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START OF CHANGE

# 10 QoE for Progressive Download and DASH

## 10.1 General

A progressive download or 3GP-DASH client supporting Quality of Experience (QoE) shall report QoE metrics according to the QoE configuration. QoE reporting is optional, but if a 3GP-DASH client reports DASH metrics, it shall report all requested metrics.

The quality metrics are defined in subclause 10.2.

The quality metrics applicable for progressive download are specified in section 10.3. In this case the activation and configuration of QoE reporting framework is achieved by a corresponding OMA DM QoE Management Object as specified in Annex F, or by the QMC functionality as specified in Annex L.

The quality metrics for DASH are specified in section 10.4. In this case, QoE reporting may be triggered using the MPD ( i.e. when the **Metrics** element is present in the MPD) or using OMA DM QoE Management Object as specified in Annex F, or by the QMC functionality as specified in Annex L. When QoE reporting is triggered via any of the above methods, the 3GP-DASH client is expected to collect quality metrics according to the QoE configuration. When using the MPD or the QMC functionality, the Quality Reporting scheme as defined in section 10.5 may be used.

The QoE configuration shall only be evaluated by the client at the start of a QoE measurement and reporting session (“QoE session”) associated with a streaming session. This includes evaluation of any filtering criteria such as by geographical area. Client evaluation of all measurement and reporting criterias for an ongoing QoE session shall be unaffected by any QoE configuration changes received during that session – i.e., any changes to the QoE configuration shall only affect QoE sessions started after these configuration changes have been received.

The quality metric reporting protocol is defined in subclause 10.6. This protocol shall be used when QoE reporting is triggered via the MPD or OMA DM QoE Management Object. When QoE reporting is triggered via the QMC functionality, the reporting is specified in Annex L.

The usage of ITU-T P.1203 [49] Audio/Video Mean Opinion Score (A/V MOS) estimation is defined in Annex K.
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