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# 1 Introduction

This summarizes the discussion on EM call handling discussion for RedCap, eRedCap and 2RX XR UEs. Based on the online discussion and guidance from the chair on 2 set of CRs ( one set with magic sentence in 38.331, and another for R18 eRedCap and XR features) are provided and this document intends to capture company views if any.

# 2 Discussion

In RAN2-126, the following was agreed regarding EM call support and the offline discussion was triggered.

[R2-2405645](file:///C:\Users\panidx\OneDrive%20-%20InterDigital%20Communications,%20Inc\Documents\3GPP%20RAN\TSGR2_126\Docs\R2-2405645.zip) Barring exemption for emergency calls Huawei, HiSilicon discussion Rel-18 TEI18

Proposal 1: A solution enabling barring exemption for emergency calls should not allow the UEs to ignore MIB barring.

*Proposal 2: RAN2 to introduce a general rule that barring exemption for emergency calls is allowed for eRedCap and 2Rx XR UEs (no other R18 features) in case there is no barring in MIB and discuss which of the barring exemption solutions to introduce:*

*• Option 1:*

*o Barring exemption bit is kept for RedCap UEs (as agreed)*

*o There is no additional barring exempt bit in SIB1 for eRedCap and 2Rx XR UEs*

*o New common CRs for eRedCap and 2Rx XR UEs are prepared while the endorsed CRs for RedCap UEs are kept as they are*

*• Option 2:*

*o barringExemptRedCap bit is replaced with a common barringExemptEmergencyCall bit applicable to RedCap, eRedCap and 2Rx XR UEs*

*o Separate CRs are prepared for TS 38.304 for RedCap UEs (early implementable) and for eRedCap and 2Rx XR UEs.*

- Lenovo thinks option 2, with common bit is preferable. Apple prefers option 1 as that will complicate things, we have R17 CR and then Rel-18 different solution

- TIM thinks that emergency call allowance should be done on a per use case basis. For example, if it is a robot it doesn’t make sense, but if it is a smartphone we can consider.

- Nokia prefers option 1.

- Qualcomm thinks option 2 will allow us to add more features in the future.

- TMobile is not sure any of this options.

- ZTE has a slight preference for option 2.

- Ericsson also wonders if we need to differentiate.

- Nokia and Oppo think we should have separate control to 2RX XR UEs. ZTE doesn’t understand why we won’t separate control. Nokia explains that redcap UEs can be 1Rx.

- Vodafone thinks that 2RX XR should be default behavior. CATT would like to have network control

*Discussion on whether to consider NES (i.e. ignore MIB barring)*

- Vodafone and Fraunhaufer thinks that it would be a fundamental change. Qualcomm thinks that this use case is not strong engouht. Nokia, Ericsson, ZTE, CATT, thinks we can leave NES out of this discussion.

- Apple thinks that in the future, the UE may not have a legacy layer.

- Oppo also thinks that NES should be out of scope as the intention and logic is quite different

- Lenovo thinks that all UEs should be allowed to make emergency calls.

- BT is not ready to make a decision.

- ZTE thinks that if we really want to fix NES is to not use MIB barring. Since we have MIB barring we don’t want to have exceptions for that purpose.

- Samsung and BT ask what happens if the NW doesn’t support this. Apple explains that there are other conditions the UE will have to check before it gets to the EM call barring. Huawei thinks that we can do this check in 304 CR.

=> Working assumption: NES (i.e. ingoring MIB barring) will not be considered in our common discussion

=> For Rel-18, we introduce 1 bit that enables EM call for RedCap, eRedCap, and 2Rx XR. One RRC Rel-18 with magic sentence that it is early implementable in Rel-17. A CR for 38.304 doesn’t need to have the magic sentence.

=> This replaces the previous agreement and we will notify RAN3 verbally via delegates

* [AT126][002][XR] CR to 331 and 304()

Intended outcome: Review CRs

Deadline: 05-24-24

## 2.1 Collection of views

The moderator has provided CRs [1][2][3] based on the discussion.

[1] and [2] are intended to allow R17 RedCap UEs to use this CR, while [3] introduced R18 specific changes that allow eRedCap and 2Rx XR UEs to use the barred cells for EM calls.

The coversheets attempt to reflect this as well. In [1], the moderator was not quite sure how to describe the coversheet and field descriptions, so that R17 UEs can take the CR without mentioning eRedCap and XR features completely. Any better wording suggestions is welcome!

While it is easier to directly edit the CRs (with revision marks and comments to the CRs directly in these files), this document is intended to capture any views/comments that companies feel are better expressed/captured in a separate document. The moderator prefers that companies provide views directly to [1][2][3], but companies are welcome to add more comments to the table below if needed.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Vodafone | We agree with not touching the MIB behaviour. On 1 bit for 1Rx/2Rx and 2RX XR, we feel, that if the operator would like to bar e.g. 2RX XR devices and ask to implement the feature, but the vendor has not implemented 1Rx and that’s why it has to be barred, the one bit solution emergency calls will lead to the case, that the vendor will need to implement at least emergency call handling for 1 RX devices as those would be able to make an EM after one EM call bit is implemented. We could see that 1 bit is working, but also feels that probably 1 bit for 1RX EM and 1 bit for 2RX emergency could be a bit better way to solve it, also not mixing the devices like 2RXXR and redcaps. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Conclusion

**To be filled**
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