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# Introduction

This summary includes system parameters, TX requirements and RX requirements for intra-band non-contiguous CA in ITS band.

# Topic #1: System Parameter

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **TDoc** | **Company** | **Summary** |
| R4-2408834 | OPPO | **Proposal 1: To capture the intra-band contiguous and non-contiguous SL CA bands under the same sub-clause.****Proposal 2: For PC2 intra-band contiguous CA, reuse the same channel bandwidth of PC3 intra-band contiguous CA.****Proposal 3: No update for sidelink CA subclause is needed for intra-band non-contiguous CA.** |
| R4-2408952 | Xiaomi | **Proposal 1:** **All the requirements defined for PC2/PC3 sidelink intra-band non-contiguous CA shall apply under the assumption of the same subcarrier spacing for SL CA.** |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 2-1 General

#### Issue 2-1-1: How to capture the operating band

* Proposals
	+ Proposal: To capture the intra-band contiguous and non-contiguous SL CA bands under the same sub-clause.
* Moderator Recommendation:
	+ Agree on the proposal

#### Issue 2-1-2: Update on sub-clause 5 of TS 38.101-1

* Proposals
	+ Proposal: No update for sidelink CA subclause is needed for intra-band non-contiguous CA

(Moderator note: This proposal limit to sub-clause 5 of TS 38.101-1 for intra-band non-contiguous CA besides the operating band).

* Moderator Recommendation:
	+ Agree on the proposal

#### Issue 2-1-3: SCS

* Proposals
	+ Proposal: All the requirements defined for PC2/PC3 sidelink intra-band non-contiguous CA shall apply under the assumption of the same subcarrier spacing for SL CA.
* Moderator Recommendation:
	+ Further discuss

# Topic #2: UE TX RF requirement

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **TDoc** | **Company** | **Summary** |
| R4-2407613 | Huawei, HiSilicon | ***Proposal 1: The work in Rel-19 NR sidelink intra-band non-contiguous CA with power class 3 and power class 2 would include at least**** ***Maximum output power***
* ***MPR for PC2 and PC3***
* ***Configured output power***
* ***Update output power dynamic, transmit signal quality, out of band emission, spurious emissions***

***Proposal 2: Arch#1-1 and Arch#1-2 are both with 1LO and shall be considered to specify requirements for intra-band sidelink non-contiguous CA with PC3/PC2.******Proposal 3: If there is any conclusion to update the definition PCmax for intra-band non-contiguous CA with 1LO in NR\_ENDC\_RF\_Ph4, we should update accordingly in NR\_SL\_ intraB\_CA\_ITS.******Proposal 4:*** ***Arch#2-2 with dualPA-Architecture (2x23dBm PA+ 2LO) shall be considered to specify requirements for intra-band sidelink non-contiguous CA with PC3/PC2 instead of Arch#2-1(2x26dBm PA+ 2LO).******Proposal 5: For the MPR evaluation of intra-band non-contiguous CA with PC2/PC3 1LO, the simulation assumptions as follows should be agreed***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Center frequency | 5.9GHz |
| Bandwidth  | per CC: 10/20/30/40MHzAggregated CBW: Table 5.2.3-1 (up to 70MHz CBW) |
| Maximum output power for aggregated CBW | 23dBm and 26dBm |
| Numerology | 15 kHz/30kHz/60kHz |
| Modulation per CC | QPSK/16QAM/64QAM/256QAM |
| Waveform | CP-OFDM |
| Carrier leakage | 35dBc |
| IQ image | 40dBc |
| CIM3 | 60dBc |
| PA calibration | PA calibrated to deliver 30dBc ACLR for a fully allocated RBs in 20MHz QPSK DFT- S-OFDM waveform at 1 dB MPR.This is based to share PA between LTE V2X and NR V2X at 5.9GHz as worst case. |

 |
| R4-2407817 | Xiaomi | **Proposal 1：The MPR for PC2/PC3 intra-band non-contiguous SL CA should be specified for PSSCH/PSCCH, PSFCH and S-SSB.****Proposal 2: The MPR/A-MPR for PC2/PC3 intra-band non-contiguous SL CA can be defined based on the architectures of 1PA+1LO and 2PA+2LO, and doesn’t need to consider the architecture of 2PA+1LO.****Proposal 3: Using -34dBc carrier leakage as the starting point in MPR simulation.****Proposal 4: For the intra-band non-contiguous SL CA, using the frequency gap equal or smaller than the aggregated BW+5MHz as the baseline in the MPR simulation.****Proposal 5: PCMAX should be specified for PC2/PC3 intra-band non-contiguous SL CA.****Proposal 6: Other Tx requirements except MOR, MPR/A-MPR and configured transmitted power can reuse the requirements specified for PC2/PC3 single carrier V2X UE in 47.** |
| R4-2407983 | LG Electronics | **Proposal 1: Consider 3 architectures, 1x26dBm+1LO, 2x23dBm+2LO, and 2x23dBm+1LO for PC2 SL intra-band non-contiguous CA MPR evaluation.****Proposal 2: Consider all possible BW Gap size for PC2 SL intra-band non-contiguous CA MPR evaluation.****Proposal 3: Reuse the applicability of NR intra-band NC CA 2PA+1LO, i.e., BW Gap size＜CC1 +CC2 CBW.****Proposal 4:** **Indicate ‘dualPA-architecture’ like NR intra-band non-contiguous CA.** |
| R4-2408045 | Facebook Japan G.K. | **Proposal 1: *On top of above MPR simulation assumptions in Table in issue 2-2-2, RAN4 should consider the frequency gap between sub-block1 and sub-block2 to derive MPR/A-MPR requirements for NR SL intra-band non-contiguous CA UE in ITS spectrum*.** **Proposal 2: *The above MPR simulation assumptions in Table in issue 2-2-2 1, frequency gap with 20MHz in Euro regions and additional spectrum emission mask in Table 6.5E.2.3.1-1 in TS38.101-1 and additional spurious emission requirements in Table 6.5E.3.4.2-1 and Table 6.5E.3.4.2-2 in TS38.101-1 will be considered to derive A-MPR requirements to comply European regulation for NR SL intra-band non-contiguous CA for both power class 3 and power class 2 in ITS spectrum*.** **Proposal 3: *For US regulation requirements*, *RAN4 will study and specify the related A-MPR requirements with NS\_52 for NR SL intra-band non-contiguous CA UE after final FCC announcement for the additional emission limits in US.*****Proposal 4: *The above UE Tx/Rx requirements for NR SL intra-band non-contiguous CA shall be considered to specify the UE RF requirements in TS38.101-1.*** |
| R4-2408833 | OPPO | **Proposal 1: To consider both 1 PA and 2 PA architecture for intra-band non-contiguous sidelink CA MPR simulation for both PC3 and PC2.****Proposal 2: The 2PA+1LO architecture can be precluded for intra-band non-contiguous CA A-MPR simulation for both PC2 and PC3..****Proposal 3: To add new sub-clause to introduce UE TX requirement of intra-band non-contiguous CA.** |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 2-1 MPR/A-MPR simulation

#### Issue 2-1-1: Architecture

* Proposals
	+ Proposal 1 (Huawei):
		- Arch#1-1 and Arch#1-2 are both with 1LO and shall be considered to specify requirements for intra-band sidelink non-contiguous CA with PC3/PC2.
		- Arch#2-2 with dualPA-Architecture (2x23dBm PA+ 2LO) shall be considered to specify requirements for intra-band sidelink non-contiguous CA with PC3/PC2 instead of Arch#2-1(2x26dBm PA+ 2LO)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Arch | description | Remarks | Frequency Separation |
| #1-1 | 1x26dBm PA+ 1LO with 200MHz BW | Single–Tx | BW Gap size＜CC1 +CC2 CBW |
| #1-2 | 2x23dBm PA+ 1LO with 200MHz BW | dual-Tx or txDiversity | BW Gap size＜CC1 +CC2 CBW |
| #2-1 | 2x26dBm PA+ 2LO with 200MHz BW | dualPA-Architecture | can support any CC separation |
| #2-2 | 2x23dBm PA+ 2LO with 200MHz BW | dualPA-Architecture | can support any CC separation |

* + Proposal 2 (Xiaomi, OPPO)
		- The MPR/A-MPR for PC2/PC3 intra-band non-contiguous SL CA can be defined based on the architectures of 1PA+1LO and 2PA+2LO, and doesn’t need to consider the architecture of 2PA+1LO.
	+ Proposal 3 (LG Electronics)
		- Consider 3 architectures, 1x26dBm+1LO, 2x23dBm+2LO, and 2x23dBm+1LO for PC2 SL intra-band non-contiguous CA MPR evaluation
* Recommendation:
	+ Further discuss the architecture considering the number of LO and the power class of the PA.

#### Issue 2-1-2: Frequency gap

* Proposals
	+ Proposal 1: The MPR for PC2/PC3 intra-band non-contiguous SL CA should be specified for PSSCH/PSCCH, PSFCH and S-SSB. (Huawei)
		- Observation 1: The Frequency Separation, Gap between the CCs ≤ the overall channel bandwidth summed between two CC, may not be met for some of configuration for intra-band sidelink CA in Arch#1 without dualPA-architecture.
		- Observation 2: The RB allocation imbalance on the two CC of intra-band sidelink CA should be considered for PCmax in dualPA-Architecture according to the conclusion (if there to be) in NR\_ENDC\_RF\_Ph4.
	+ Proposal 2: For the intra-band non-contiguous SL CA, using the frequency gap equal or smaller than the aggregated BW+5MHz as the baseline in the MPR simulation. (Xiaomi)
	+ Proposal 3: (LG Electronics)
		- Consider all possible BW Gap size for PC2 SL intra-band non-contiguous CA MPR evaluation.
		- Reuse the applicability of NR intra-band NC CA 2PA+1LO, i.e., BW Gap size＜CC1 +CC2 CBW
	+ Proposal 4: (Facebook)
		- On top of above MPR simulation assumptions in Table in issue 2-2-2, RAN4 should consider the frequency gap between sub-block1 and sub-block2 to derive MPR/A-MPR requirements for NR SL intra-band non-contiguous CA UE in ITS spectrum.
		- The above MPR simulation assumptions in Table in issue 2-2-2 1, frequency gap with 20MHz in Euro regions and additional spectrum emission mask in Table 6.5E.2.3.1-1 in TS38.101-1 and additional spurious emission requirements in Table 6.5E.3.4.2-1 and Table 6.5E.3.4.2-2 in TS38.101-1 will be considered to derive A-MPR requirements to comply European regulation for NR SL intra-band non-contiguous CA for both power class 3 and power class 2 in ITS spectrum.
* Moderator Recommendation:
	+ Depend on the architecture discussion.
	+ Further to discuss
		- BW Gap Size
			* the BW Gap size<CC1+CC2 CBW rule of NR intra-band non-contiguous CA apply to SL.
			* frequency gap equal or smaller than the aggregated BW+5MHz.
			* Consider all possible BW Gap size for PC2 SL intra-band non-contiguous CA MPR evaluation.
		- The RB allocation imbalance

#### Issue 2-1-3: MPR/A-MPR evaluation parameters

* Proposals
	+ Proposal 1 (Huawei):
		- Proposal: For the MPR evaluation of intra-band non-contiguous CA with non-dualPA architecture, the simulation assumptions as follows should be agreed

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Center frequency | 5.9GHz |
| Bandwidth  | per CC: 10/20/30/40MHzAggregated CBW: Table 5.2.3-1 (up to 70MHz CBW) |
| Maximum output power for aggregated CBW | 23dBm and 26dBm |
| Numerology | 15 kHz/30kHz/60kHz |
| Modulation per CC | QPSK/16QAM/64QAM/256QAM |
| Waveform | CP-OFDM |
| Carrier leakage | 35dBc |
| IQ image | 40dBc |
| CIM3 | 60dBc |
| PA calibration | PA calibrated to deliver 30dBc ACLR for a fully allocated RBs in 20MHz QPSK DFT- S-OFDM waveform at 1 dB MPR.This is based to share PA between LTE V2X and NR V2X at 5.9GHz as worst case. |

* + Proposal 2 (Xiaomi)
		- Using -34dBc carrier leakage as the starting point in MPR simulation.
* Recommendation:
	+ Further discuss proposal 1
	+ Proposal 2 in-line with agreement in the last meeting

#### Issue 2-1-4: Requirement for simulation

* Proposal 1: The above MPR simulation assumptions in Table in issue 2-2-2 1, frequency gap with 20MHz in Euro regions and additional spectrum emission mask in Table 6.5E.2.3.1-1 in TS38.101-1 and additional spurious emission requirements in Table 6.5E.3.4.2-1 and Table 6.5E.3.4.2-2 in TS38.101-1 will be considered to derive A-MPR requirements to comply European regulation for NR SL intra-band non-contiguous CA for both power class 3 and power class 2 in ITS spectrum.
* Proposal 2: For US regulation requirements, RAN4 will study and specify the related A-MPR requirements with NS\_52 for NR SL intra-band non-contiguous CA UE after final FCC announcement for the additional emission limits in US.
* Recommendation:
	+ The EU regulation is more stable and can be agreed
	+ For FCC regulation, can work based on current NS\_52 first and wait for FCC update.

### Sub-topic 2-2 TX Requirements

#### Issue 2-2-1: TX Requirement

* Proposals
	+ Proposal 1: The work in Rel-19 NR sidelink intra-band non-contiguous CA with power class 3 and power class 2 would include at least
		- Maximum output power
		- MPR for PC2 and PC3
		- Configured output power
		- Update output power dynamic, transmit signal quality, out of band emission, spurious emissions
	+ Proposal 2: (Xiaomi)
		- PCMAX should be specified for PC2/PC3 intra-band non-contiguous SL CA.
		- Other Tx requirements except MOR, MPR/A-MPR and configured transmitted power can reuse the requirements specified for PC2/PC3 single carrier V2X UE in 47
	+ Proposal 3: (Facebook)
		- The above UE Tx/Rx requirements for NR SL intra-band non-contiguous CA shall be considered to specify the UE RF requirements in TS38.101-1.Moderator Recommendation:
	+ Proposal 4: (OPPO)
		- To add new sub-clause to introduce UE TX requirement of intra-band non-contiguous CA.
* Recommendation:
	+ Further discuss

#### Issue 2-2-2: Signalling

* Proposal: Indicate ‘dualPA-architecture’ like NR intra-band non-contiguous CA
* Recommendation:
	+ Further discuss after the simulation frame work is agreed.

# Topic #3: UE RX RF requirement

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **TDoc** | **Company** | **Summary** |
| R4-2407614 | Huawei, HiSilicon | *Proposal 1: The description of the following Rx requirements in the specification should be updated from that only for contiguous intra-band SL CA to also accommodate the requirements for non-contiguous intra-band SL CA** ***REFSENS***
* ***Maximum input level,***
* ***ACS locking***
* ***In-band/ out-of-band blocking***
* ***Spurious response***
* ***Wide band Intermodulation***
 |
| R4-2407818 | Xiaomi | **Proposal 1: The REFSENS specified for single carrier operation in n47 shall apply to each component carrier of intra-band non-contiguous SL CA.****Proposal 2: For intra-band non-contiguous SL CA, the Rx requirements including maximum input power, ACS and blocking should be specified based on the sub-block. The requirements for single carrier shall apply to the sub-block including one component carrier only and the requirements for intra-band contiguous SL CA shall apply to the sub-block including multiple component carriers.** |
| R4-2408832 | OPPO | **Proposal 1: For intra-band non-contiguous SL CA, for all the RX requirements, the requirement for general and intra-band contiguous CA apply for one component carrier and two component carriers per sub-block, respectively.** |

### Sub-topic 3-1

#### Issue 3-1-1: RX requriements

* Proposals
	+ Proposal 1 (Huawei):
		- The description of the following Rx requirements in the specification should be updated from that only for contiguous intra-band SL CA to also accommodate the requirements for non-contiguous intra-band SL CA
			* REFSENS
			* Maximum input level,
			* ACS locking
			* In-band/ out-of-band blocking
			* Spurious response
			* Wide band IntermodulationProposal 2
	+ Proposal 2 (Xiaomi, OPPO)
		- The REFSENS specified for single carrier operation in n47 shall apply to each component carrier of intra-band non-contiguous SL CA.
		- For intra-band non-contiguous SL CA, the Rx requirements including maximum input power, ACS and blocking should be specified based on the sub-block. The requirements for single carrier shall apply to the sub-block including one component carrier only and the requirements for intra-band contiguous SL CA shall apply to the sub-block including multiple component carriers.
* Recommendation:
	+ Further discuss