

**Source:** LGIC

**Title:** **Alternative Uplink Puncturing Algorithm**

---

## 1. Introduction

Samsung proposed a new universal puncturing algorithm in this meeting. But to our knowledge, the uplink part of this algorithm is ambiguous. Therefore, we propose an alternative puncturing algorithm for uplink. The basic idea is similar to that of the previously presented algorithm[7]. In other words, we can divide the column sequence into the 'y' sequence of 1<sup>st</sup> RSC encoder and 'z' sequence of 2<sup>nd</sup> RSC encoder then, apply the similar procedure to the previously presented algorithm to calculate the shifting parameter  $S$  for each column sequences.

## 2. Design of Alternative Uplink Puncturing Algorithm for Turbo Code

The design rules imposed on the uplink puncturing algorithm for turbo code are as below.

### **Preventing puncturing of systematic bits**

Systematic bits of turbo code are more important than parity bits which means that puncturing of one systematic bit results in more performance degradation than a parity bit.

### **Equal amount and uniform puncturing of parity bits of two encoders**

In order to maximise the BER performance of turbo code, the coding strength of each RSC code must be balanced. Balanced puncturing of parity bits between the two encoders means balanced puncturing of each RSC code.

### **Equal amount of puncturing for each 1<sup>st</sup> MIL interleaved column sequence**

For the uplink, rate matching algorithm is performed over 1<sup>st</sup> MIL interleaved sequence and therefore, rate matching must be performed in a way that every column sequence has an equal amount of puncturing. The purpose of uplink rate matching for turbo code is to satisfy the original property of turbo puncturing algorithm in the view point of "before the 1<sup>st</sup> MIL original code sequence" while applying the equal amount of puncturing over each interleaved sequence.

### **Providing a unified rate matching algorithm for uplink and downlink**

For the simplicity of implementation, it is desirable to use a unified rate matching algorithm for uplink and downlink.

## 3. The Description of Alternative Uplink Puncturing Algorithm

Figure 1 shows the example of writing the 1<sup>st</sup> MIL of  $K=8$ . In figure 1, 'x' means the systematic code bit, 'y' the parity bit from 1<sup>st</sup> RSC encoder and 'z' from the 2<sup>nd</sup> RSC encoder. The subscript of each bit is the order of code symbol. This example is the case of totally 96 code symbol so 288 code bits.

The basic idea of this proposal is that we can use two rate matching algorithm in independent and parallel manner. In other words, rate matching algorithm 1 for 'y' sequences for each column and rate matching algorithm 2 for 'z' sequences for each column operate simultaneously.

For this purpose, we can divide the each column sequence of figure 1 into two groups. One is the group of 'y' bit sequence and the other is the group of 'z' bit sequence.

Then, we can obtain the virtual interleaver memory as shown in figure 2. Figure 2-(a) is an example of virtual interleaver for 'y' bit sequence and figure 2-(b) is an example of virtual interleaver for 'z' bit sequence.

The bold number of the 1<sup>st</sup> row for each virtual interleaver represents the actual column number of original interleaver. That is, the 1<sup>st</sup> column of the left interleaver represents the 1<sup>st</sup> column of the original MIL interleaver and the 2<sup>nd</sup> column represents the 7<sup>th</sup> column of the original MIL interleaver, and vice versa. The mapping of virtual interleaver column index to the original interleaver column index can be simply described by the next equation

$$Q(k) = (3k + 1) \bmod K : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, K - 1 \quad (\text{mapping rule for 'y' bit sequence})$$

$$Q(k) = (3k + 2) \bmod K : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, K - 1 \quad (\text{mapping rule for 'z' bit sequence})$$

X0	Y0	Z0	X1	Y1	Z1	X2	Y2
Z2	X3	Y3	Z3	X4	Y4	Z4	X5
Y5	Z5	X6	Y6	Z6	X7	Y7	Z7
X8	Y8	Z8	X9	Y9	Z9	X10	Y10
Z10	X11	Y11	Z11	X12	Y13	Z12	X13
Y13	Z13	X14	Y14	Z14	X15	Y15	Z15
X16	Y16	Z16	X17	Y17	Z17	X18	Y18
Z18	X19	Y19	Z19	X20	Y20	Z20	X21
Y21	Z21	X22	Y22	Z22	X23	Y23	Z23
X24	Y24	Z24	X25	Y25	Z25	X26	Y26
Z26	X27	Y27	Z27	X28	Y28	Z28	X29
Y29	Z29	X30	Y30	Z30	X31	Y31	Z31
X32	Y32	Z32	X33	Y33	Z33	X34	Y34
Z34	X35	Y35	Z35	X36	Y36	Z36	X37
Y37	Z37	X38	Y38	Z38	X39	Y39	Z39
X40	Y40	Z40	X41	Y41	Z41	X42	Y42
Z42	X43	Y43	Z43	X44	Y44	Z44	X45
Y45	Z45	X46	Y46	Z46	X47	Y47	Z47
X48	Y48	Z48	X49	Y49	Z49	X50	Y50
Z50	X51	Y51	Z51	X52	Y52	Z52	X53
Y53	Z53	X54	Y54	Z54	X55	Y55	Z55
X56	Y56	Z57	X57	Y57	Z57	X58	Y58
Z58	X59	Y59	Z59	X60	Y60	Z60	X61
Y61	Z61	X62	Y62	Z62	X63	Y63	Z63
X64	Y64	Z64	X65	Y65	Z65	X66	Y66
Z66	X67	Y67	Z67	X68	Y68	Z68	X69
Y69	Z69	X70	Y70	Z70	X71	Y71	Z71
X72	Y72	Z72	X73	Y73	Z73	X74	Y74
Z74	X75	Y75	Z75	X76	Y76	Z76	X77
Y77	Z77	X78	Y78	Z78	X79	Y79	Z79
X80	Y80	Z80	X81	Y81	Z81	X82	Y82
Z82	X83	Y83	Z83	X84	Y84	Z84	X85
Y85	Z85	X86	Y86	Z86	X87	Y87	Z87
X88	Y88	Z88	X89	Y89	Z89	X90	Y90
Z90	X91	Y91	Z91	X92	Y92	Z92	X93
Y93	Z93	X94	Y94	Z94	X95	Y95	Z95

Figure 1. example of writing the interleaver memory

<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>
Y0	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6	Y7
Y8	Y9	Y10	Y11	Y12	Y13	Y14	Y15
Y16	Y17	Y18	Y19	Y20	Y21	Y22	Y23
Y24	Y25	Y26	Y27	Y28	Y29	Y30	Y31
Y32	Y33	Y34	Y35	Y36	Y37	Y38	Y39
Y40	Y41	Y42	Y43	Y44	Y45	Y46	Y47
Y48	Y49	Y50	Y51	Y52	Y53	Y54	Y55
Y56	Y57	Y58	Y59	Y60	Y61	Y62	Y63
Y64	Y65	Y66	Y67	Y68	Y69	Y70	Y71
Y72	Y73	Y74	Y75	Y76	Y77	Y78	Y79
Y80	Y81	Y82	Y83	Y84	Y85	Y86	Y87
Y88	Y89	Y90	Y91	Y92	Y93	Y94	Y95

Figure 2-(a). virtual interleaver for 'y' sequence

2	5	0	3	6	1	4	7
Z <sub>0</sub>	Z <sub>1</sub>	Z <sub>2</sub>	Z <sub>3</sub>	Z <sub>4</sub>	Z <sub>5</sub>	Z <sub>6</sub>	Z <sub>7</sub>
Z <sub>8</sub>	Z <sub>9</sub>	Z <sub>10</sub>	Z <sub>11</sub>	Z <sub>12</sub>	Z <sub>13</sub>	Z <sub>14</sub>	Z <sub>15</sub>
Z <sub>16</sub>	Z <sub>17</sub>	Z <sub>18</sub>	Z <sub>19</sub>	Z <sub>20</sub>	Z <sub>21</sub>	Z <sub>22</sub>	Z <sub>23</sub>
Z <sub>24</sub>	Z <sub>25</sub>	Z <sub>26</sub>	Z <sub>27</sub>	Z <sub>28</sub>	Z <sub>29</sub>	Z <sub>30</sub>	Z <sub>31</sub>
Z <sub>32</sub>	Z <sub>33</sub>	Z <sub>34</sub>	Z <sub>35</sub>	Z <sub>36</sub>	Z <sub>37</sub>	Z <sub>38</sub>	Z <sub>39</sub>
Z <sub>40</sub>	Z <sub>41</sub>	Z <sub>42</sub>	Z <sub>43</sub>	Z <sub>44</sub>	Z <sub>45</sub>	Z <sub>46</sub>	Z <sub>47</sub>
Z <sub>48</sub>	Z <sub>49</sub>	Z <sub>50</sub>	Z <sub>51</sub>	Z <sub>52</sub>	Z <sub>53</sub>	Z <sub>54</sub>	Z <sub>55</sub>
Z <sub>56</sub>	Z <sub>57</sub>	Z <sub>58</sub>	Z <sub>59</sub>	Z <sub>60</sub>	Z <sub>61</sub>	Z <sub>62</sub>	Z <sub>63</sub>
Z <sub>64</sub>	Z <sub>65</sub>	Z <sub>66</sub>	Z <sub>67</sub>	Z <sub>68</sub>	Z <sub>69</sub>	Z <sub>70</sub>	Z <sub>71</sub>
Z <sub>72</sub>	Z <sub>73</sub>	Z <sub>74</sub>	Z <sub>75</sub>	Z <sub>76</sub>	Z <sub>77</sub>	Z <sub>78</sub>	Z <sub>79</sub>
Z <sub>80</sub>	Z <sub>81</sub>	Z <sub>82</sub>	Z <sub>83</sub>	Z <sub>84</sub>	Z <sub>85</sub>	Z <sub>86</sub>	Z <sub>87</sub>
Z <sub>88</sub>	Z <sub>89</sub>	Z <sub>90</sub>	Z <sub>91</sub>	Z <sub>92</sub>	Z <sub>93</sub>	Z <sub>94</sub>	Z <sub>95</sub>

Figure 2-(b). virtual interleaver for 'z' sequence

Let's assume that among total number of 36 code bits for each column, 4 bits are to be punctured. In this case,  $N_c$  is 96 and  $P$  is 4. Then, we can use two rate matching procedure for each 'y' and 'z' sequence in figure 2-(a) and figure 2-(b).

All we need to do is to calculate the shifting parameter for each column of 1<sup>st</sup> interleaver for each rate matching algorithm.

The shifting parameter for each column is calculated in the similar procedure.

### 1) Calculation of Shifting Parameter for 'y' sequence

$$N = \left\lfloor \frac{N_c}{3} \right\rfloor : \lfloor x \rfloor \text{ is the largest integer which does not exceed the value of 'x'}$$

$$N_i = N - \left\lfloor \frac{P}{2} \right\rfloor : \lceil x \rceil \text{ is the smallest integer which exceeds the value of 'x'}$$

$$q = \left\lfloor \frac{N}{|N_i - N|} \right\rfloor : q \text{ means the average puncturing distance of 'y' sequence}$$

From the value of  $q$ , we can find the shifting parameter  $S$  guaranteeing the overall uniformity over "before the 1<sup>st</sup> interleaved 'y' sequence" as follows.

```

if( $q \leq 2$ ){
    for( $k=0$ ;  $k < K$ ;  $k++$ ) {
        if( $(k \% 2) = 0$ )
             $S[R[(3k+1) \bmod K]] = 0$ ;
        else
             $S[R[(3k+1) \bmod K]] = 1$ ;
    }
}
else{

```

```

if((q%2)=1)
     $q' = q - \frac{G.C.D(q, K)}{K}$ ; to avoid hitting the same column
else
     $q' = q$ 
for(i=0; i<K ; i++) {
     $k = \lceil i * q' \rceil \% K$  ;
     $S[R[(3k+1) \bmod K]] = \lceil i * q' \rceil \text{ div } K$  ;
}
}

```

In the above procedure,  $R []$  means the mapping pattern of the 1<sup>st</sup> MIL interleaver.

## **2) Calculation of Shifting Parameter for ‘z’ sequence**

$N = \left\lfloor \frac{N_c}{3} \right\rfloor$  :  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is the largest integer which does not exceed the value of ‘x’

$N_i = N - \left\lceil \frac{P}{2} \right\rceil$  :  $\lceil x \rceil$  is the smallest integer which exceeds the value of ‘x’

$q = \left\lfloor \frac{N}{|N_i - N|} \right\rfloor$  :  $q$  means the average puncturing distance of ‘z’ sequence

From the value of  $q$ , we can find the shifting parameter  $S$  guaranteeing the overall uniformity over “before the 1<sup>st</sup> interleaved ‘z’ sequence” as follows.

```

if(q ≤ 2){
    for(k=0; k<K; k++) {
        if((k%2)=0)
             $S[R[(3k+2) \bmod K]] = 0$ ;
        else
             $S[R[(3k+2) \bmod K]] = 1$ ;
    }
}
else{
    if((q%2)=1)
         $q' = q - \frac{G.C.D(q, K)}{K}$ ; to avoid hitting the same column
    else
         $q' = q$ 
    for(i=0; i<K ; i++) {
         $k = \lceil i * q' \rceil \% K$  ;
         $S[R[(3k+2) \bmod K]] = \lceil i * q' \rceil \text{ div } K$  ;
    }
}

```

```

}
}

```

Then using the shifting parameter obtained through the procedure of (1) and (2), the two rate matching block operates simultaneously. The rate matching procedure can be described as follows.

### **(3) Rate Matching Procedure**

$S_0 = \{d_{N_1}, d_{N_2}, \dots, d_{N_c}\}$  : set of  $N_C$  data bits for each column

$N_i$  : symbol number after puncturing

$N = \lfloor N_c / 3 \rfloor$

$k$  : column index  $k=0,1,2,3,\dots,K-1$  ( $K$  : Column number of 1<sup>st</sup> MIL)

if puncturing is to be performed

$y = N - N_i$

$e = (2 * S(k) * y + N) \bmod 2N$       -- initial error  $e_{offset}$

–  $S(k)$  from procedure 1 if ‘y’ sequence puncturing and from procedure 2 if ‘z’ sequence puncturing

if( $e=0$ )  $e = 2N$

$m = 1$

-- index for current symbol

do while  $m \leq N$

$e = e - 2 * y$       -- update error

if  $e \leq 0$  then      -- check if symbol number  $m$  should be punctured

puncture bit  $m$  from set  $S_0$

$e = e + 2 * N$       -- update error

end if

$m = m + 1$

-- index for next symbol

end do

end if

else if repetition is to be performed

$y = N - N_i$

$e = (2 * S(k) * y + N) \bmod 2N$       -- initial error  $e_{offset}$

–  $S(k)$  from procedure 1 if ‘y’ sequence repetition and from procedure 2 if ‘z’ sequence repetition

if( $e=0$ )  $e = 2N$

$m = 1$

-- index for current symbol

do while  $m \leq N$

$e = e - 2 * y$       -- update error

if  $e \leq 0$  then      -- check if symbol number  $m$  should be repeated

repeat bit  $m$  from set  $S_0$

$e = e + 2 * N$       -- update error

end if

$m = m + 1$

-- index for next symbol

end do

end if

Using the above procedure, we can obtain the puncturing pattern which satisfies almost all of the requirements for turbo code puncturing.

#### 4. Example of Puncturing Pattern of the Alternative Algorithm

Let's assume the case when among the 36 code bits for each column, 4 bits are to be punctured.

Then, by applying the above procedure, we can obtain the puncturing pattern for each virtual interleaver as shown in figure 3-(a) and figure 3-(b)

1	4	7	2	5	0	3	6
y <sub>0</sub>	y <sub>1</sub>	y <sub>2</sub>	y <sub>3</sub>	y <sub>4</sub>	y <sub>5</sub>	y <sub>6</sub>	y <sub>7</sub>
y <sub>8</sub>	y <sub>9</sub>	y <sub>10</sub>	y <sub>11</sub>	y <sub>12</sub>	y <sub>13</sub>	y <sub>14</sub>	y <sub>15</sub>
y <sub>16</sub>	y <sub>17</sub>	y <sub>18</sub>	y <sub>19</sub>	y <sub>20</sub>	y <sub>21</sub>	y <sub>22</sub>	y <sub>23</sub>
y <sub>24</sub>	y <sub>25</sub>	y <sub>26</sub>	y <sub>27</sub>	y <sub>28</sub>	y <sub>29</sub>	y <sub>30</sub>	y <sub>31</sub>
y <sub>32</sub>	y <sub>33</sub>	y <sub>34</sub>	y <sub>35</sub>	y <sub>36</sub>	y <sub>37</sub>	y <sub>38</sub>	y <sub>39</sub>
y <sub>40</sub>	y <sub>41</sub>	y <sub>42</sub>	y <sub>43</sub>	y <sub>44</sub>	y <sub>45</sub>	y <sub>46</sub>	y <sub>47</sub>
y <sub>48</sub>	y <sub>49</sub>	y <sub>50</sub>	y <sub>51</sub>	y <sub>52</sub>	y <sub>53</sub>	y <sub>54</sub>	y <sub>55</sub>
y <sub>56</sub>	y <sub>57</sub>	y <sub>58</sub>	y <sub>59</sub>	y <sub>60</sub>	y <sub>61</sub>	y <sub>62</sub>	y <sub>63</sub>
y <sub>64</sub>	y <sub>65</sub>	y <sub>66</sub>	y <sub>67</sub>	y <sub>68</sub>	y <sub>69</sub>	y <sub>70</sub>	y <sub>71</sub>
y <sub>72</sub>	y <sub>73</sub>	y <sub>74</sub>	y <sub>75</sub>	y <sub>76</sub>	y <sub>77</sub>	y <sub>78</sub>	y <sub>79</sub>
y <sub>80</sub>	y <sub>81</sub>	y <sub>82</sub>	y <sub>83</sub>	y <sub>84</sub>	y <sub>85</sub>	y <sub>86</sub>	y <sub>87</sub>
y <sub>88</sub>	y <sub>89</sub>	y <sub>90</sub>	y <sub>91</sub>	y <sub>92</sub>	y <sub>93</sub>	y <sub>94</sub>	y <sub>95</sub>

Figure 3-(a). puncturing pattern for 'y' sequences

2	5	0	3	6	1	4	7
z <sub>0</sub>	z <sub>1</sub>	z <sub>2</sub>	z <sub>3</sub>	z <sub>4</sub>	z <sub>5</sub>	z <sub>6</sub>	z <sub>7</sub>
z <sub>8</sub>	z <sub>9</sub>	z <sub>10</sub>	z <sub>11</sub>	z <sub>12</sub>	z <sub>13</sub>	z <sub>14</sub>	z <sub>15</sub>
z <sub>16</sub>	z <sub>17</sub>	z <sub>18</sub>	z <sub>19</sub>	z <sub>20</sub>	z <sub>21</sub>	z <sub>22</sub>	z <sub>23</sub>
z <sub>24</sub>	z <sub>25</sub>	z <sub>26</sub>	z <sub>27</sub>	z <sub>28</sub>	z <sub>29</sub>	z <sub>30</sub>	z <sub>31</sub>
z <sub>32</sub>	z <sub>33</sub>	z <sub>34</sub>	z <sub>35</sub>	z <sub>36</sub>	z <sub>37</sub>	z <sub>38</sub>	z <sub>39</sub>
z <sub>40</sub>	z <sub>41</sub>	z <sub>42</sub>	z <sub>43</sub>	z <sub>44</sub>	z <sub>45</sub>	z <sub>46</sub>	z <sub>47</sub>
z <sub>48</sub>	z <sub>49</sub>	z <sub>50</sub>	z <sub>51</sub>	z <sub>52</sub>	z <sub>53</sub>	z <sub>54</sub>	z <sub>55</sub>
z <sub>56</sub>	z <sub>57</sub>	z <sub>58</sub>	z <sub>59</sub>	z <sub>60</sub>	z <sub>61</sub>	z <sub>62</sub>	z <sub>63</sub>
z <sub>64</sub>	z <sub>65</sub>	z <sub>66</sub>	z <sub>67</sub>	z <sub>68</sub>	z <sub>69</sub>	z <sub>70</sub>	z <sub>71</sub>
z <sub>72</sub>	z <sub>73</sub>	z <sub>74</sub>	z <sub>75</sub>	z <sub>76</sub>	z <sub>77</sub>	z <sub>78</sub>	z <sub>79</sub>
z <sub>80</sub>	z <sub>81</sub>	z <sub>82</sub>	z <sub>83</sub>	z <sub>84</sub>	z <sub>85</sub>	z <sub>86</sub>	z <sub>87</sub>
z <sub>88</sub>	z <sub>89</sub>	z <sub>90</sub>	z <sub>91</sub>	z <sub>92</sub>	z <sub>93</sub>	z <sub>94</sub>	z <sub>95</sub>

Figure 3-(b). puncturing pattern for 'z' sequences

Then we can obtain the resulting puncturing pattern as shown in figure 4. As can be seen in figure 4, puncturing of 'y' sequence and 'z' sequence occurs simultaneously. But if the initial offsets for each sequences are calculated in a different manner, then another pattern can be obtained.

The problem occurs if the puncturing number for each column is an odd number. Then, in the extreme case, 8 more 'y' bits can be punctured than 'z' bits or 8 more 'z' bits than 'y' bits. If it is preferred to avoid this problem, the number of puncturing for each sequence can be calculated by  $\left\lfloor \frac{P}{2} \right\rfloor$ . Using this number, shifting parameters for each sequence are calculated. Then two rate matching algorithm operates simultaneously, knowing that for example, for odd numbered column in the 1<sup>st</sup> interleaver, the last puncturing of 'y' must be avoided and for even numbered column, the last puncturing of 'z' must be avoided.

X0	Y0	Z0	X1	Y1	Z1	X2	Y2
Z2	X3	Y3	Z3	X4	Y4	Z4	X5
Y5	Z5	X6	Y6	Z6	X7	Y7	Z7
X8	Y8	Z8	X9	Y9	Z9	X10	Y10
Z10	X11	Y11	Z11	X12	Y13	Z12	X13
Y13	Z13	X14	Y14	Z14	X15	Y15	Z15
X16	Y16	Z16	X17	Y17	Z17	X18	Y18
Z18	X19	Y19	Z19	X20	Y20	Z20	X21
Y21	Z21	X22	Y22	Z22	X23	Y23	Z23
X24	Y24	Z24	X25	Y25	Z25	X26	Y26
Z26	X27	Y27	Z27	X28	Y28	Z28	X29
Y29	Z29	X30	Y30	Z30	X31	Y31	Z31
X32	Y32	Z32	X33	Y33	Z33	X34	Y34
Z34	X35	Y35	Z35	X36	Y36	Z36	X37
Y37	Z37	X38	Y38	Z38	X39	Y39	Z39
X40	Y40	Z40	X41	Y41	Z41	X42	Y42
Z42	X43	Y43	Z43	X44	Y44	Z44	X45
Y45	Z45	X46	Y46	Z46	X47	Y47	Z47
X48	Y48	Z48	X49	Y49	Z49	X50	Y50
Z50	X51	Y51	Z51	X52	Y52	Z52	X53
Y53	Z53	X54	Y54	Z54	X55	Y55	Z55
X56	Y56	Z57	X57	Y57	Z57	X58	Y58
Z58	X59	Y59	Z59	X60	Y60	Z60	X61
Y61	Z61	X62	Y62	Z62	X63	Y63	Z63
X64	Y64	Z64	X65	Y65	Z65	X66	Y66
Z66	X67	Y67	Z67	X68	Y68	Z68	X69
Y69	Z69	X70	Y70	Z70	X71	Y71	Z71
X72	Y72	Z72	X73	Y73	Z73	X74	Y74
Z74	X75	Y75	Z75	X76	Y76	Z76	X77
Y77	Z77	X78	Y78	Z78	X79	Y79	Z79
X80	Y80	Z80	X81	Y81	Z81	X82	Y82
Z82	X83	Y83	Z83	X84	Y84	Z84	X85
Y85	Z85	X86	Y86	Z86	X87	Y87	Z87
X88	Y88	Z88	X89	Y89	Z89	X90	Y90
Z90	X91	Y91	Z91	X92	Y92	Z92	X93
Y93	Z93	X94	Y94	Z94	X95	Y95	Z95

Figure 4. resulting puncturing pattern

## 5. Conclusion

In this contribution, we propose an alternative puncturing algorithm for uplink using similar idea of [7]. This algorithm can satisfy all the requirements for turbo code puncturing without changing the conventional rate matching approach.

## 6. Reference

- [1] 3GPP TSG RAN WG1 Multiplexing and Channel Coding(FDD) TS 25.212 V1.1.0 (1996. 06)
- [2] 3GPP TSG RAN WG1 R1-99203 Optimised Rate Matching After Interleaving, Siemens.
- [3] 3GPP TSG RAN WG1 R1-99703 Text Proposal for Optimised Puncturing, Siemens.
- [4] 3GPP TSG RAN WG1 R1-99338 Puncturing Algorithm for Turbo Code, LGIC.
- [5] 3GPP TSG RAN WG1 R1-99654 Comparison of Downlink Puncturing Algorithms, LGIC.
- [6] 3GPP TSG RAN WG1 R1-99388 Optimised Puncturing Scheme for Turbo Coding, Fujitsu.

[7] 3GPP TSG RAN WG1 R1-99908 Code Symbol Based Uplink Puncturing Algorithm, LGIC