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# Introduction

Rel-19 MIMO work item objectives were updated in RAN#105. In particular the following update was made for 3T3R (RP-242394):

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Specify non-coherent UL codebook to facilitate 3-antenna-port codebook-based transmissions, enhancement(s) to enable 3T6R SRS antenna switching, as well as UE capability signaling for 3T3R antenna switching and 3-antenna-port non-codebook-based transmissions, without enhancement on UL full power transmission and without enhancement on SRS resource   Note: UL full power transmission mode 1 and 2 are not supported.  Note: Other than UE capability signaling, no other enhancement is specified for 3T3R SRS antenna switching. |

In RAN1, there is debate whether or not the above WID objective precludes specification change on SRS configuration details and SRS resource/set definition for ‘3T3R’ antenna switching. In RAN#106, the following tdocs were submitted on this issue:

* RP-242488 On the Scope of Rel-19 NR MIMO Phase 5 InterDigital, Inc.
* RP-242618 Discussion on 3T3R SRS antenna switching capability in Rel-19 MIMO vivo
* RP-243173 on Rel-19 MIMO 3T3R Apple

# Discussions

Moderator proposes to select one of the following 3 alternatives in RAN#106.

* Alt 1: RAN clarifies that for ‘3T3R’ antenna switching, SRS configuration details including SRS resource/set definition for ‘3T3R’ antenna switching can be discussed and supported as part of Rel-19 MIMO in RAN1
  + Update WID accordingly
* Alt 2: Other than UE capability signaling, no other enhancement is specified for 3T3R SRS antenna switching (i.e. SRS configuration details including SRS resource/set definition for ‘3T3R’ antenna switching is not supported) as part of Rel-19 MIMO in RAN1
* Alt 3: 3T3R SRS antenna switching is not supported as part of Rel-19 MIMO
  + Update WID accordingly

Note that the RAN1 workload required for each of the three alternatives is marginal.

Companies are invited to provide their views using the table below.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** |  | **Comments** |
| vivo | Alt1 or alt 3 | In our view alt2 is not reasonable. We should not intentionally introduce a broken feature in the spec. Either we introduce a complete UE feature or we remove the UE feature. |
| Apple | Alt 1 or Alt 3 | We are fine with either Alt 1 or Alt 3.  Alt 2 should be avoided. Without specifying the 3T3R features in the specification, e.g., TS38.214, we fail to see the justification to specify the corresponding UE capability. |
| OPPO | Alt.1 | We support Alt.1 in principle. In our view, the WID can kept as it is (RAN clarification is sufficient for this case) or modify it to avoid the potential confusion as suggested by Alt.1.  For all the antenna configuration xTxR (x=1,2,4,8), there are descriptions on the UE capability from the perspective of the SRS configuration. Thus, it is nature to have similar description for 3T3R. If without such kind of description, the spec is broken. Thus, Alt.1 is our preference.  For Alt.2, the spec will be broken. Thus, we should avoid it.  For Alt.3, it will revert RAN agreement. Thus, we should avoid it.  The main concern from Huawei is on a UE with only 3 physical antennas (that is the intention of the proponents). Thus, not sure whether it can address Huawei’s concern if we can agree some conclusion like: A UE supporting 3T3R antenna switching should be equipped with 4 or more physical antennas. |
| Nokia | Alt.1 or Alt.3 | We agree with vivo and Apple above that we should only add UE feature for something that has corresponding support in specifications. |
| InterDigital | Alt 1 with a note | We are supportive Alt 1 but we may add a note to address Huawei’s concern on introducing new type of UEs (i.e., standalone capability of 3T3R) as following:   * Alt 1: RAN clarifies that for ‘3T3R’ antenna switching, SRS configuration details including SRS resource/set definition for ‘3T3R’ antenna switching can be discussed and supported as part of Rel-19 MIMO in RAN1   + Update WID accordingly   + Note: ‘3T3R’ is a downgrade antenna switching configuration of ‘3T6R’ and it doesn’t imply that standalone ‘3T3R’ capability is introduced. |
| Ericsson | Alt.1 (no WID change) | In favour of Alt.1 as it implies that we complete the feature RAN agreed to have in September (whereas Alt.2 is leaving a broken feature and Alt.3 is reverting previous agreement).  However, we don’t see a WID update is needed in Alt.1 since the WID mentions no further *enhancements*, we don’t want to set a precedence that completing a half-done feature is seen by 3GPP as an enhancement. 3GPP should specify complete and working features.  We think RAN clarification is sufficient in the line of:  **Conclusion:**  As a RAN guidance on the 3T3R issue, necessary specification changes needed to enable the capability “3T3R SRS antenna switching” is expected by the WID  In addition, OPPO’s suggestion to address Huawei’s concern by a short sentence in such conclusion can be helpful to close this issue. |
| CMCC | Alt. 1 | In our understanding, Alt.1 is the intention to support 3T3R antenna switching when we discussed the scope expansion for Rel-19 MIMO WI. The confusion in RAN1 comes from the NOTE statement in the WID “Note: Other than UE capability signaling, no other enhancement is specified for 3T3R SRS antenna switching.”  Hence, we support to directly clarify in the WID that SRS configuration including SRS resource/set definition for 3T3R antenna switching should be specified in Rel-19.  And to avoid the potential risk to open the door for 3R UE (not sure any company propose this), the NOTE proposal from InterDigital can be considered. |
| ZTE | Alt-1 | First of all, we believe that, for newly introduced feature of 3T3R from last RAN plenary, 3T3R should be captured as in a downgraded feature for UE supporting >3R. That implies that, for the subsequent discussion, we should assume that the pre-requisition feature of this 3T3R should be based on legacy UE feature of xTyR antenna switching.  Then, for enabling this 3T3R, we believe that the corresponding SRS configuration should be supported in RAN1. Per our understanding, the corresponding spec impact is very limited (due to the fact that muting one port out of 4-port SRS is provided per SRS resource set, i.e., a general configuration framework decoupling with SRS usage).  Finally, for RAN guidance, besides for one choice of updating the corresponding WID, alternatively, the following RAN conclusion may be sufficient without WID update:  **Proposed conclusion:** For ‘3T3R’ antenna switching, SRS configuration details including SRS resource/set definition for ‘3T3R’ antenna switching can be discussed and supported as part of Rel-19 MIMO in RAN1. |
| DOCOMO | Alt 1 | We support Alt.1. RAN1 agreed to introduce UE capability of 3T3R per updated WID. However, unless RAN1 spec. specify UE behavior of 3T3R, the meaning of supporting/not-supporting UE capability of 3T3R becomes up to UE implementation. Hence, from technical perspective, we believe it is better to specify UE behavior of 3T3R. We also note that specification impact of 3T3R is very small. |
| CATT | Alt. 1 with clarification that 3 Rx UE is not introduced | Among the three alternatives, our preference is Alt.1 because Alt. 2 introduces a broken feature and Alt. 3 contradicts with the previous RAN agreement.  We prefer to update the WID to make the scope clear instead of having a conclusion which may cause potential confusion in the future.  For Alt. 1, it is important to clarify that we are not introducing 3Rx UE and that should be explicitly captured in the WID as well. OPPO’s suggestion or InterDigital’s note can be considered as a starting point. |
| Samsung | Alt1 or Alt3 | The wording of the current WID suggests Alt2, i.e. supporting SRS resource configuration for 3T3R doesn’t seem to be a part of objective 4. We do acknowledge and sympathize, however, with the above comments re Alt2. Therefore, although we are not supportive of Alt1, since the workload is marginal and there is no strong technical reason not to support it, we can accept Alt1 if the majority view is as such (or Alt3 is also ok).  If we proceed with *Alt1*, this can be resolved in RAN1#120 Athens *without RAN intervention* (hence no WID update).  But *if RAN intervention is needed*, from **rapporteur** perspective:   * A *simple guidance* from RAN that objective 4 does not preclude the support of SRS resource definition for 3T3R antenna switching will suffice. For instance, the *conclusion* suggested by @Ericsson is a good starting point. * But if RAN would like to revise the WID to address this (we are also fine), a simple revision to clarify the support of 3T3R antenna switching without limiting it to only UE capability signaling is also fine for us and can be prepared for RAN#106, as follows (only Objective 4 needs to be revised – Justification remains the same):   [**Objective 4**] *Specify non-coherent UL codebook to facilitate 3-antenna-port codebook-based transmissions, enhancement(s) to enable 3T6R and 3T3R SRS antenna switching, as well as UE capability signaling for ~~3T3R antenna switching and~~ 3-antenna-port non-codebook-based transmissions, without enhancement on UL full power transmission and without enhancement on SRS resource*  *Note: UL full power transmission mode 1 and 2 are not supported.*  *~~Note: Other than UE capability signaling, no other enhancement is specified for 3T3R SRS antenna switching.~~* |

# Conclusions

TBD