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**\*\*\*\* START OF CHANGES \*\*\*\***

Annex H (normative):
The use of "Security Mechanism Agreement for SIP Sessions" [21] for security mode set-up

The BNF syntax of RFC 3329 [21] is defined for negotiating security associations for semi-manually keyed IPsec or TLS in the following way:

 security-client = "Security-Client" HCOLON sec-mechanism \*(COMMA sec-mechanism)

 security-server = "Security-Server" HCOLON sec-mechanism \*(COMMA sec-mechanism)

 security-verify = "Security-Verify" HCOLON sec-mechanism \*(COMMA sec-mechanism)

 sec-mechanism = mechanism-name \*(SEMI mech-parameters)

 mechanism-name = "ipsec-3gpp" / "tls"

 mech-parameters = ( preference / algorithm / protocol / mode / encrypt-algorithm / spi‑c / spi‑s / port‑c / port‑s )

 preference = "q" EQUAL qvalue

 qvalue = ( "0" [ "." 0\*3DIGIT ] ) / ( "1" [ "." 0\*3("0") ] )

 algorithm = "alg" EQUAL ("hmac-sha-1-96" / "aes-gmac" / "aes-gmac- us " / "null" )

 protocol = "prot" EQUAL ( "ah" / "esp" )

 mode = "mod" EQUAL ( "trans" / "tun" / "UDP-enc-tun" )

 encrypt-algorithm = "ealg" EQUAL ("aes-cbc" / "aes-gcm" / "aes-gcm- us" / "null" )

 spi‑c = "spi‑c" EQUAL spivalue

 spi‑s = "spi‑s" EQUAL spivalue

 spivalue = 10DIGIT; 0 to 4294967295

 port‑c = "port‑c" EQUAL port

 port‑s = "port‑s" EQUAL port

 port = 1\*DIGIT

The changes compared to RFC 3329 [21] are:

 "alg" parameter: Addition of "aes-gmac","aes-gmac- us" and "null". Removal of "hmac-md5-96"

 "ealg" parameter: Addition of "aes-cbc, "aes-gcm- us", and "aes-gcm". Removal of "des-ede3-cbc"

 "mod" parameter: Addition of "UDP-enc-tun"

"Hmac-sha-1-96" and "aes-cbc" are not recommended.

The use of security association parameters is specified in clauses 7.1, 7.2, M.7.1 and M.7.2 of the present document. The parameters described by the BNF above have the following semantics:

 Mechanism-name: For manually keyed IPsec, this field includes the value "ipsec-3gpp". "ipsec‑3gpp" mechanism extends the general negotiation procedure of RFC 3329 [21] in the following way:

1 The server shall store the Security-Client header received in the request before sending the response with the Security-Server header.

2 The client shall include the Security-Client header in the first protected request. In other words, the first protected request shall include both Security-Verify and Security-Client header fields.

3 The server shall check that the content of Security-Client headers received in previous steps (1 and 2) are the same.

Mech-parameters: Of the mech-parameters, only preference is relevant when the mechanism-name has the value "tls".

 Preference: As defined in RFC 3329 [21].

 Algorithm: Defines the authentication algorithm. The algorithm parameter is mandatory. The value "aes-gmac" refers to the authentication algorithm ENCR\_NULL\_AUTH\_AES\_GMAC defined in IETF RFC 4543 [74]. The value "aes-gmac-us" refers to the same authentication algorithm ENCR\_NULL\_AUTH\_AES\_GMAC as "aes-gmac" but with a different salt value generation method — "us" standing for unique salt. The value "null" shall only be used with either encryption algorithm of value "aes-gcm" or "aes-gcm-us".

 Protocol: Defines the IPsec protocol. May have a value "ah" or "esp". If no Protocol parameter is present, the value will be "esp".

NOTE 1: According to clause 6 only "esp" (RFC 4303 [54]) is allowed for use in IMS.

 Mode: Defines the mode in which the IPsec protocol is used. May have a value "trans" for transport mode, and value "tun" for tunneling mode. If no Mode parameter is present, the value will be "trans".

NOTE 2: Void.

 Encrypt-algorithm: If present, defines the encryption algorithm. The value "aes-cbc" refers to the algorithm defined in IETF RFC 3602 [22]. The value "aes-gcm" refers to the encryption algorithm AES-GCM with a 16 octet ICV defined in IETF RFC 4106 [73]. The value "aes-gcm-us" also refers to the same encryption algorithm AES-GCM with a 16 octet ICV as "aes-gcm" but with a different salt value generation method — "us" standing for unique salt. If no Encrypt-algorithm parameter is present, the algorithm will be "null". The values "aes-gcm" or "aes-gcm-us" shall only be used with authentication algorithm value equal to "null".

 Spi‑c: Defines the SPI number of the inbound SA at the protected client port.

 Spi‑s: Defines the SPI number of the inbound SA at the protected server port.

 Port‑c: Defines the protected client port.

 Port‑s: Defines the protected server port.

It is assumed that the underlying IPsec implementation supports selectors that allow all transport protocols supported by SIP to be protected with a single SA.

**\*\*\*\* END OF CHANGES \*\*\*\***