Simple TP needed to 38.211 §4.4.4.6 | R1-2002030: P6  R1-2001533: P2  R1-2001986: §2.2 | Editorial |

The following company views were captured in the e-meeting preparation phase [21]:

**Issue 1-1: Alternatives for RB set allocation for PUSCH scheduled by DCI 0\_0 in CSS:**

* Alt-1: PUSCH allocated to the RB set of the active UL BWP that intersects the RB set of the active DL BWP in which DCI 0\_0 is received
* Alt-2: PUSCH allocated to RB set 0 of the active UL BWP
* Alt-3: PUSCH allocated to all RB sets of the active UL BWP
* Alt-4a/b: PUSCH allocated to RB set(s) according to the following logic:
  + Alt-4a (ref: [4]):
    - If the active UL BWP does not include all of the RBs of the initial UL BWP or the active UL BWP has different SCS than the initial UL BWP, then
      * RB set 0 of the active UL BWP
    - Otherwise
      * RB set of the initial UL BWP
  + Alt-4b (ref: [18]):
    - If the active UL BWP includes all of the RBs of the initial UL BWP and the SCS/CP of the active UL BWP is the same as that of the initial UL BWP or the initial UL BWP is active
      * the initial UL BWP
    - Otherwise
      * All RB sets of the active UL BWP

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **View/Position** |
| Apple | Alt-1 |
| Ericsson | Alt-1 |
| Fujitsu | Alt-1 |
| LGE | Alt-1 |
| DOCOMO | Alt-1 |
| OPPO | Alt-4a |
| Samsung | Alt-3 |
| Sharp | Alt-4b |
| Spreadtrum | Alt-2  Please do not update this table. See new table in Section 2.1.1. |
| ZTE | Alt-1 |
| vivo | Alt-2 |
| Lenovo | Alt-2 |
| Qualcomm | Alt-2 |
| Nokia, NSB | Alt-1 |
| Huawei | Alt-1 |

**Issue 1-2: Alternatives for FDRA field of DCI 0\_0 in a USS:**

* Alt-1: FDRA field of DCI 0\_1 in a USS contains X bits only
  + Alt-1a: PUSCH allocated to the RB set of the active UL BWP that intersects the RB set of the active DL BWP in which DCI 0\_0 is received
  + Alt-1b: PUSCH allocated to RB set 0 of the active UL BWP
* Alt-2: FDRA field of DCI 0\_1 in a USS contains X + Y bits
  + Alt-2a: Y is variable and given by size of active UL BWP
  + Alt-2b: Y is fixed at [4] bits

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **View/Position** |
| Apple | Alt-2a |
| Ericsson | Alt-1a |
| Fujitsu | Alt-1a |
| Huawei | Alt-2a |
| LGE | FFS between Alt-1a and Alt-2a |
| Lenovo | Alt-1b |
| DOCOMO | Alt-1a |
| OPPO | Alt-2a |
| Samsung | Alt-2b  Please do not update this table. See new table in Section 2.1.2. |
| Sharp | Alt-2 |
| Spreadtrum | Alt-1b |
| ZTE | Alt-2 |
| vivo | Alt-2a |
| Qualcomm | Alt-1b |
| Nokia, NSB | Alt-1a |

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 FDRA Field for DCI 0\_0

### 2.1.1 Issue #1-1: DCI 0\_0 in a CSS

Judging by company responses in the preparation phase, there is clear majority support for either Alt-1 and Alt-2 for PUSCH scheduled by DCI 0\_0 received in a CSS. It is the FL’s proposal to limit discussion to of these two alternatives during this week.

1. The following is proposed for discussion this week, with down selection completed by 10/23. FL to draft TPs after down-selection.

* For PUSCH scheduled by DCI 0\_0 received in a CSS when UL resource allocation Type 2 is configured, down-select to one out of the following two alternatives for the RB set allocation:
  + **Alt-1**: PUSCH is allocated to the RB set of the active UL BWP that intersects the RB set of the active DL BWP in which DCI 0\_0 is received
  + **Alt-2**: PUSCH is allocated to RB set 0 of the active UL BWP

One technical aspect that has not been addressed in contributions is that Alt-2 effectively introduces “cross RB Set” scheduling (unless DCI 0\_0 is also transmitted in RB Set 0). In other words, the gNB transmits DCI 0\_0 in an arbitrary RB set, but the PUSCH transmission is always in RB Set 0. If LBT is successful at the gNB in DL RB Set X, isn’t there a higher chance that LBT is successful at the UE in the UL RB Set that overlaps X? Recall that the goal of DCI 0\_0 is for robust behaviour.

**FL recommendation**: A solution is needed for this issue in order to complete the DCI 0\_0 design. Companies are encouraged to provide technical merits of their preferred alternative. If no consensus can be achieved by 10/23, it is recommended to go with the majority view. Note: Currently there are 8 companies supporting Alt-1 and 4 companies supporting Alt-2.

Please provide your company view on the above two alternatives:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **View/Position** |
| Sharp | I have a question for both alternatives. When the size of uplink carrier is 80 MHz and the active UL BWP is 20 MHz, and intra-cell guard bands nor RB-sets provided, how is the UE scheduled a PUSCH? The RB-set in which the PUSCH is scheduled is the RB-set which corresponds to the uplink carrier? |
| LG Electronics | Alt-1  Also, regarding to this issue, the reference BWP to determine the size of X bit in FDRA field of DCI format 0\_0 needs to be clarified as below.  - For DCI format 0\_0 transmitted in CSS, X bit size of FDRA field in the DCI format 0\_0 is determined based on the SCS of the initial UL BWP as in legacy Rel-15 |
| Lenovo, Motorola Mobility | We support Alt-2 since it is simpler than Alt-1.  Comments to Alt-1: if the current active DL BWP has no any overlapping with current active UL BWP in frequency domain, how can it work? |
| NTT DOCOMO | Support Alt-1. Agree with FL that Alt-1 has higher chance that LBT is successful in the UL RB set allocated to the PUSCH |
| ZTE | Support Alt.1 |

### 2.1.2 Issue #1-2: DCI 0\_0 in a USS

In the company responses for PUSCH scheduled by DCI 0\_0 in a USS, there is no clear majority between the variants of Alt-1 and the variants of Alt-2, except that within the variants of Alt-2 there is a clear preference for Alt-2a vs. Alt-2b. Hence, it is the FL’s proposal to limit discussion to the technical merits of the following three alternatives during this week.

1. The following is proposed for discussion this week, with down selection completed by 10/23. FL to draft TP(s) after down-selection.

* For PUSCH scheduled by DCI 0\_0 received in a USS when UL resource allocation Type 2 is configured, down-select to one out of the following three alternatives:
  + **Alt-1a**: FDRA field of DCI 0\_0 contains X bits only
    - PUSCH is allocated to the RB set of the active UL BWP that intersects the RB set of the active DL BWP in which DCI 0\_0 is received
  + **Alt-1b**: FDRA field of DCI 0\_0 contains X bits only
    - PUSCH is allocated to RB set 0 of the active UL BWP
  + **Alt-2**: FDRA field of DCI 0\_1 contains X + Y bits
    - Y is variable and determined by the size of the active UL BWP

**FL recommendation**: A solution is needed for this issue in order to complete the DCI 0\_0 design. Companies are encouraged to provide technical merits of their preferred alternative, also considering the situation for Issue #1-1. If no consensus can be achieved by 10/23, it is recommended to go with the majority view.

Please provide your company view on the above three alternatives:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **View/Position** |
| Sharp | Alt 2. One of the target use case for DCI format 0\_0 monitored in USS should be for user data scheduling. Wideband scheduling with dynamic RB-set indication is a straight forward solution. |
| LG Electronics | Alt-1a  Also, regarding to this issue, the reference BWP to determine the size of X bit in FDRA field of DCI format 0\_0 needs to be clarified as below.  - For DCI format 0\_0 transmitted in USS, X bit size of FDRA field in the DCI format 0\_0 is determined based on the SCS of the active UL BWP as in legacy Rel-15 |
| Lenovo, Motorola Mobility | We support Alt-1b since it is simpler than Alt-1a and saves overhead than Alt-2.  Meanwhile, unified solution for DCI format 0\_0 in either CSS or USS is kept for Alt-1b. |
| NTT DOCOMO | Support Alt-1a to be consistent with issue #1-1. |
| ZTE | Alt 2. More flexible with no impact on the DCI size. |

## 2.2 Issue #2: Minimum Number of RBs Within an Interlace

**Description**:

In RAN1 AH 1901, the following agreement was reached on interlace design for the case of 20 MHz carrier bandwidth which states that the number of RBs within an interlace is N = 10 or 11.

Agreement:

For interlace transmission of at least PUSCH and PUCCH, the following PRB-based interlace design is supported for the case of 20 MHz carrier bandwidth:

a. 15 kHz SCS: M = 10 interlaces with N = 10 or 11 PRBs / interlace

b. 30 kHz SCS: M = 5 interlaces with N = 10 or 11 PRBs / interlace

Note: PRACH design to be considered separately, including multiplexing aspects with PUSCH and PUCCH

In RAN1#98, the following agreement was reached on interlace design for the case of arbitrary bandwidths which states that the number of PRBs in an interlace N scales with the carrier bandwidth. The case of 10 MHz carrier bandwidth where N could potentially be less than 10 was left as FFS.

Agreement:

The working assumption from RAN1 AH1901 is converted to an agreement with the following modifications:

* For a given SCS, the following PRB-based interlace design is supported ~~at least~~ for PUSCH and PUCCH:
  + Same spacing (M) between consecutive PRBs in an interlace for all interlaces regardless of carrier BW, i.e., the number of PRBs per interlace is dependent on the carrier bandwidth
  + Point A is the reference for the interlace definition
* For 15 kHz SCS, M = 10 interlaces and for 30 kHz SCS, M = 5 interlaces for all bandwidths
* ~~FFS: Interlace design for PUCCH for bandwidths greater than 20 MHz~~
* FFS: Whether and how partial interlace allocation is supported considering mechanisms specific to PUSCH and PUCCH
* FFS: PUCCH bandwidth
* FFS: Whether or how an interlace design for PUSCH and/or PUCCH is supported on 10 MHz according to the revised WID objective

But, in the same meeting, the following conclusion was reached for 10 MHz carrier bandwidth.

Conclusion:

For 10 MHz carrier bandwidth, enhancements to Rel-15 UL signals and channels are not necessary.

This resolves the FFS: if a serving cell is configured with 10 MHz bandwidth, interlaced transmission for PUCCH/PUSCH is not supported. In other words, the minimum number of RBs within an interlace N is 10. This restriction is not yet captured in RAN1 specifications.

**Affected Specification(s)**:

* 38.211 Section 4.4.4.6

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **View/Position** |
| Sharp | We are fine with the proposal. |
| LG Electronics | Support the proposal |
| Lenovo, Motorola Mobility | Ok with the proposal |
| NTT DOCOMO | OK with TP#1 |
| ZTE | Agree with the TP |

------------------------------------- Text Proposal (TP#1) for 38.211, Section 4.4.4.6 --------------------------------

\*\*\* Unchanged text omitted \*\*\*

4.4.4.6 Interlaced resource blocks

Multiple interlaces of resource blocks are defined where interlace consists of common resource blocks , with being the number of interlaces given by Table 4.4.4.6-1. The relation between the interlaced resource block in bandwidth part and interlace and the common resource block is given by

where is the common resource block where bandwidth part starts relative to common resource block 0. When there is no risk for confusion the index may be dropped. The UE expects that the number of common resource blocks in an interlace contained within bandwidth part *i* is no less than 10.

**Table 4.4.4.6-1: The number of resource block interlaces.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
| 0 | 10 |
| 1 | 5 |

\*\*\* Unchanged text omitted \*\*\*

------------------------------------------------------ End Text Proposal -------------------------------------------------------
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