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\* \* \* Second Change \* \* \* \*

### 6.1.3c SBA Certificate profiles

#### 6.1.3c.1 Introduction

Clause 6.1.3c profiles the certificates to be used for 5GC Service Based Architecture (SBA). Those end entity certificates may be used for the following purposes:

- TLS client and server certificates.

- Signing validation of OAuth tokens.

- Signing validation of CCA (JWT based authentication) tokens.

Different end entity certificate profile requirements may be applied to intra-domain and/or inter-domain SBA for NF producers, NF consumers and NRF instances, Service Communication Proxy (SCP) nodes, and Security Edge Protection Proxy (SEPP) nodes applicable to 3GPP 5GC roaming.

A separate end entity certificate profile is also needed to cover the usage of the certificates issued by the Interconnection CA(s) for inter-domain SBA context for TLS connections between SEPP nodes.

Furthermore, separate end entity certificate profile requirements may be applied for Service Communication Proxy (SCP) needed for 3GPP 5GC SBA Indirect Communication models C and D.

#### 6.1.3c.2 General SBA Certificate profile

The following additions and deviations to the common profiles shall hold for all SBA-related entities (NFs, SCPs, SEPPs):

- Signature algorithm: RSAEncryption need not be supported.

- ECDSA is recommended for end entity certificates in 5GC Service Based Architecture (SBA).

#### 6.1.3c.3 NF Certificate profile

End entity certificates shall be directly signed by the CA in the operator domain that the entity belongs to.

NOTE: RFC 9525 [64] describes guidelines and procedures for representing and verifying the identity of application service using X.509 PKIX certificates with TLS. The server identity can only be expressed in the subjectAltName extension; it is not valid to use CN-ID. Additionally, CA-browser forum [59] marks CN-ID as “NOT RECOMMENDED”, and it has the following requirement: if CN-ID is present, this field contains exactly one entry that is one of the values contained in the certificate’s subjectAltName extension.

In addition to clause 6.1.1 and the provisions of RFC 5280 [14], the following table captures the certificate profiles for NF TLS client and server:

Table 6.1.3c.3-1: NF TLS Client and Server Certificate Profile

|  |
| --- |
| NF TLS Client and Server Certificate Profile |
| Version | v3 |
| Serial Number | Unique Positive Integer in the context of the issuing Root CA and not longer than 20 octets. |
| Subject DN | C=<Country>O= Home Domain Name (e.g., in "5gc.mnc<MNC>.mcc<MCC>.3gppnetwork.org" format) as defined in clause 28.2 of TS 23.003 [55]) |
| Validity Period | 3 years or less |
| Signature  | See clause 6.1.1 for the list of supported signature algorithms. |
| Subject Public Key Info  | See clause 6.1.1 for the list of supported public key types. |
| Extensions | OID | Mandatory | Criticality | Value |
| keyUsage | {id-ce 15} | TRUE | TRUE | digitalSignature for TLS clients and servers |
|  |
| extendedKeyUsage | {id-ce 37} | TRUE | FALSE | id-kp-clientAuth TLS clients  |
| id-kp-serverAuth for TLS servers NF that may be both client and server shall have both OIDs set. |
| authorityKeyIdentifier | {id-ce 35} | TRUE | FALSE | This shall be the same as subjectKeyIdentifier of the Issuer’s certificate. CA shall utilitize the method (1) as defined in clause 4.2.1.2 of RFC 5280 [14] to generate the value for this extension. |
| subjectKeyIdentifier | {id-ce 14} | FALSE | FALSE | This shall be calculated by the issuing CA utilitizing the method (1) as defined in clause 4.2.1.2 of RFC 5280 [14] to generate the value for this extension. |
| cRLDistributionPoint | {id-ce 31} | TRUE | FALSE | distributionPointAc cording to RFC 5280 [14] this indicates if the CRL is available for retrieval using access protocol and location with LDAP or HTTP URI. |
| subjectAltName | {id-ce 17} | TRUE | TRUE | Multiple subjectAltName entries can be used as a sequence, see below for the detailed instructions. |
| nfTypes | {id-pe 34} | TRUE | FALSE | id-pe-nftypes specified in RFC 9310 [61] enables including Network Function types (NFTypes) for the 5G System in X.509 v3 public key certificates.  |
| authorityInfoAccess | {id-pe 1} | FALSE | FALSE | id-ad-caIssuersAccording to RFC 5280 [14] id-ad-caIssuers describes the referenced description server and the access protocol and location, for example, using one or multiple HTTP and/or LDAP URIs.  |
| id-ad-ocspAccording to RFC 5280 [14] id-ad-ocsp defines the location of the OCSP responder using HTTP URI. |
| TLS feature extension | {id-pe 24} | FALSE | FALSE | id-pe-tlsfeatureThis can be used according to RFC 7633 [53] to prevent downgrade attacks that are not otherwise prevented by the TLS protocol; also to be used with OCSP stapling with TLS server end-entity certificates. |

With (intra-domain) SBA, the following rules are applied:

- The certificates for use in OAuth and CCA tokens may be configured with the following values for the keyUsage and extendedKeyUsage extensions:

Table 6.1.3c.3-2: NF Oauth and CCA Token Certificate Profile

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Extensions | OID | Mandatory | Criticality | Value |
| keyUsage | {id-ce 15} | TRUE | TRUE | digitalSignature for JWS signing keys in OAuth 2.0 with JWT access tokens and CCA tokens. nonRepudiation (also known as “contentCommitment) is optional when digitalSignature is used instead. |
| extendedKeyUsage | {id-ce 37} | TRUE | FALSE | id-kp-jwt for validating the JWS signature in JWT [63], for example for CCA token. |
| id-kp-oauthAccessTokenSigning for signing OAuth 2.0 access tokens [63]. |

- subjectAltName shall (in TLS client and server certificates, and also in X.509 PKIX certificates used for signing validation of JWT access tokens and/or CCA tokens) contain a URI-ID with the URI for the NF Instance ID as an URN; this URI-ID shall contain the nfInstanceID of the Network Function instance using the format of the NFInstanceId as described in clause 5.3.2 of TS 29.571 [57].

NOTE 1: According to clause 5.3.2 of TS 29.571 [57], "The format of the NF Instance ID shall be a Universally Unique Identifier (UUID) version 4, as described in IETF RFC 4122 [64]".The URN formed using the UUID is the string "urn:uuid:" followed by a hexadecimal representation of the UUID. According to IETF RFC 4122 [64], in a version 4 UUID, the 13th hex digit is '0100' i.e., '4' and the 17th hex digit is '10xx' i.e., in the range '8'-'b'. For example, "urn:uuid: c84792af-f99f-4eca-a17c-ed0c9699e225" is the string representation of the NF Instance ID " c84792af-f99f-4eca-a17c-ed0c9699e225" as a URN.

NOTE 1a: Without URI for the NF Instance ID in subjectAltName in the TLS client and/or server certificates, the identity of the NF instance can not be securely validated when using the NF instance certificate by the receiving peer.

NOTE 1b: Without URI for the NF Instance ID in subjectAltName in the X.509 PKIX certificates used for signing, the identity of the NRF in JWT access token “iss” Issuer claim (i.e., in the context of Home Domain Name defined in Subject DN) cannot be securely validated by NF producers as part of the JWS signature and trust path validation procedures.

- subjectAltName should (in TLS server certificates) contain URI-ID with the HTTPS URI(s) for the apiRoot of a Network Function producer instance for the NF service API(s) that it provides; using wildcard URIs should be avoided.

- subjectAltName shall (in X.509 PKIX certificates used for signing validation of JWT access tokens) contain URI-ID with the HTTPS URI for the “Token Endpoint” URI (“{nrfApiRoot}/oauth2/token”) of an NRF instance for the Nnrf\_AccessToken service API that it provides according to clause 5.4.2.2 in TS 29.510 [56]; using wildcard URIs shall be avoided.

- subjectAltName should (in TLS server certificates) contain DNS-ID with the FQDN(s) (host DNS name) of the NF service callback URI(s) that a Network Function consumer instance provides; the rules for using wildcard certificates in DNS-ID are described in RFC 9525 [65].

- subjectAltName should (in TLS client certificates) or shall (for TLS server certificates) contain a DNS-ID with the FQDN (host DNS name) for the Network Function instance, for example, using the instructions for Network Function (host DNS) names in FQDN format as used for Network Function producers in NFProfile and/or in NFService profile according to clause 6.1.6.2 in TS 29.510 [56], and in general as described in clause 28.3 of TS 23.003 [55] (regardless if DNS is available or not); for AMF, this is the AMF Name as described in clause 28.3.2.5 of TS 23.003 [55]; for NRF, this is the NRF FQDN as described in clause 28.3.2.3.2 of TS 23.003 [55]; the rules for using wildcard certificates in DNS-ID are defined in RFC 9525 [65].

NOTE 2: RFC 9113 [50] mandates to use the Server Name Indication (SNI) extension to TLS with HTTP/2. RFC 6066 [51], which is applicable to TLS 1.2, defines that currently only server names supported in SNI extension to TLS are DNS hostnames where "HostName" contains the fully qualified DNS hostname (FQDN) of the TLS server. RFC 6066 [51] also defines that literal IPv4 and IPv6 addresses are not permitted in "HostName". In practice, this means that at least one subjectAltName attribute with FQDN is to be included in server-side TLS end-entity certificates.

- nfTypes shall contain NF types for the Network Function instance formatted according to RFC 9310 [61] using the Enumerated NF Type format according to clause 6.1.6.3.3 of TS 29.510 [56]. Specifically, for X.509 PKIX certificates used for signing validation of OAuth tokens, nfTypes shall be “NRF”.

NOTE 3: Void.

- subjectAltName shall not contain only IP address in TLS server certificates.

NOTE 4: Void
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