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# Introduction

Rel-19 MIMO work item objectives were updated in RAN#105. In particular the following update was made for 3T3R (RP-242394):

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Specify non-coherent UL codebook to facilitate 3-antenna-port codebook-based transmissions, enhancement(s) to enable 3T6R SRS antenna switching, as well as UE capability signaling for 3T3R antenna switching and 3-antenna-port non-codebook-based transmissions, without enhancement on UL full power transmission and without enhancement on SRS resource   Note: UL full power transmission mode 1 and 2 are not supported.  Note: Other than UE capability signaling, no other enhancement is specified for 3T3R SRS antenna switching. |

In RAN1, there is debate whether or not the above WID objective precludes specification change on SRS configuration details and SRS resource/set definition for ‘3T3R’ antenna switching. In RAN#106, the following tdocs were submitted on this issue:

* RP-242488 On the Scope of Rel-19 NR MIMO Phase 5 InterDigital, Inc.
* RP-242618 Discussion on 3T3R SRS antenna switching capability in Rel-19 MIMO vivo
* RP-243173 on Rel-19 MIMO 3T3R Apple

# Discussions

Moderator proposes to select one of the following 3 alternatives in RAN#106.

* Alt 1: RAN clarifies that for ‘3T3R’ antenna switching, SRS configuration details including SRS resource/set definition for ‘3T3R’ antenna switching can be discussed and supported as part of Rel-19 MIMO in RAN1
  + Update WID accordingly
* Alt 2: Other than UE capability signaling, no other enhancement is specified for 3T3R SRS antenna switching (i.e. SRS configuration details including SRS resource/set definition for ‘3T3R’ antenna switching is not supported) as part of Rel-19 MIMO in RAN1
* Alt 3: 3T3R SRS antenna switching is not supported as part of Rel-19 MIMO
  + Update WID accordingly

Note that the RAN1 workload required for each of the three alternatives is marginal.

Companies are invited to provide their views using the table below.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** |  | **Comments** |
| vivo | Alt1 or alt 3 | In our view alt2 is not reasonable. We should not intentionally introduce a broken feature in the spec. Either we introduce a complete UE feature or we remove the UE feature. |
| Apple | Alt 1 or Alt 3 | We are fine with either Alt 1 or Alt 3.  Alt 2 should be avoided. Without specifying the 3T3R features in the specification, e.g., TS38.214, we fail to see the justification to specify the corresponding UE capability. |
| OPPO | Alt.1 | We support Alt.1 in principle. In our view, the WID can kept as it is (RAN clarification is sufficient for this case) or modify it to avoid the potential confusion as suggested by Alt.1.  For all the antenna configuration xTxR (x=1,2,4,8), there are descriptions on the UE capability from the perspective of the SRS configuration. Thus, it is nature to have similar description for 3T3R. If without such kind of description, the spec is broken. Thus, Alt.1 is our preference.  For Alt.2, the spec will be broken. Thus, we should avoid it.  For Alt.3, it will revert RAN agreement. Thus, we should avoid it.  The main concern from Huawei is on a UE with only 3 physical antennas (that is the intention of the proponents). Thus, not sure whether it can address Huawei’s concern if we can agree some conclusion like: A UE supporting 3T3R antenna switching should be equipped with 4 or more physical antennas. |
| Nokia | Alt.1 or Alt.3 | We agree with vivo and Apple above that we should only add UE feature for something that has corresponding support in specifications. |

# Conclusions

TBD