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# Topic #1: Technical wording ambiguities and Table modifications

### Sub-topic 1-1 Specification table simplification

**Issue 1-1-1:** Simplification ofTable 5.2A.2.1-1: Inter-band CA operating bands involving FR1 (two bands) (from R4-2404448)

**<Way forward/Agreement>**:

Agreement:

* + Do not consider the simplification for the tables of combinations of operating bands for 5G
  + Further discuss the simplification for configuration tables for EN-DC
  + Reducing the redundancy of band combination tables with the readability being ensured can be done for the future generation

### Sub-topic 1-3 Wording ambiguities

**Issue 1-3-1:** CA requirement applicability

**Wayforward:** It is agreed that the wording in the specification “Assigned” and “For CA” is understood differently by different companies. Candidates are “configured” and “Scheduled” but other interpretations are also possible.

**Issue 1-3-2:** dual TX: It is agreed that the notions “dual TX” and “2Tx” are not clear.

**Issue 1-3-3:** Modified MPR language. It is agreed that the “If the bit is not set” is not clear.

# Topic 2: Work practice enhancements

### Sub-topic 2-1 New work practices

**Issue 2-1-1: PRDs**

**Agreement: FFS on whether and how to use concept similar to PRD in RAN4.**

# Topic 3: Larger specification structure enhancements

**Issue 3-1-1: Larger structure changes**

Agreement: RAN4 shall avoid to have big specification structure change for NR specifications at this late stage

**Issue 3-1-2: Future looking specification structure proposals**

Agreement: Keep all the proposed options as candidate in case RAN4 discusses specification structures for new specifications, e.g., 6G.