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# Introduction

In “R1-2407589 LS on IUC Scheme-2 and Random Selection” RAN 2 asks following to RAN1 [1]:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| In TS 38.321, it is specified that

|  |
| --- |
| 5.22.1.2b Re-selection for using a received resource conflict indicationIf the MAC entity has been configured with Sidelink resource allocation mode 2 to transmit using pool(s) of resources in a carrier as indicated in TS 38.331 [5] based on full sensing, or partial sensing or random selection or any combination(s), the MAC entity shall for each Sidelink process:1> if *sl-interUECoordinationScheme2* enabling reception/transmission of a resource conflict indication is configured by RRC; and<Text Removed> |

But it is not clear to RAN2 whether the configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection is supported or not.**Q1**: Is configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection supported or not, for normal resource pool and for exceptional resource pool?**2. Actions:****To RAN WG1****ACTION:** RAN2 respectfully asks RAN1 to provide answer to **Q1** above.  |

Companies have provided their views in contributions [2] – [15] under agenda item 5:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| [R1-2407825](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_118b/Docs/R1-2407825.zip) | **Answer**: RAN1 has not discussed whether the Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection can be enabled together. From RAN1 perspective, it is possible to enable IUC scheme-2 for a UE performing random selection, which may be beneficial for a PUE, that has no sensing result, to avoid potential resource conflict. |
| [R1-2407999](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_118b/Docs/R1-2407999.zip) | **Answer:** There has been no special handling for supporting IUC scheme-2 with random selection in RAN1. From RAN1’s perspective, IUC scheme-2 is benefit for sensing-based resource selection as well as random selection, by excluding the conflict resource(s) from the candidate resource set or from the resource pool. Therefore, it is RAN1’s understanding that co-configuration of IUC scheme-2 and random selection can be supported for normal resource pool and exceptional resource pool. |
| [R1-2408125](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_118b/Docs/R1-2408125.zip)[R1-2408126](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_118b/Docs/R1-2408126.zip) | **Observation 1: From the perspective of UE A, it is allowed by current specification that UE performing random selection can determine and provide resource conflict information.****Observation 2: From the perspective of UE B, physical layer will report conflict resources or slot to higher layer in the case of random selection.** **Proposal 1: The configuration of IUC scheme 2 and random selection is supported in physical layer for both normal and exceptional resource pool.** |
| [R1-2408185](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_118b/Docs/R1-2408185.zip) | ***Proposal 1: Reply question to RAN2 as follows:**** *Configuration of both inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random resource selection is not supported.*
 |
| [R1-2408297](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_118b/Docs/R1-2408297.zip) | ***Observation 1: The following aspects should be taken into consideration when RAN1 decides whether to support the combination of IUC scheme-2 and Random-selection:**** ***The feedback and reselection of resources suffering from the half-duplex problem by IUC scheme-2 can be technically beneficial for Random-selection regardless of the resource pool type (e.g., normal pool, exceptional pool).***
* ***No additional RAN1 specification work is required to support the combination of IUC scheme-2 and Random-selection.***
 |
| [R1-2408439](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_118b/Docs/R1-2408439.zip) | **Reply to Question1:** The configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme 2 and random selection are supported, for normal resource pool and for exceptional resource pool.  |
| [R1-2408521](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_118b/Docs/R1-2408521.zip) | **Answer:** If PSFCH is configured in a resource pool, from RAN1’s perspective, inter-UE coordination scheme-2 can be applied for a mode 2 UE based on random selection, no matter in a normal resource pool or an exceptional resource pool. Once the resource conflict indication is received, the UE can re-select a resource by random selection. Besides, to support this configuration combination, no RAN1 specification impact has been identified. Based on above judgment, whether to support the configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection can be determined by RAN2.  |
| [R1-2408616](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_118b/Docs/R1-2408616.zip) | * It is up to RAN2 to decide whether to support configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection, for normal resource pool and for exceptional resource pool.
* From RAN1 specification perspective, there is no limitation on configuration of both features.
 |
| [R1-2408771](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_118b/Docs/R1-2408771.zip) | **Observation 1:*** **Inter-UE coordination scheme 2 works well in a resource pool (pre-)configured with random selection and full and/or partial sensing.**
* **Inter-UE coordination scheme 2 works well in a resource pool (pre-)configured only with random selection, at least when *sl-OptionForCondition2-A-1* = '1'.**

**Proposal 1:*** **Conclude that inter-UE coordination scheme 2 can be (pre-)configured with random selection for the same resource pool regardless of whether the pool is normal or exceptional.**
	+ **RAN1 sends a reply LS to inform RAN2 of this RAN1 conclusion.**
 |
| [R1-2408832](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_118b/Docs/R1-2408832.zip) | From RAN1’s perspective, configuration of both inter-UE coordination Scheme 2 and random selection in the same resource pool is supported. |
| [R1-2408912](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_118b/Docs/R1-2408912.zip)[R1-2408914](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_118b/Docs/R1-2408914.zip) | 1. For a resource pool where IUC is configured:
	1. Option A: the UE is not expected to use RRS when doing inter-UE coordination with another UE in the resource pool
	2. Option B: the resource pool not configured with RRS in the allowed resource selection methods.

For Q1: configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection in the same resource pool, for both normal resource pool and for exceptional resource pool, is not supported.  |
| [R1-2408947](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_118b/Docs/R1-2408947.zip) | RAN1 has not made an explicit agreement regarding the configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection. From RAN1’s point of view there is no reason not to support this configuration. No update to RAN1’s specification will be required. |

Based on the inputs above, although majority companies observed that there is no explicit RAN1 agreement regarding configuration of both inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection, they still believe that both can be configured in an exceptional or normal resource pool with random selection as no RAN1 specification update is identified, furthermore, support of this concurrent configuration is beneficial. However, there are also technical concerns on support configuration of both, including lack of RSRP threshold needed for resource conflict determination, and the need of sensing capability of a UE.

Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 involves 2 UEs, i.e. UE-A and UE-B, the technical concerns raised by companies so far are all on UE-A only. The behavior of UE-A is specified in subclause 16.3.0 of 38.213 copied below, where UE-A determines resource conflict according to 1) due to half-duplex operation in the slot or 2）first and second resources overlap in time and frequency. 1) is not dependent on any RSRP measurement or RSRP threshold, which can be supported even by a UE without sensing capability, and it should be applicable in a resource pool with random selection. 2) is dependent on RSRP measurement of second/third UE and corresponding RSRP threshold, which may not be applicable for all configurations or all UE-A, even so seems there is no need to make any update for a resource pool with random selection as this condition is simply not triggered in the cases.

As per subclause 16.3.1 of 38.213 and majority companies’ observation, there is no need to update UE-B’s behavior for a resource pool with random selection.

|  |
| --- |
| 16.3.0 UE procedure for transmitting PSFCH with control information**……**A first UE determines a second UE for providing the conflict information to in a PSFCH as follows- if the first UE is an intended receiver of the second UE for a reserved resource of a PSSCH transmission in a slot,- does not expect to perform reception on the sidelink due to half-duplex operation in the slot, and- determines to transmit to the second UE the PSFCH with the conflict information.A first UE determines a UE for providing the conflict information to in a PSFCH as follows- if, for a resource pool, *typeAUEScheme2* is disabled, the first UE has been indicated a first reserved resource and a second reserved resource as resources for PSSCH reception or, if for a resource pool *typeAUEScheme2* is enabled, has been indicated at least the first reserved resource or the second reserved resource for PSSCH reception,- detects a first SCI format 1-A that includes a first priority value, $p\_{1}$, and the first reserved resource for PSSCH transmission from a second UE,- detects a second SCI format 1-A that includes a second priority value, $p\_{2}<p\_{1}$, and the second reserved resource for PSSCH transmission from a third UE, and- determines that the first and second resources overlap in time and frequency- the PSFCH occasions for resource conflict information of the second UE and the third UE are valid- the indicationUEB flag in SCI Format 1-A from the second UE and the third UE is set to 1, if *indicationUEBScheme2* = 'enabled' - determines the first SCI format 1-A and the second SCI format 1-A are not received later than *sl-MinTimeGapPSFCH* before the PSFCH occasion for conflict information- determines to transmit to the second UE the PSFCH with the conflict information- determines to transmit to either the second UE or the third UE the PSFCH with the conflict information, if $p\_{2}=p\_{1}$The first UE can be provided conditions by *optionForCondition2A1Scheme2* to determine conflict of reserved resources in a resource pool- if *optionForCondition2A1Scheme2* = '0', the first UE can be provided by, *ThresPSSCH-RSRP-List* $Th\left(p\_{i},p\_{j}\right)$, a list of RSRP thresholds for each priority combination $\left(p\_{i},p\_{j}\right)$ [6, TS 38.214]- if the first UE is an intended receiver for PSSCH in a reserved resource of the second UE, the first UE determines a resource conflict if the RSRP [6, TS 38.214] of the third UE is above a threshold$ Th\left(p\_{2},p\_{1}\right)$- if the first UE is an intended receiver for PSSCH in a reserved resource of the third UE, the first UE determines a resource conflict if the RSRP of the second UE is above a threshold$ Th\left(p\_{1},p\_{2}\right)$- if *optionForCondition2A1Scheme2* = '1', the first UE can be provided a value $Delta\\_Th$ by *deltaRSRPThresh*- if the first UE is an intended receiver for PSSCH in a reserved resource of the second UE, the first UE determines a resource conflict if $RSRP\_{2}>RSRP\_{1}+Delta\\_Th$, where $RSRP\_{1}$ and $RSRP\_{2}$ are the RSRP measurements from the first UE for the second UE and the third UE, respectively- if the first UE is an intended receiver for PSSCH in a reserved resource of the third UE, the first UE determines a resource conflict if $RSRP\_{1}>RSRP\_{2}+Delta\\_Th$ |

# Discussion

### 2.1 First round

Companies are invited to provide their inputs to the questions below.

**Question 1:** **The current RAN1 specification does not preclude configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection for a single resource pool, is this understanding correct or not?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Y/N** | **Comments** |
| Apple | Yes |  |
| Nokia | Yes |  |
| NEC | Yes  |  |
| DCM | Yes |  |
| Sharp | Yes |  |
| Qualcomm | yes |  |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | yes |  |
| CATT/CICTCI | Yes |  |
| OPPO | Yes |  |

**Question 2: If the answer to Question 1 is Y, any comment on the draft reply to the LS below:**

***RAN1’s reply:***

***RAN1 has not made any explicit agreement regarding the configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection. However, no additional RAN1 specification work is required to support configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection. In another word, from RAN1 perspective configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection is supported, for normal resource pool and for exceptional resource pool.***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Apple | Agree |
| Nokia | OK in principle, but prefer to remove the last sentence since it might give the impression that this configuration is explicitly supported.[Mod] Please check whether the updated wording is more acceptable. |
| NEC | OK, also suggest following clarification to better avoid RAN2 redundant discussion***RAN1 has not made any explicit agreement regarding the configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection. However, no additional RAN1 specification work is required to support configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection, i.e., RAN1 spec supports UE-A to determine IUC scheme 2 information with random-selection. In another word, from RAN1 perspective configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection is supported, for normal resource pool and for exceptional resource pool.*** [Mod] Thanks for the suggestion. However, I tend to agree with Qualcomm’s view. |
| DCM | Agree |
| Sharp | Agree |
| Qualcomm | Agree. I think NEC suggestion is repeating the last sentence twice, so I am not sure it is needed. Some minor corrections below:***In other words, from RAN1 perspective, configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection is supported for normal resource pool and for exceptional resource pool.*** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | We suggest to leave the decision to RAN2. See red changes below.==***RAN1’s reply:*** ***RAN1 has not made any explicit agreement regarding the configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection. ~~However, n~~No additional RAN1 specification work is required to support configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection. ~~In another word, from RAN1 perspective~~ It is up to RAN2 whether to support configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection ~~is supported~~, for normal resource pool and for exceptional resource pool.*** [Mod] please check whether the updated wording is acceptable or not. |
| CATT/CICTCI | Agree with the draft LS reply. We think at least we can provide RAN1 understanding/preference regarding the co-configuration of IUC scheme-2 and random selection, instead of saying the decision is totally up to RAN2. |
| OPPO | Agree |

### 2.2 2nd round

Thanks for the input.

So far all response to Q1 is ‘Yes’. Given the situation, perhaps we can focus on how to reply to RAN2 in 2nd round.

Based on the comments received above majority companies are fine with the draft reply, however some companies show concerns on the last sentence. I updated the reply as below, hope it could ease companies’ concern on the last sentence and meanwhile express RAN1’s understanding/preference.

Please note that this issue may be treated tomorrow (Tuesday) morning, if possible, please continue to provide your comments on this version. However, I understand that colleagues may not have sufficient time to feedback, in the case please give your comments online.

***RAN1’s reply v2:***

***RAN1 has not made any explicit agreement regarding the configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection. ~~However, n~~No additional RAN1 specification work is required to support configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection. In ~~an~~other words, ~~from RAN1 perspective~~ configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection ~~is supported~~, for normal resource pool and for exceptional resource pool, is ~~implicitly~~ supported in RAN1 specifications.***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Apple | We do not think “implicitly” is needed in the reply. But we can follow the majority view.  |
| vivo | We are basically OK with the reply, and we also agree with Apple to remove the ‘implicitly’. |
| Qualcomm | We also think that the word “implicitly” is not needed, and may be a bad precedence as a response to the LS. |
| Moderator | Based on the comments “implicitly” is removed, please continue provide your comments on the updated version. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Suggest change as: “***~~is~~ can be ~~implicitly~~ supported***”.One thing clear is RAN1 does not want to have spec changes on this.We are not sure how much work RAN2 needs to support this, e.g., any RAN2 spec changes? And we cannot judge this in RAN1. So we suggest to leave the decision to RAN2.==***RAN1’s reply v2:*** ***RAN1 has not made any explicit agreement regarding the configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection. ~~However, n~~No additional RAN1 specification work is required to support configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection. In ~~an~~other words, ~~from RAN1 perspective~~ configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection ~~is supported~~, for normal resource pool and for exceptional resource pool, ~~is~~ can be ~~implicitly~~ supported in RAN1 specifications.***  |
| Nokia | If the word “implicitly” is not acceptable then we support Huawei’s alternative wording.The point is that we don’t want to give RAN2 the impression that RAN1 explicitly considered support for the combination of random selection and IUC scheme 2 when writing the RAN1 spec (which puts pressure on RAN2 to update their spec to also support this behaviour rather than leaving it up to RAN2 to decide what they want to do). |
| DCM | We also prefer to remove “implicitly”.Regarding HW’s suggestion, we do not prefer to change from “is” to “can be”. In RAN1 specifications, it is definitely “supported”, and can be (pre-)configured. “can be supported” will give different impression.  |
| ZTE | OK with removing “implicitly”. |

### 2.3 3rd round

Thanks for the constructive discussion.

According to Xiaodong’s schedule this LS was not treated today. Let us continue the draft folder discussion, hope we can stabilize the reply soon.

V3 below is updated according to HW and Nokia’s comments, to address DCM’s concern “without any update” is added to the end. Please double check whether this is acceptable.

***RAN1’s reply v3:***

***RAN1 has not made any explicit agreement regarding the configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection. No additional RAN1 specification work is required to support configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection. In other words, configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection, for normal resource pool and for exceptional resource pool, can be supported in RAN1 specifications without any update.***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Nokia | OK |
| DCM | Not OK unless “can be” is updated to “is”. For us, “can be” here is unclear. Another possibility is the following, how about this?***RAN1 has not made any explicit agreement regarding the configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection. No additional RAN1 specification work is required to support configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection. In other words, ~~configuration of~~ both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection can be (pre-)configured, for normal resource pool and for exceptional resource pool, ~~can be supported in RAN1 specifications~~ without any update of RAN1 specifications.*** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Ok with moderator’s version.Considering DCM’s comment, maybe we can also simply remove the last sentence.==***RAN1’s reply v3:*** ***RAN1 has not made any explicit agreement regarding the configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection. No additional RAN1 specification work is required to support configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection. ~~In other words, configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection, for normal resource pool and for exceptional resource pool, can be supported in RAN1 specifications without any update.~~***  |

### 2.4 4th round

The draft reply is structured into 3 bullets.

The first bullet seems rather stable now. The second sub-bullet is updated according to DCM’s comments. And one Note is added to further clarify the situation and RAN1’s attitude.

***RAN1’s reply v4:***

* ***RAN1 has not made any explicit agreement regarding the configuration of both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection. No additional RAN1 specification work is required to support configuration of both*** ***Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection.***
* ***In other words, both Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection can be (pre-)configured, for normal resource pool and for exceptional resource pool, without any update of RAN1 specifications.***
* ***Note: RAN1 has NOT explicitly considered support for the combination of Inter-UE coordination scheme-2 and random-selection in a single resource pool when writing the RAN1 specification. It is up to RAN2 whether to support such (pre-)configuration.***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Proposal for Online
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