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#### 5.1.3.x Switch off edge UPFs during off-peak traffic hours

To meet service demands, e.g. in terms of latency, the Network Operator (NOP) decided to deploy some UPFs at the edge of its core network, i.e. closer to low latency demanding service users than if they were deployed in its central core network.

During off-peak periods and depending on service users’ profile, observed behaviour and habits, the NOP may decide that some of these edge UPFs are no longer justifed. For example, at night, in some locations where no user paying for low latency services is connected, the remaining traffic (not demanding low latency) can be redirected from the edge UPFs to central core UPFs. The NOP may then decide to:

- redirect the remaining traffic to and from these edge UPFs to existing central core UPFs, and

- decommission these edge UPFs, or scale them in/down, or any other action enabling to achieve energy saving, depending on e.g. whether these UPFs are virtualized or not.

The decommissioning of edge UPFs can be done e.g. by administratively putting them out of service so that they can’t carry any more traffic, either with immediate effect or only when no more users are using these UPFs.

The NOP may decide at any time to come back to the initial situation.

Traceability: REQ-SOUPF-FUN-1, REQ-SOUPF-FUN-2.
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### 5.2.3 Requirements for energy saving

#### 5.2.3.x Requirements for switch off edge UPFs during off-peak hours

**REQ-SOUPF-FUN-1:** The management service producer responsible for energy saving should have the capability allowing its authorized consumer to collect the traffic load performance measurements of its edge UPFs.

**REQ-SOUPF-FUN-2:** The management service producer responsible for energy saving should have the capability allowing its authorized consumer to administratively prohibit selected edge UPFs from performing services for its users, either with immediate effect or only when no more users are using these UPFs.
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