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## Introduction

This contribution includes the summary for maintenance issues on Rel-18 CSI enhancements for MIMO Evolution.

## Summary of companies’ proposals and views

**Proposal 1.A**: For the Rel-18 Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium-speed, adopt the following TP for TS 38.214

|  |
| --- |
| TS 38.214 |
| **Reason for change:** |
| TS38.214 describes Rel-18 Type-II CJT CBSR based on an agreement in RAN1#112bis-e (that a UE must be configured with at least 1 CBSR), which was later superseded by RAN2 decision allowing no CBSR configuration (since N1,N2 configuration is taken out from CBSR IE).  |
| **Summary of change:** |
| Updating the description in TS 38.214 following CBSR configuration structure in TS 38.331. |
| **Consequences if not approved:** |
| Misaligned configuration/description in TS 38.214 and TS 38.331. |
| **Text proposal:** |
| 5.2.2.2.8 Enhanced Type II codebook for CJT<Unchanged part omitted>The bitmap parameter *n1-n2-codebookSubsetRestrictionList-r18* is configured ~~per CSI-RS resource and~~ for ~~at least one of~~ the $N\_{TRP}$ CSI-RS resources, and it is configured as described in Clause 5.2.2.2.5, where only the bit values '00' or '11' of Table 5.2.2.2.5-6 are configurable. If corresponding element for codebook subset restriction in parameter *~~n1-n2-codebookSubsetRestrictionList-r18~~* *cbsr-list-r18* is not configured for a CSI-RS resource, no restriction is applied to the selection of vectors $v\_{m\_{1,j}^{\left(i\right)},m\_{2,j}^{(i)}}$ corresponding to that resource.<Unchanged part omitted> |
| **Support/fine**: NEC**Not support**:**FL assessment**: This TP seems correct. Despite the agreement in RAN1#112bis-e, RAN2 has decided otherwise a few meetings ago to change the IE structure for CBSR (and N1,N2) which does not require the UE to be configured with CBSR for at least one resource. Therefore, aligning the description between 38.214 and 38.331 is needed. |

**Proposal 1.B**: For the Rel-18 Type-II codebook refinement for high/medium-speed, adopt the following TP for TS 38.214

|  |
| --- |
| TS 38.214 |
| **Reason for change:** |
| In Rel-18 enhanced type II for CJT and predicted PMI on PUSCH, part 2 CSI is organized into three groups, i.e., Group 0, Group 1 and Group 2. Group 0 includes indices $i\_{1,8,l} (l=1, …,υ)$, which indicates the strongest coefficient in each layer and is determined as:$i\_{1,8,l}=\left\{\begin{matrix}\sum\_{I=0}^{i\_{1}^{\*}}κ\_{1,I,0}^{(3)}-1&υ=1\\i\_{l}^{\*}&1<υ\leq 4\end{matrix}\right. $ for CJT, and $i\_{1,8,l}=\left\{\begin{matrix}\sum\_{I=0}^{2Lτ\_{1}^{\*}+i\_{1}^{\*}}κ\_{1,I,0}^{(3)}-1&υ=1\\2Lτ\_{l}^{\*}+i\_{l}^{\*}&1<υ\leq 4\end{matrix}\right.$ for predicted PMI, where $κ\_{1,I,0}^{(3)}$ is part of the non-zero bit maps reported.In both cases, for rank=1 (i.e.,$υ=1$)*,*  $i\_{1,8,l}$ depends on the non-zero bit map $k\_{1,I,0}^{(3)}$, which is reported in Group 1 and Group 2. In case of omission and parameters in Group 1 and Group 2 are dropped, the non-zero bit map $k\_{1,I,0}^{(3)}$ would not be reported. When rank 1 is reported, the strongest coefficient indices $i\_{l}^{\*}$ and $τ\_{l}^{\*}$ (in case of predicted PMI) cannot be determined at the gNB based on the reported $i\_{1,8,1}$, which is a problem.  |
| **Summary of change:** |
| The same formula of $i\_{1,8,l}$ for rank >1 is also used all ranks including rank =1, i.e.,For CJT, $i\_{1,8,l}=i\_{l}^{\*}, v=1,2,3,4.$For predicted PMI: $i\_{1,8,l}=2Lτ\_{l}^{\*}+i\_{l}^{\*}, v=1,2,3,4.$ |
| **Consequences if not approved:** |
| The strongest coefficient for rank 1 cannot be determined in case of omission of the non-zero bit map. |
| **Text proposal:** |
| 5.2.2.2.8 Enhanced Type II codebook for CJTFor 4 antenna ports {3000, 3001, …, 3003}, 8 antenna ports {3000, 3001, …, 3007}, 12 antenna ports {3000, 3001, …, 3011}, 16 antenna ports {3000, 3001, …, 3015}, 24 antenna ports {3000, 3001, …, 3023}, and 32 antenna ports {3000, 3001, …, 3031} per CSI-RS resource, and the UE configured with $N\_{TRP}\in \{1,2,3,4\}$ CSI-RS resources in a resource set for channel measurement and with higher layer parameter *codebookType* set to 'typeII-CJT-r18'<Unchanged parts omitted>The strongest coefficient of layer $l=1,…, υ$ is identified by $i\_{1,8,l}\in \left\{0,1,…,2\sum\_{j=1}^{N\_{0}}L\_{σ\_{j}}-1\right\}$ and is obtained as follows, ~~where, for~~ $υ=1$~~,~~ $κ\_{1,I,0}^{(3)}=k\_{1,i,0,j}^{(3)}$ ~~and index~~ $I$ ~~is such that~~ $I=2\sum\_{k=1}^{j-1}L\_{σ\_{k}}+i$ $i\_{1,8,l}=\left\{\begin{matrix}\sum\_{I=0}^{i\_{1}^{\*}}κ\_{1,I,0}^{(3)}-1&υ=1\\i\_{l}^{\*}&1<υ\leq 4\end{matrix}\right. $$$i\_{1,8,l}=i\_{l}^{\*}$$for $l=1,…, υ$.<Unchanged parts omitted>5.2.2.2.10 Enhanced Type II codebook for predicted PMIFor 4 antenna ports {3000, 3001, …, 3003}, 8 antenna ports {3000, 3001, …, 3007}, 12 antenna ports {3000, 3001, …, 3011}, 16 antenna ports {3000, 3001, …, 3015}, 24 antenna ports {3000, 3001, …, 3023}, and 32 antenna ports {3000, 3001, …, 3031} per CSI-RS resource, the UE configured with $K\in \{4,8,12\}$ aperiodic CSI-RS resources or with a single periodic or semi-persistent CSI-RS resource in the resource set for channel measurement and with *codebookType* set to 'typeII-Doppler-r18'<Unchanged parts omitted>The strongest coefficient of layer $l=1,…,υ$ is identified by $i\_{1,8,l}\in \left\{0,1,…,2LQ-1\right\}$, which is obtained as follows, ~~where, for~~ $υ=1$~~,~~ $κ\_{1,I,0}^{(3)}=k\_{1,i,0,τ}^{(3)}$ ~~and index~~ $I$ ~~is such that~~ $I=2Lτ+i$ $i\_{1,8,l}=\left\{\begin{matrix}\sum\_{I=0}^{2Lτ\_{1}^{\*}+i\_{1}^{\*}}κ\_{1,I,0}^{(3)}-1&υ=1\\2Lτ\_{l}^{\*}+i\_{l}^{\*}&1<υ\leq 4\end{matrix}\right.$$$i\_{1,8,l}=2Lτ\_{l}^{\*}+i\_{l}^{\*} ;l=1,…,v. $$<Unchanged parts omitted> |
| **Support/fine**: Ericsson**Not support**:**FL assessment**: This TP is technically sound. However, this change doesn’t seem essential since UCI omission is intended as an emergency measure (not expected to be used frequently) – especially for rank-1 where PMI payload is smaller.  |

Table 1 Additional inputs: issue 1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Mod V0 | **Please share your inputs on each of the above proposals** |
| NEC | Thank you FL for the moderating.For **Proposal 1.A**, please allow me to list the current TS 38.331 for convenience.

|  |
| --- |
| CodebookConfig-r18 ::= SEQUENCE { codebookType CHOICE { type2 CHOICE { typeII-CJT-r18 SEQUENCE { n1-n2-codebookSubsetRestrictionList-r18 N1-N2-CBSR-List-r18, paramCombination-CJT-r18 INTEGER (1..7), paramCombination-CJT-L-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..4)) OF INTEGER (1..5), restrictedCMR-Selection-r18 ENUMERATED {enable}, valueOfO3-r18 ENUMERATED {n1, n4} OPTIONAL, -- Need R numberOfPMI-SubbandsPerCQI-Subband-r18 INTEGER(1..2), typeII-RI-Restriction-r18 BIT STRING (SIZE (4)), codebookMode-r18 INTEGER (1..2) },…irrelavant part omittedN1-N2-CBSR-List-r18 ::= CHOICE { two-one-r18 CHOICE {no-cbsr-r18 NULL, cbsr-list-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..4)) OF BIT STRING (SIZE (8))}, two-two-r18 CHOICE {no-cbsr-r18 NULL, cbsr-list-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..4)) OF BIT STRING (SIZE (27))}, four-one-r18 CHOICE {no-cbsr-r18 NULL, cbsr-list-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..4)) OF BIT STRING (SIZE (16))}, three-two-r18 CHOICE {no-cbsr-r18 NULL, cbsr-list-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..4)) OF BIT STRING (SIZE (35))}, six-one-r18 CHOICE {no-cbsr-r18 NULL, cbsr-list-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..4)) OF BIT STRING (SIZE (24))}, four-two-r18 CHOICE {no-cbsr-r18 NULL, cbsr-list-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..4)) OF BIT STRING (SIZE (43))}, eight-one-r18 CHOICE {no-cbsr-r18 NULL, cbsr-list-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..4)) OF BIT STRING (SIZE (32))}, four-three-r18 CHOICE {no-cbsr-r18 NULL, cbsr-list-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..4)) OF BIT STRING (SIZE (59))}, twelve-one-r18 CHOICE {no-cbsr-r18 NULL, cbsr-list-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..4)) OF BIT STRING (SIZE (48))}, four-four-r18 CHOICE {no-cbsr-r18 NULL, cbsr-list-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..4)) OF BIT STRING (SIZE (75))}, eight-two-r18 CHOICE {no-cbsr-r18 NULL, cbsr-list-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..4)) OF BIT STRING (SIZE (75))}, sixteen-one-r18 CHOICE {no-cbsr-r18 NULL, cbsr-list-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..4)) OF BIT STRING (SIZE (64))}} |
| ***n1-n2-codebookSubsetRestriction,*** ***n1-n2-codebookSubsetRestrictionList***Number of antenna ports in first (*n1*) and second (*n2*) dimension and codebook subset restriction (see TS 38.214 [19] clause 5.2.2.2.3). Value *no-cbsr* means no codebook subset restriction is configured for the n1-n2 pair.If a codebook subset restriction is configured for the n1-n2 pair, the number of elements in *cbsr-list* in *n1-n2-codebookSubSetRestrictionList* is up to the number of elements of *nzp-CSI-RS-Resources* in *NZP-CSI-RS-ResourceSet(s)* indicated by *nzp-CSI-RS-ResourceSetList* in the *CSI-ResourceConfig* indicated by *resourcesForChannelMeasurement* in the *CSI-ReportConfig* in which the *CodebookConfig* is included. An element in the list corresponds to the element at the same position in *nzp-CSI-RS-Resources*. |

For **Proposal 1.B**, we share similar view as FL assessment, the UCI omission for rank 1 is corner case, while we can be open to introduce RRC configuration (as Ericsson proposed) for the new formula of SCI in Rel-18 (but not for previous releases) if majority thinks it’s necessary.  |
| Google | Proposal 1.A: Firstly, we think the words “~~per CSI-RS resource and~~” should not be removed. These words are necessary to clarify the configuration is for each TRP instead of across TRPs. Instead, it seems the following additional change would be necessary.If corresponding element for codebook subset restriction in parameter *~~n1-n2-codebookSubsetRestrictionList-r18~~* *cbsr-list-r18* is not configured ~~for a CSI-RS resource~~, no restriction is applied to the selection of vectors $v\_{m\_{1,j}^{\left(i\right)},m\_{2,j}^{(i)}}$ corresponding to the $N\_{TRP}$ CSI-RS resources ~~that resource~~.Proposal 1.B: We are not sure how critical the issue is. But it seems better to change the grouping rule for CSI omission? |
| NEC2 | @Google, thank you for the discussion.Re Proposal 1.B ““~~per CSI-RS resource and~~” should not be removed”, my understanding is that RAN2 has extract “n1-n2” out of legacy CBSR configuration, the IE “*n1-n2-codebookSubsetRestrictionList-r18*” is actually a list, which is configured for the $N\_{TRP}$ CSI-RS resources, not per CSI-RS resource, so removing it seems more suitable.The additional changed part is fine to us. |
|  |  |
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