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# **Introduction**

This contribution summarizes the discussions and proposals in AI 6.2.5.1 regarding UE features for additional eMTC enhancements.

In R1-2001485 [1] which is the version after [100e-LTE-Rel-16-UEFeatures] email discussion, there are following feature groups for additional eMTC enhancements.

* 1-1 Group WUS without group resource alternation
* [1-2] Group WUS with group resource alternation
* 1-3 PUR for full-PRB in CEmodeA
* 1-4 PUR for full-PRB in CEmodeB
* 1-5 PUR for sub-PRB in CEmodeA
* 1-6 PUR for sub-PRB in CEmodeB
* [1-7] PUR serving cell RSRP TA validation
* 1-8 PUR frequency hopping
* 1-9 PUR L1 ACK
* 1-10 Multi-TB unicast for DL in CEmodeA
* 1-11 Multi-TB unicast for DL in CEmodeB
* 1-12 Multi-TB unicast for UL in CEmodeA
* 1-13 Multi-TB unicast for UL in CEmodeB
* 1-14 Multi-TB unicast TB interleaving
* 1-15 Multi-TB unicast HARQ bundling
* 1-16 Multi-TB unicast UL sub-PRB
* 1-17 Multi-TB unicast UL early termination
* 1-18 Multi-TB unicast DL 64QAM
* 1-19 Multi-TB unicast frequency hopping
* 1-20 Multi-TB unicast scheduling gaps
* 1-21 Multi-TB SC-MTCH in CEmodeA
* 1-22 Multi-TB SC-MTCH in CEmodeB
* 1-23 Resource reservation for DL in CEmodeA
* 1-24 Resource reservation for DL in CEmodeB
* 1-25 Resource reservation for UL in CEmodeA
* 1-26 Resource reservation for UL in CEmodeB
* 1-27 Subcarrier puncturing for DL in CEmodeA
* 1-28 Subcarrier puncturing for DL in CEmodeB
* 1-29 DL quality report in Msg3 in Idle
* 1-30 DL quality report in Connected
* 1-31 MPDCCH performance improvement with precoder cycling in CEmodeA
* 1-32 MPDCCH performance improvement with precoder cycling in CEmodeB
* 1-33 MPDCCH performance improvement with CSI-based mapping
* 1-34 MPDCCH performance improvement with reciprocity-based candidates in TDD
* 1-35 CSI-RS-based feedback for non-BL UE
* 1-36 ETWS/CMAS indication in connected mode for non-BL UE in CEmodeA
* 1-37 ETWS/CMAS indication in connected mode for non-BL UE in CEmodeB
* 1-38 LTE control region use for MPDCCH in CEmodeA
* 1-39 LTE control region use for MPDCCH in CEmodeB
* 1-40 LTE control region use for PDSCH in CEmodeA
* 1-41 LTE control region use for PDSCH in CEmodeB
* 1-42 RSS-based measurement improvement

Based on the discussions summarized in Section 2-14, following is the suggested list of issues to be discussed and priority order considering RAN2 impact especially for capability signaling design.

**FL proposal of list of issues/proposals and priority:**

* **1st priority issues (such as a certain FG is necessary or not):**
	+ **[1-2]**
		- **Whether FG1-2 is a separate FG (i.e., remove bracket) or FG1-2 is removed and added as a component in FG1-1**
			* **Whether this issue should be discussed with [2-2] jointly or individually**
	+ **1-3**
		- **Whether to introduce a separate FG for the combination of PUR and the larger UL TBS or to reuse the legacy capability signaling to support the combination**
	+ **[1-7]**
		- **Whether FG1-7 is a separate FG (i.e., remove bracket) or FG1-7 is removed and added as a component in FG1-3/1-4/1-5/1-6**
			* **Whether this issue should be discussed with [2-4] jointly or individually**
	+ **1-9**
		- **Whether FG1-9 is kept as a separate FG or FG1-9 is removed and added as a component in FG1-3/1-4/1-5/1-6**
			* **Whether this issue should be discussed with 2-5 jointly or individually**
	+ **1-10**
		- **Whether to introduce separate FGs for the combinations of unicast multi-TB FGs with each legacy feature or to reuse the legacy capability signaling to support the combinations**
	+ **1-15**
		- **Whether to introduce a separate FG for multi-TB unicast HARQ multiplexing or to add multi-TB unicast HARQ multiplexing as component in FG1-11**
	+ **1-23 to 1-26**
		- **Whether or not to introduce separate FGs for slot/symbol level resource reservation for FG1-23 to 1-26**
			* **Whether this issue should be discussed with 2-12 and 2-13 jointly or individually**
	+ **1-35**
		- **Whether or not to introduce a separate FG for CSI-RS-based feedback with codebook subset restriction**
	+ **Confirm following updates that seem acceptable**
		- **1-20**
			* **FG1-20 is removed**
		- **1-42**
			* **Leave this FG to RAN4 and remove FG1-42 from RAN1 UE feature list**
* **2nd priority issues (****that seem not have signaling impact and hence can be postponed):**
	+ **1-4**
		- **Whether or not FG1-3 is a prerequisite for FG1-4**
	+ **1-21 and 1-22**
		- **Whether or not to change FG name to "Multi-TB SC-MTCH in CEmodeA with scheduling gap" and "Multi-TB SC-MTCH in CEmodeB with scheduling gap"**
	+ **1-29**
		- **Regarding to ‘Mandatory/Optional’ column, whether to change 'up to ran2' to 'optional without capability signalling'**

Companies are encouraged to check above FL proposals and to provide feedback if any in below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# **[1-2]: Group WUS with group resource alternation**

In [1], FG1-2 is captured as below.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Features** | **Index** | **Feature group** | **Components** | **Prerequisite feature groups** | **Need for the eNB to know if the feature is supported** | **Need for the UE to know if the feature is supported (only for V2X WI, where the PC5-RRC capability signalling is delivered between the UEs)** | **Consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE** | **Type****(the ‘type’ definition from UE features should be based on the granularity of 1) Per UE or 2) Per Band or 3) Per BC or 4) Per FS or 5) Per FSPC)** | **Need of FDD/TDD differentiation** | **Capability interpretation for mixture of FDD/TDD** | **Note** | **Mandatory/Optional** |
| 1. LTE\_eMTC5 | [1-2] | Group WUS with group resource alternation | 1. Group WUS with group resource alternation | 1-1 | Yes | N/A | If UE does not support group resource alternation and the eNB enables group resource alternation, UE falls back to Rel-15 MWUS when Rel-15 MWUS is configured or no MWUS when Rel-15 MWUS is not configured. | Per UE | Yes | N/A | FFS: whether to keep this feature group 1-2 separately or put it as a component of FG 1-1 | Optional with capability signalling |

Following feedbacks are provided in contributions for the RAN1#100bis-e meeting.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [3] | Qualcomm | We propose to make this a separate feature, mainly because of IODT (it is expected that this resource alternation will not be deployed initially). |
| [4] | Ericsson | **Proposal 1 Keep GWUS with/without group resource alternation as two separate FGs.** |
| [5] | Huawei, HiSilicon | Proposal 1: FG 1-2 (Group resource alternation) is a component in feature 1-1. |

**Based on above, following points should be discussed for FG[1-2].**

* **Whether FG1-2 is a separate FG (i.e., remove bracket) or FG1-2 is removed and added as a component in FG1-1**
	+ **Whether this issue should be discussed with [2-2] jointly or individually**

# **1-3: PUR for full-PRB in CEmodeA**

In [1], FG1-3 is captured as below.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Features** | **Index** | **Feature group** | **Components** | **Prerequisite feature groups** | **Need for the eNB to know if the feature is supported** | **Need for the UE to know if the feature is supported (only for V2X WI, where the PC5-RRC capability signalling is delivered between the UEs)** | **Consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE** | **Type****(the ‘type’ definition from UE features should be based on the granularity of 1) Per UE or 2) Per Band or 3) Per BC or 4) Per FS or 5) Per FSPC)** | **Need of FDD/TDD differentiation** | **Capability interpretation for mixture of FDD/TDD** | **Note** | **Mandatory/Optional** |
| 1. LTE\_eMTC5 | 1-3 | PUR for full-PRB in CEmodeA | 1. PUR for full-RPB in CEmodeA | CEmodeA | Yes | N/A | UL data transmission will use EDT or connected mode instead of PUR in CEmodeA. | Per UE | Yes | N/A | RAN2 has agreed that PUR with UP and CP solutions have separate indications, but this is not captured in this RAN1 UE feature list.FFS: Whether combination with max UL TBS 2984 bits requires a separate FG | Optional with capability signalling |

Following feedbacks are provided in contributions for the RAN1#100bis-e meeting.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [4] | Ericsson | **Proposal 2 Introduce a separate indication for the combination of PUR and the larger UL TBS.** |

In addition, ZTE also provides the views on the signaling to indicate what combination of Rel-16 features are supported with legacy features

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [2] | ZTE | ***Proposal 5:*** ***Re-use the legacy capability signalling to indicate these combination of feature support and clearly specify what combination of feature are supported.*** |

**Based on above, following points should be discussed for FG1-3.**

* **Whether to introduce a separate FG for the combination of PUR and the larger UL TBS or to reuse the legacy capability signaling to support the combination**

# **1-4: PUR for full-PRB in CEmodeB**

In [1], FG1-4 is captured as below.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Features** | **Index** | **Feature group** | **Components** | **Prerequisite feature groups** | **Need for the eNB to know if the feature is supported** | **Need for the UE to know if the feature is supported (only for V2X WI, where the PC5-RRC capability signalling is delivered between the UEs)** | **Consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE** | **Type****(the ‘type’ definition from UE features should be based on the granularity of 1) Per UE or 2) Per Band or 3) Per BC or 4) Per FS or 5) Per FSPC)** | **Need of FDD/TDD differentiation** | **Capability interpretation for mixture of FDD/TDD** | **Note** | **Mandatory/Optional** |
| 1. LTE\_eMTC5 | 1-4 | PUR for full-PRB in CEmodeB | 1. PUR for full-PRB in CEmodeB2. Potential UE-specific cyclic shift for DMRS | CEmodeB | Yes | N/A | UL data transmission will use EDT or connected mode instead of PUR in CEmodeB. | Per UE | Yes | N/A | RAN2 has agreed that PUR with UP and CP solutions have separate indications, but this is not captured in this RAN1 UE feature list.FFS: Whether 1-3 should be a prerequisite for 1-4 | Optional with capability signalling |

Following feedbacks are provided in contributions for the RAN1#100bis-e meeting.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [3] | Qualcomm | We don’t see any issues if this is not a prerequisite, although most likely UEs that support this in mode B will also support it in mode A. |
| [4] | Ericsson | **Proposal 3 Add PUR CE mode A support as a prerequisite for PUR CE mode B support.** |

**Based on above, following points should be discussed for FG1-4.**

* **Whether or not FG1-3 is a prerequisite for FG1-4**

# **[1-7] PUR serving cell RSRP TA validation**

In [1], FG1-7 is captured as below.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Features** | **Index** | **Feature group** | **Components** | **Prerequisite feature groups** | **Need for the eNB to know if the feature is supported** | **Need for the UE to know if the feature is supported (only for V2X WI, where the PC5-RRC capability signalling is delivered between the UEs)** | **Consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE** | **Type****(the ‘type’ definition from UE features should be based on the granularity of 1) Per UE or 2) Per Band or 3) Per BC or 4) Per FS or 5) Per FSPC)** | **Need of FDD/TDD differentiation** | **Capability interpretation for mixture of FDD/TDD** | **Note** | **Mandatory/Optional** |
| 1. LTE\_eMTC5 | [1-7] | PUR serving cell RSRP TA validation | 1. Serving cell RSRP for TA validation for PUR | 1-3 or 1-4 | Yes | N/A | PUR will not use serving cell RSRP for TA validation. | Per UE | Yes | N/A | TA validation mechanisms based on ‘Serving cell changes’ and ‘TA timer for idle mode’ (and ‘TA always valid’) are mandatory for PUR UEs.FFS: whether to keep this feature group 1-7 separately or put it as a component of FG 1-3//1-4/1-5/1-6 | Optional with capability signalling |

Following feedbacks are provided in contributions for the RAN1#100bis-e meeting.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [3] | Qualcomm | We propose to make it separate |
| [4] | Ericsson | **Proposal 4 Discuss whether there is enough reason from IODT point of view to keep PUR serving cell RSRP TA validation as a separate feature group.** |
| [5] | Huawei, HiSilicon | Proposal 2: FG 1-7 (Serving cell RSRP for TA validation) is a component of FG 1-3/1-4/1-5/1-6. |

**Based on above, following points should be discussed for FG1-7.**

* **Whether FG1-7 is a separate FG (i.e., remove bracket) or FG1-7 is removed and added as a component in FG1-3/1-4/1-5/1-6**
	+ **Whether this issue should be discussed with [2-4] jointly or individually**

# **1-9: PUR L1 ACK**

In [1], FG1-9 is captured as below.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Features** | **Index** | **Feature group** | **Components** | **Prerequisite feature groups** | **Need for the eNB to know if the feature is supported** | **Need for the UE to know if the feature is supported (only for V2X WI, where the PC5-RRC capability signalling is delivered between the UEs)** | **Consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE** | **Type****(the ‘type’ definition from UE features should be based on the granularity of 1) Per UE or 2) Per Band or 3) Per BC or 4) Per FS or 5) Per FSPC)** | **Need of FDD/TDD differentiation** | **Capability interpretation for mixture of FDD/TDD** | **Note** | **Mandatory/Optional** |
| 1. LTE\_eMTC5 | 1-9 | PUR L1 ACK | 1. L1 ACK for PUR | 1-3 or 1-4 | Yes | N/A | PUR will not use L1 ACK. | Per UE | Yes | N/A |  | Optional with capability signalling |

Following feedbacks are provided in contributions for the RAN1#100bis-e meeting.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [5] | Huawei, HiSilicon | Proposal 3: FG 1-9 (L1 ACK) is a component of FG 1-3/1-4/1-5/1-6. |

**Based on above, following points should be discussed for FG1-9.**

* **Whether FG1-9 is kept as a separate FG or FG1-9 is removed and added as a component in FG1-3/1-4/1-5/1-6**
	+ **Whether this issue should be discussed with 2-5 jointly or individually**

# **1-10: Multi-TB unicast for DL in CEmodeA**

In [1], FG1-10 is captured as below.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Features** | **Index** | **Feature group** | **Components** | **Prerequisite feature groups** | **Need for the eNB to know if the feature is supported** | **Need for the UE to know if the feature is supported (only for V2X WI, where the PC5-RRC capability signalling is delivered between the UEs)** | **Consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE** | **Type****(the ‘type’ definition from UE features should be based on the granularity of 1) Per UE or 2) Per Band or 3) Per BC or 4) Per FS or 5) Per FSPC)** | **Need of FDD/TDD differentiation** | **Capability interpretation for mixture of FDD/TDD** | **Note** | **Mandatory/Optional** |
| 1. LTE\_eMTC5 | 1-10 | Multi-TB unicast for DL in CEmodeA | 1. Multi-TB unicast scheduling for DL in CEmodeA | CEmodeA | Yes | N/A | Each DCI will schedule a single TB instead of multiple TBs in DL in CEmodeA. | Per UE | Yes | N/A | FFS: How to capture combinations of the unicast multi-TB FGs with the following legacy features:1. Rel-14 feature for 2984 bits max UL TBS in 1.4 MHz in CE mode A2. Rel-14 feature for new numbers of repetitions for PUSCH in CE mode A3. Rel-14 feature for modulation restrictions for PDSCH/PUSCH in CE mode A4. Rel-14 features for 5 or 20 MHz max PDSCH/PUSCH channel bandwidths in CE mode A/B5. Rel-14 feature for dynamic HARQ-ACK delay for HD-FDD in CE mode A6. Rel-15 features for flexible starting PRB for PDSCH/PUSCH in CE mode A/B | Optional with capability signalling |

Regarding to FG1-10, following feedbacks are provided in contributions for the RAN1#100bis-e meeting.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [2] | Qualcomm | In our view, there is no need to support 2/4/5 together with multi-TB. 1, 3 and 6 seems easier to support. |
| [4] | Ericsson | **Proposal 5 Discuss which combinations of the multi-TB unicast features and the above listed legacy features that can be supported and which combinations (if any) that need to have separate capability indications.** |

In addition, ZTE also provides the views on the signaling to indicate what combination of Rel-16 features are supported with legacy features

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [2] | ZTE | ***Proposal 5:*** ***Re-use the legacy capability signalling to indicate these combination of feature support and clearly specify what combination of feature are supported.*** |

**Based on above, following points should be discussed for FG1-10.**

* **Whether to introduce separate FGs for the combinations of unicast multi-TB FGs with each legacy feature or to reuse the legacy capability signaling to support the combinations**

# **1-15: Multi-TB unicast HARQ multiplexing**

In [1], FG1-15 is captured as below.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Features** | **Index** | **Feature group** | **Components** | **Prerequisite feature groups** | **Need for the eNB to know if the feature is supported** | **Need for the UE to know if the feature is supported (only for V2X WI, where the PC5-RRC capability signalling is delivered between the UEs)** | **Consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE** | **Type****(the ‘type’ definition from UE features should be based on the granularity of 1) Per UE or 2) Per Band or 3) Per BC or 4) Per FS or 5) Per FSPC)** | **Need of FDD/TDD differentiation** | **Capability interpretation for mixture of FDD/TDD** | **Note** | **Mandatory/Optional** |
| 1. LTE\_eMTC5 | 1-15 | Multi-TB unicast HARQ bundling | 1. DL HARQ bundling for multi-TB unicast scheduling | 1-10 | Yes | N/A | Multi-TB unicast will not use HARQ bundling. | Per UE | Yes | N/A |  | Optional with capability signalling |

Following feedbacks are provided in contributions for the RAN1#100bis-e meeting.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [2] | ZTE | ***Proposal 3: Add separate feature group for ' Multi-TB unicast HARQ multiplexing, or at least to clarify that if this is included as a component in feature group 1-11 ' Multi-TB unicast for DL in CEmodeB'.******Proposal 4:*** ***Add the following note for 1-15 "FFS bundling support for TDD".*** |

**Based on above, following points should be discussed for FG1-15.**

* **Whether to introduce a separate FG for multi-TB unicast HARQ multiplexing or to add multi-TB unicast HARQ multiplexing as component in FG1-11**

# **1-20: Multi-TB unicast scheduling gaps**

In [1], FG1-20 is captured as below.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Features** | **Index** | **Feature group** | **Components** | **Prerequisite feature groups** | **Need for the eNB to know if the feature is supported** | **Need for the UE to know if the feature is supported (only for V2X WI, where the PC5-RRC capability signalling is delivered between the UEs)** | **Consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE** | **Type****(the ‘type’ definition from UE features should be based on the granularity of 1) Per UE or 2) Per Band or 3) Per BC or 4) Per FS or 5) Per FSPC)** | **Need of FDD/TDD differentiation** | **Capability interpretation for mixture of FDD/TDD** | **Note** | **Mandatory/Optional** |
| 1. LTE\_eMTC5 | 1-20 | Multi-TB unicast scheduling gaps | 1. Scheduling gaps for multi-TB unicast scheduling | 1-10 or 1-11 or 1-12 or 1-13 | Yes | N/A | Multi-TB unicast will not use scheduling gaps. | Per UE | Yes | N/A | FFS: Whether to support these scheduling gaps or not | Optional with capability signalling |

Following feedbacks are provided in contributions for the RAN1#100bis-e meeting.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [3] | Qualcomm | We understand that the following agreement makes this feature unnecessary:Conclusion [No specification impact]For unicast, aim to realize the scheduling gaps using the Rel-16 LTE-MTC WI feature for improved LTE-MTC resource reservation |
| [4] | Ericsson | **Proposal 6 Do not support explicit scheduling gaps for multi-TB unicast.** |
| [5] | Huawei, HiSilicon | Proposal 4: The FG 1-20 (scheduling gaps) is not needed. |

**Based on above, following updates for FG1-20 would be acceptable.**

* **FG1-20 is removed**

# **1-21 and 1-22: Scheduling gap for Multi-TB SC-MTCH**

In [1], FG1-21 and FG1-22 are captured as below.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Features** | **Index** | **Feature group** | **Components** | **Prerequisite feature groups** | **Need for the eNB to know if the feature is supported** | **Need for the UE to know if the feature is supported (only for V2X WI, where the PC5-RRC capability signalling is delivered between the UEs)** | **Consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE** | **Type****(the ‘type’ definition from UE features should be based on the granularity of 1) Per UE or 2) Per Band or 3) Per BC or 4) Per FS or 5) Per FSPC)** | **Need of FDD/TDD differentiation** | **Capability interpretation for mixture of FDD/TDD** | **Note** | **Mandatory/Optional** |
| 1. LTE\_eMTC5 | 1-21 | Multi-TB SC-MTCH in CEmodeA | 1. Multi-TB SC-MTCH scheduling in CEmodeA2. Potential scheduling gaps for multi-TB SC-MTCH scheduling in CEmodeA | CEmodeA,and SC-PTM | Up to RAN2 | N/A | UE will not be able to receive SC-PTM transmissions using multi-TB scheduling in CEmodeA. | Per UE | Yes | N/A | The basic multicast (SC-PTM) functionality was introduced for LTE-M/NB-IoT in Rel-14 without capability signaling. | Up to RAN2 |
| 1-22 | Multi-TB SC-MTCH in CEmodeB | 1. Multi-TB SC-MTCH scheduling in CEmodeB2. Potential scheduling gaps for multi-TB SC-MTCH scheduling in CEmodeB | CEmodeB,and SC-PTM | Up to RAN2 | N/A | UE will not be able to receive SC-PTM transmissions using multi-TB scheduling in CEmodeB. | Per UE | Yes | N/A | The basic multicast (SC-PTM) functionality was introduced for LTE-M/NB-IoT in Rel-14 without capability signaling. | Up to RAN2 |

Following feedbacks are provided in contributions for the RAN1#100bis-e meeting.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [2] | ZTE | ***Proposal 1: UE supporting multi-TB SC-MTCH should support scheduling gap.*** * ***Change FG name to "Multi-TB SC-MTCH in CEmodeA with scheduling gap" and "Multi-TB SC-MTCH in CEmodeB with scheduling gap".***
 |

**Based on above, following points should be discussed for FG1-21 and FG1-22.**

* **Whether or not to change FG name to "Multi-TB SC-MTCH in CEmodeA with scheduling gap" and "Multi-TB SC-MTCH in CEmodeB with scheduling gap"**

# **1-23 to 1-26: Subframe/slot/symbol-level resource reservation**

In [1], FG1-23 to FG1-26 are captured as below.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Features** | **Index** | **Feature group** | **Components** | **Prerequisite feature groups** | **Need for the eNB to know if the feature is supported** | **Need for the UE to know if the feature is supported (only for V2X WI, where the PC5-RRC capability signalling is delivered between the UEs)** | **Consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE** | **Type****(the ‘type’ definition from UE features should be based on the granularity of 1) Per UE or 2) Per Band or 3) Per BC or 4) Per FS or 5) Per FSPC)** | **Need of FDD/TDD differentiation** | **Capability interpretation for mixture of FDD/TDD** | **Note** | **Mandatory/Optional** |
| 1. LTE\_eMTC5 | 1-23 | Resource reservation for DL in CEmodeA | 1. Subframe/slot/symbol-level time-domain resource reservation in DL in CEmodeA2. RBG-level frequency-domain resource reservation in DL in CEmodeA | CEmodeA | Yes | N/A | Whole DL subframe(s) may need to be configured as invalid in order to avoid NR collision. | Per UE | Yes | N/A | FFS: Whether to have separate indications for subframe/slot/symbol levels | Optional with capability signalling |
| 1-24 | Resource reservation for DL in CEmodeB | 1. Subframe/slot/symbol-level time-domain resource reservation in DL in CEmodeB2. RBG-level frequency-domain resource reservation in DL in CEmodeB | CEmodeB | Yes | N/A | Whole DL subframe(s) may need to be configured as invalid in order to avoid NR collision. | Per UE | Yes | N/A | FFS: Whether to have separate indications for subframe/slot/symbol levels | Optional with capability signalling |
| 1-25 | Resource reservation for UL in CEmodeA | 1. Subframe/slot/symbol-level time-domain resource reservation in UL in CEmodeA | CEmodeA | Yes | N/A | Whole UL subframe(s) may need to be configured as invalid in order to avoid NR collision. | Per UE | Yes | N/A | FFS: Whether to have separate indications for subframe/slot/symbol levels | Optional with capability signalling |
| 1-26 | Resource reservation for UL in CEmodeB | 1. Subframe/slot/symbol-level time-domain resource reservation in UL in CEmodeB | CEmodeB | Yes | N/A | Whole UL subframe(s) may need to be configured as invalid in order to avoid NR collision. | Per UE | Yes | N/A | FFS: Whether to have separate indications for subframe/slot/symbol levels | Optional with capability signalling |

Following feedbacks are provided in contributions for the RAN1#100bis-e meeting.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [2] | ZTE | ***Proposal 2: The capability signalling can be used to indicate support for slot/symbol level granularity in Rel-16 MTC enhancement. For subframe level, legacy indication can be used.*** |
| [4] | Ericsson | **Proposal 7 Introduce two separate indications for DL resource reservation in CE mode A with subframe-level and slot-/symbol-level granularity, respectively.****Proposal 8 Introduce two separate indications for DL resource reservation in CE mode B with subframe-level and slot-/symbol-level granularity, respectively.****Proposal 9 Introduce two separate indications for UL resource reservation in CE mode A with subframe-level and slot-/symbol-level granularity, respectively.****Proposal 10 Introduce two separate indications for UL resource reservation in CE mode B with subframe-level and slot-/symbol-level granularity, respectively.** |
| [5] | Huawei, HiSilicon | **Proposal 5：Separate indication to slot/symbol level resource reservation in feature groups from 1-23 to 1-26 is not supported.** |
| [3] | Qualcomm | We propose to have separate indication (for 1-23 to 26) |

**Based on above, following points should be discussed for FG1-23 to FG1-26.**

* **Whether or not to introduce separate FGs for slot/symbol level resource reservation for FG1-23 to 1-26**
	+ - * **Whether this issue should be discussed with 2-12 and 2-13 jointly or individually**

# **1-29: DL quality report in Msg3 in Idle**

In [1], FG1-29 is captured as below.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Features** | **Index** | **Feature group** | **Components** | **Prerequisite feature groups** | **Need for the eNB to know if the feature is supported** | **Need for the UE to know if the feature is supported (only for V2X WI, where the PC5-RRC capability signalling is delivered between the UEs)** | **Consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE** | **Type****(the ‘type’ definition from UE features should be based on the granularity of 1) Per UE or 2) Per Band or 3) Per BC or 4) Per FS or 5) Per FSPC)** | **Need of FDD/TDD differentiation** | **Capability interpretation for mixture of FDD/TDD** | **Note** | **Mandatory/Optional** |
| 1. LTE\_eMTC5 | 1-29 | DL quality report in Msg3 in Idle | 1. Using 2 bits in Msg3 in Idle2. Using 4 bits in Msg3 in Idle | CEmodeA | Up to RAN2 | N/A | The eNB will have to rely on other information, e.g. CSI reports if available. | Per UE | Yes | N/A | It is up to RAN2 whether to have separate capabilities for CE mode A and B. | Up to RAN2 |

Following feedbacks are provided in contributions for the RAN1#100bis-e meeting.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [2] | ZTE | ***Proposal 7:*** ***Change 'up to ran2' to 'optional without capability signalling' for FG 1-29 ' DL quality report in Msg3 in Idle'.*** |

**Based on above, following points should be discussed for FG1-29.**

* **Regarding to ‘Mandatory/Optional’ column, whether or not to change 'up to ran2' to 'optional without capability signalling'**

# **1-35: CSI-RS-based feedback with codebook subset restriction**

In [1], FG1-35 is captured as below.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Features** | **Index** | **Feature group** | **Components** | **Prerequisite feature groups** | **Need for the eNB to know if the feature is supported** | **Need for the UE to know if the feature is supported (only for V2X WI, where the PC5-RRC capability signalling is delivered between the UEs)** | **Consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE** | **Type****(the ‘type’ definition from UE features should be based on the granularity of 1) Per UE or 2) Per Band or 3) Per BC or 4) Per FS or 5) Per FSPC)** | **Need of FDD/TDD differentiation** | **Capability interpretation for mixture of FDD/TDD** | **Note** | **Mandatory/Optional** |
| 1. LTE\_eMTC5 | 1-35 | CSI-RS-based feedback for non-BL UE | 1. CSI-RS-based feedback for non-BL UE in CEmodeA2. Codebook subset restriction for CSI-RS-based feedback for non-BL UE in CEmodeA | CEmodeA | Yes | N/A | CSI feedback will be based on CRS. | Per UE | Yes | N/A | FFS: Whether to have a separate FG for CSI-RS-based feedback with codebook subset restriction | Optional with capability signalling |

Following feedbacks are provided in contributions for the RAN1#100bis-e meeting.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [2] | ZTE | ***Proposal 6:***  ***No separate FG for CSI-RS-based feedback with codebook subset restriction.*** |
| [3] | Qualcomm | We propose to separate this. |
| [4] | Ericsson | **Proposal 11 Introduce a separate indication for codebook subset restriction for CRS-RS-based feedback.** |

**Based on above, following points should be discussed for FG1-35.**

* **Whether or not to introduce a separate FG for CSI-RS-based feedback with codebook subset restriction**

# **1-42: RSS-based measurement improvement**

In [1], FG1-42 is captured as below.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Features** | **Index** | **Feature group** | **Components** | **Prerequisite feature groups** | **Need for the eNB to know if the feature is supported** | **Need for the UE to know if the feature is supported (only for V2X WI, where the PC5-RRC capability signalling is delivered between the UEs)** | **Consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE** | **Type****(the ‘type’ definition from UE features should be based on the granularity of 1) Per UE or 2) Per Band or 3) Per BC or 4) Per FS or 5) Per FSPC)** | **Need of FDD/TDD differentiation** | **Capability interpretation for mixture of FDD/TDD** | **Note** | **Mandatory/Optional** |
| 1. LTE\_eMTC5 | 1-42 | RSS-based measurement improvement | 1. RSS-based measurement improvement | Rel-15 RSS | Up to RAN4 | N/A | Measurements will be based on CRS only (not RSS). | Per UE | Yes | N/A | It is up to RAN2/RAN4 whether to have separate capabilities for Idle and Connected.FFS: Whether it might also be relevant to consider separate capabilities for CE mode A and BFFS: Consider removing this row since it will be part of the RAN4 UE feature list. | Up to RAN4 |

Following feedbacks are provided in contributions for the RAN1#100bis-e meeting.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [3] | Qualcomm | We would like to leave all this to RAN4. |
| [4] | Ericsson | **Proposal 12 Do not introduce separate capabilities for RSS-based measurement improvements in CE mode A and B.****Proposal 13 Remove the RSS-based measurement improvements from the RAN1 UE feature list since they will be captured in the RAN4 UE feature list.** |

**Based on above, following updates for FG1-42 would be acceptable.**

* **Leave this FG to RAN4 and remove FG1-42 from RAN1 UE feature list**
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