

**3GPP TSG-SA3 LI Meeting #16**  
**Barcelona, Spain, 18 – 20 January 2005**

---

**S3LI05\_024r2**

**Title:** Reply to LS on Need for the IMSI at the PDG  
**Release:** Rel-6  
**Work Item:** WLAN Interworking

**Source:** SA3 LI  
**To:** SA3, CN4  
**Cc:** SA2

**Contact Person:**

**Name:** Brye Bonner  
**Tel. Number:** 847.576.5920  
**E-mail Address:** [brye.bonner@motorola.com](mailto:brye.bonner@motorola.com)

**Attachments: None.**

---

SA3 LI thanks SA3 and CN4 for their liaisons S3-041046 and N4-041590, respectively on Need for the IMSI at the PDG.

SA3-LI would like to provide the following answers to the questions raised by CN4.

Q1: Is intercept at PDG implied in Release 6?

A1: SA3-LI has specified the PDG as an Intercepting Control Element in TS 33.107 "Lawful Interception Architecture and Functions" for rel-6.

Q2: If yes, is intercept based on IMSI mandatory, or it is enough to store the MSISDN, or is there no requirement for intercept at all in Release 6?

A2: SA3-LI understand that the MSISDN should be available at the PDG. However, SA3-LI are unsure for a PS only domain subscriber (they don't have a CS domain MSISDN publicly available), whether an MSISDN would actually be available in such cases. If CN4 can confirm that an MSISDN will be available then SA3-LI do not require the IMSI to be provided at the PDG. If the MSISDN will in fact not be available under the mentioned scenario then SA3-LI would require the IMSI to be available at the PDG or the availability of another id such as a publicly available NAI.

Actions:

CN4 – Confirm whether the MSISDN is available at the PDG in case of a PS domain only subscriber.

**Date of Next TSG-SA3-LI Meeting:**

SA3-LI#17

5-7 April 2005

Sophia Antipolis, France