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# Introduction

This document is to trigger the following email discussion:

* [AT128][402][POS] Spatial relation info source for positioning in RRC\_INACTIVE (ZTE)

Scope: Polish the RRC and MAC CRs in R2-2409565 and R2-2409607 and their shadows, and discuss to converge on what level of changes to the MAC spec are acceptable.

Intended outcome: Agreeable CRs (with CB) in R2-2410985 / R2-2410986 / R2-2410987 / R2-2410988

Deadline: Wednesday 2024-11-20 1600 EST

The related CR is referenced as below:

[R2-2409565](file:///C:\Users\mtk16923\Documents\3GPP%20Meetings\202411%20-%20RAN2_128,%20Orlando\Extracts\R2-2409565%20Correction%20on%20spatial%20relation%20info%20in%20SP%20SRS%20activation%20deactivation%20MAC%20CE%20(R17).docx) Correction on spatial relation info in SP SRS activation deactivation MAC CE (R17) ZTE Corporation, Ericsson CR Rel-17 38.321 17.10.0 1977 - F NR\_pos\_enh-Core

[R2-2409566](file:///C:\Users\mtk16923\Documents\3GPP%20Meetings\202411%20-%20RAN2_128,%20Orlando\Extracts\R2-2409566%20Correction%20on%20spatial%20relation%20info%20in%20SP%20SRS%20activation%20deactivation%20MAC%20CE%20(R18).docx) Correction on spatial relation info in SP SRS activation deactivation MAC CE (R18) ZTE Corporation, Ericsson CR Rel-18 38.321 18.3.0 1978 - A NR\_pos\_enh-Core

[R2-2409607](file:///C:\Users\mtk16923\Documents\3GPP%20Meetings\202411%20-%20RAN2_128,%20Orlando\Extracts\R2-2409607%20Correction%20on%20spatial%20relation%20info%20in%20SRS%20configuration%20(R17).docx) Correction on spatial relation info in SRS configuration (R17) ZTE Corporation, Ericsson CR Rel-17 38.331 17.10.0 5101 - F NR\_pos\_enh-Core

[R2-2409608](file:///C:\Users\mtk16923\Documents\3GPP%20Meetings\202411%20-%20RAN2_128,%20Orlando\Extracts\R2-2409608%20Correction%20on%20spatial%20relation%20info%20in%20SRS%20configuration%20(R18).docx) Correction on spatial relation info in SRS configuration (R18) ZTE Corporation, Ericsson CR Rel-18 38.331 18.3.0 5102 - A NR\_pos\_enh-Core

# Discussion

## Background

RAN1 has replied with the LS R2-2409508 indicating that CSI-RS and SRS configured in RRC\_CONNECTED should not be used as spatial relation RS for SRS transmission in RRC\_INACTIVE:

|  |
| --- |
| RAN1 thanks RAN2 for the LS on CSI-RS/SRS for spatial relation in RRC\_INACTIVE, with regard to RAN2’s following questions, RAN1 provides the corresponding answers.  **Q1: When activating semi-persistent SRS for positioning in RRC\_INACTIVE, whether NZP-CSI-RS and SRS can be used as source for spatial relation indication in the MAC CE?**  [RAN1 reply] SRS configured by the *SRS-PosResource* for RRC\_INACTIVE can be used as source, but NZP CSI-RS and SRS configured by the *SRS-Resource* cannot be used as source for spatial relation indication in MAC CE for activating semi-persistent SRS for positioning in RRC\_INACTIVE state.  **Q2: When activating semi-persistent SRS for positioning in RRC\_INACTIVE, whether** **NZP-CSI-RS and SRS which are configured in RRC\_CONNECTED can be used as source for spatial relation indication in the MAC CE?**  [RAN1 reply] NZP-CSI-RS and SRS configured by the *SRS-PosResource* or *SRS-Resource* in RRC\_CONNECTED cannot be used as source for spatial relation indication in the MAC CE for activating semi-persistent SRS for positioning in RRC\_INACTIVE.  **Q3: Whether the above answers are applicable for SP-SRS without validity area activation in RRC\_INACTIVE, SP-SRS with validity area activation in RRC\_INACTIVE and aggregated SP-SRS activation in RRC\_INACTIVE?**  [RAN1 reply] The above answers can be applicable for SP-SRS without validity area activation in RRC\_INACTIVE, SP-SRS with validity area activation in RRC\_INACTIVE and aggregated SP-SRS activation in RRC\_INACTIVE. |

The RRC CR and MAC CR are provided to address this constriction.

## RRC CR

According to online comments and offline discussion with some companies, the RRC CR is updated as below:

|  |
| --- |
| ***spatialRelationInfoPos***  Configuration of the spatial relation between a reference RS and the target SRS. Reference RS can be SSB/CSI-RS/SRS/DL-PRS (see TS 38.214 [19], clause 6.2.1).  If the IE *srs-ResourceId-Ext* is present, the IE *srs-ResourceId* in *spatialRelationInfoPos* represents the index from 0 to 63. Otherwise the IE *srs-ResourceId* in *spatialRelationInfoPos* represents the index from 0 to 31. If the SRS is transmitted in RRC\_INACTIVE, *srs-ResourceId,* *csi-RS-IndexServing* or *srs-PosResourceId* that configured in RRC\_CONNECTED are not contained in this field. |

**Question 1: Do companies agree with the above polishing of the RRC CR? (If you have better wording, please provide it in Comments)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Companies | Agree/disagree | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## MAC CR

During online discussion, one company do not agree with the current MAC CR. Therefore, Rapporteur provides the following options:

Option 1: Take the original CR with the following word polishing (i.e., in a formative way):

|  |
| --- |
| - SRS resource ID: When F1 is set to 0, the field indicates an index for SRS resource *SRS-ResourceId* as defined in TS 38.331 [5]; When F1 is set to 1, the field indicates an index for Positioning SRS resource *SRS-PosResourceId* as defined in TS 38.331 [5]. When the MAC CE is used for SP SRS activation in RRC\_INACTIVE, this field can only indicate an index for Positioning SRS resource *SRS-PosResourceId* configured in RRC\_INACTIVE. The length of the field is 5 bits representing the index from 0 to 31; |

Option 2: Add a note under the MAC CE to address the restriction (i.e., in an informative way):

|  |
| --- |
| Note: When the MAC CE is used for activation of SP-SRS in RRC\_INACTIVE, the SRS configured in RRC\_CONNECTED and the CSI-RS cannot be configured as spatial relation source RS by this MAC CE. |

**Question 2: If companies agree to have MAC CR, which option of the MAC CR do companies agree to?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Companies | Option1/Option2/Both are ok | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusion

TBD