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# Introduction

Based on the Chair Notes **R2\_128 R18 MBS, R18 QoE and R19 XR session notes (Dawid) 2024-11-18 1840**, the following discussions were made.

#### 7.0.2.15 Enhancement on NR QoE management and optimizations for diverse services

(NR\_QoE\_enh-Core; leading WG: RAN3; REL-18; WID: RP-223488)

[R2-2410654](file:///D:\3GPP\TSGR2\TSGR2_128\Docs\R2-2410654.zip) Correction on UE behaviours when reporting SRB is modified Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-18 38.331 18.3.0 5178 - F NR\_QoE\_enh-Core

* Ericsson thinks HO case is different because the UE disconnects from the source node. With SRB change case the UE can still report. Ericsson does not think the change is needed
* QCM agrees with the intention of the CR. QCM wonders if the already received segments can be forwarded between MN and SN?
* Huawei think MN and SN need to coordinate. Huawei asks if Ericsson assumes the UE will finalize the reporting over the old SRB? Ericsson confirms.
* Samsung thinks there is no forwarding of segments between MN and SN. Samsung thinks the reporting SRB should be changed if there is no reporting ongoing.
* Ericsson think there can be some collision cases.
* QCM does not think the UE can send something over the non-existing configuration. Supports Samsung suggestion that the command is not sent from the network in the middle of reporting.
* Nokia thinks that when SRB is changed, the old SRB can be removed. Supports suggestion from Samsung.
* Huawei is also OK with Samsung suggestion.
* QCM is OK with suggestion from Samsung and thinks it should be captured in stage-2.
* The issue described in R2-2410654 can be avoided by network implementation, i.e. the network may avoid changing the reporting SRB for QoE if there is ongoing QoE reporting. FFS whether the network may keep the previous SRB even when changing the reporting SRB to let the UE finish the ongoing reporting over the old SRB
* Capture this in stage-2.
* [AT128][503][QoE] QoE reporting SRB change issue (Huawei)

Scope: Discuss the FFS and stage-2 CR

Intended outcome: Agreeable proposal and stage-2 CR

Deadline: Report and CR ready for discussion during CB session on Thursday

This Tdoc is to report offline progress of [AT128][503][QoE].

# Discussion

During online discussions, there are two cases for SRB switching:

**Case 1: SRB switching with bear change**

**Case 2: SRB switching without bear change**

Case 2 is corresponding to “**FFS whether the network may keep the previous SRB even when changing the reporting SRB to let the UE finish the ongoing reporting over the old SRB**” in the Chair Notes.

Here are more details for both cases.

**Case 1: SRB switching with bear change**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Steps** | **UE/NW behaviours** |
| Step 1 | The UE is configured with reportingSRB=SRB5 in NR-DC, and the UE is doing QoE reporting for a specific *measConfigAppLayerId* |
| Step 2 | When the UE is sending MeasurementReportAppLayer message (transmitted but not confirmed yet), the UE also receives reportingSRB indication from NW (=SRB4), i.e. old SRB5 is removed and new SRB4 is to be enabled for QoE reporting purpose. |
| Step 3 | after RRCReconfiguration:   * For new QoE reports, they should be sent via SRB4. No problem * For old QoE reports (transmitted via old SRB5 but not confirmed), UE behaviours are unclear |

For Case 1, the common understanding is that in step 3, the UE is not able to send the non-confirmed segments/messages via old SRB5. So whether the UE should send them via new SRB4 or just discard them is unclear.

**Case 2: SRB switching without bear change**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Steps** | **UE/NW behaviours** |
| Step 1 | The UE is configured with reportingSRB=SRB5 in NR-DC, and the UE is doing QoE reporting for a specific *measConfigAppLayerId* |
| Step 2 | When the UE is sending MeasurementReportAppLayer message (transmitted but not confirmed yet), the UE also receives reportingSRB indication from NW (=SRB4), i.e. old SRB5 is kept and new SRB4 is to be enabled for QoE reporting purpose. |
| Step 3 | after RRCReconfiguration:   * For new QoE reports, they should be sent via SRB4. No problem * For old QoE reports (transmitted via old SRB5 but not confirmed), UE behaviours are unclear |

For Case 2, regarding how the UE handles the non-confirmed segments/messages, there are two views:

1st view: since old SRB5 is kept and available, the UE should send the non-confirmed segments/messages via the old SRB5

2nd view: in step 2, the UE has got NW indication via DL RRC message, the UE should follow NW order and thus the UE should send the non-confirmed segments/messages via the new SRB4

Regarding Case 2 discussion, please companies provide your opinions in the table below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Email (Name)** | **Comments** |
| [Jun.chen@huawei.com](mailto:Jun.chen@huawei.com) (Jun) | We prefer the 2nd view, and the 2nd view is the same to Case 1. Thus, we can directly remove the FFS from the Chair Notes. |
| [jianhua@qti.qualcomm.com](mailto:jianhua@qti.qualcomm.com) (Jianhua) | Prefer 2nd view, 1st view changes UE behaviors. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Regarding how/what to capture in TS 37.340, please companies provide your opinions in the table below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Email (Name)** | **Comments** |
| [Jun.chen@huawei.com](mailto:Jun.chen@huawei.com) (Jun) | On top of 13.4.3.1 QoE Measurement Collection Activation and Reporting in NR-DC in TS 37.340, our suggestion is as below:  For a UE in NR-DC, both SRB4 and SRB5 can be configured simultaneously for QoE reporting. The network explicitly and separately indicates to the UE whether to send encapsulated QoE reports and RAN visible QoE reports via SRB4 or SRB5, per QoE reference. The SRB for QoE reporting can be changed during the QoE measurement session. If there is ongoing QoE reporting associated to a *measConfigAppLayerId*, the network may avoid changing the reporting SRB. The command for changing the SRB used for reporting may be sent to the UE by the node that configured that specific QoE configuration. The node that currently receives the QoE reports via the Uu interface can request from the peer node that the QoE reporting path is changed to the peer node per QoE reference. The change of QoE reporting path needs to be approved by both nodes serving the UE. |
| [jianhua@qti.qualcomm.com](mailto:jianhua@qti.qualcomm.com) (Jianhua) | If there is ongoing QoE reporting associated to a *measConfigAppLayerId*, the network should by implementation avoid changing the reporting SRB. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Conclusion

[To be added]