Title:	**Selective Disabling of UE Capabilities**
Source:	**Nokia**
Document for:	**Discussion**
Agenda Item:	**7.23**
Work Item:	

1   Introduction

The new WID "Selective Disabling of UE Capabilities was approved in SA#24". The main responsibility is in SA1 but the WI also includes a feasibility study in which SA3 in involved. The Feasibility Study should analyze both the threats that could be mitigated by this type of mechanisms and the threats created by introduction of such a mechanism. The text below provides possible input for this feasibility study.

In the recent past, attacks have proliferated on the Internet. Attacks like worms and viruses not only perform malicious actions such as using up the terminal's resources, modifying the configuration of the terminal, preventing applications from running, or shutting the system down but these programs typically propagate and infect other terminals.

In a short period of time, these viruses and worms can quickly spread out, affecting an important numbers of users and also affecting the network resources because of the traffic generated. Some of these worms include DoS attacks (e.g. SYN floods, HTTP floods) that actually worsen the impact on the network by the large amount of traffic created.

Detecting infected terminals and quarantining them can help reducing these threats. It can more particularly prevent these attacks from propagating and infecting other terminals, as well as protecting the network resources.

Once a terminal has been identified as infected, its services should not be completely cut, but depending on the gravity of the viruses/worms, its services may be restricted. The network will provide with some means to clean the terminal and make sure the attack cannot be propagated.

This document is a preliminary analysis of the feasibility of such approach. It identifies what threats could be stopped, what threats cannot be prevented, and consider other methods that can address these attacks.

2 Discussion

2.1 Which threats could be mitigated with this kind of approach

Having the required infrastructure and defining the required procedures can alleviate several threats. These e.g. include:

- Preventing the propagation of worms: A worm is a program or algorithm that replicates itself over a network and usually performs malicious actions, such as using up the terminal's resources and possibly shutting the system down.

  Worms may also attempt Denial of Service attacks on some pre-determined servers by e.g. flooding the target with TCP SYN (SYN Flood) or generating a high volume of traffic towards the target. Considering worms propagate and therefore many terminals will launch the attacks, the damages can be disastrous.

  Worms typically propagate in a network by using specific ports (e.g. TCP 135 for MSBlast, TCP 445 for W32.Korgo.P): the program e.g. generates IP address according to a specific algorithm and then send data on selected ports in order to infect other terminals.

  Having the network entities to scan the outgoing traffic and identifying the infected terminals can prevent the worms from infecting other devices, and affecting the network resources.

- Cleaning infected terminals from worms and viruses: due to the threats mentioned above, identifying infected terminals and cleaning them from the viruses/worms allows subscribers to re-use their terminal. Users' irritation and frustration can significantly increase when the user's terminal has been infected by a virus/worm and the subscriber cannot use the terminal.

  Providing a mechanism to detect and quickly clean infected terminals can increase the user's experience.

- Stopping Trojan Horses: As viruses and worms, Trojan Horses can be downloaded to a device through a connectivity that is not controlled by the network operator (e.g. public WLAN, Bluetooth or Infrared).

  Trojan Horses can not only steal information (passwords, bank information) from the victim but also let malicious node take control of the infected terminal.

  These programs typically listen on specific ports for remote instructions, and send data (e.g. stolen information or notification) to a predetermined address.

  By scanning the outgoing traffic and scanning the listening ports (e.g. by sending a TCP SYN), a network can detect terminals infected by Trojan Horses and provide methods to clean them.

- Detecting spywares: A spyware is software that covertly gathers user information through the user's Internet connection without his or her knowledge,

usually for advertising purposes. Spyware applications are typically bundled as a hidden component of freeware or shareware programs that can be downloaded from the Internet. Once installed, the spyware monitors user activity on the Internet and transmits that information in the background to someone else. Spyware can also gather information about e-mail addresses and even passwords and credit card numbers.

Spyware also steals from the user by using the computer's memory resources and also by eating bandwidth as it sends information back to the spyware's home base via the user's Internet connection. Because spyware is using memory and system resources, the applications running in the background can lead to system crashes or general system instability.

Because spyware exists as independent executable programs, they have the ability to monitor keystrokes, scan files on the hard drive, snoop other applications, such as chat programs or word processors, install other spyware programs, read cookies, change the default home page on the Web browser, consistently relaying this information back to the spyware author.

As with viruses, worms, and Trojan horses, scanning the outgoing traffic and scanning the listening ports (e.g. by sending a TCP SYN) can help a network to detect terminals infected by spywares and provide methods to clean it.

2.2 Which related threats are not mitigated

- Assistance from the terminal can help detecting viruses, worms and other malicious programs. However legacy terminals may not have the required functionality implemented. Also viruses/worms may prevent the terminal from performing the expected operations.

  As an example, many malicious programs modify the registry when infecting a terminal. The terminal could scan the registry or simply notify the network when suspecting that it has been infected by a virus. This latter one may however prevent the sending of the notification message.

  The network can thus not always rely on the terminal.

  In such case, the network can only detect malicious programs that generate or listen to specific traffic.

- Also such approach would not prevent terminals from being infected since viruses/worms can be downloaded to a device through a connectivity that is not controlled by the network operator (e.g. public WLAN, Bluetooth or Infrared).

2.3 What can be done by existing mechanisms

Firewalls can prevent propagation of viruses and worms if all traffic passes through the firewalls and if the firewalls blocks unsolicited packets. Firewalls may however present other issues (e.g. how to open required pinholes for the required applications).

Firewalls can:

1. Prevent the propagation of viruses and worms.

2. Protect the network from viruses and worms: A firewall located at the Gi interface would drop the malicious packets and prevent them from further affecting the network.

Firewalls however cannot:

1. Detect Trojan Horses and Spyware. Trojan Horses and Spywares however do not propagate. It will therefore more be for the benefits of the users to know that it had been infected.

2. Provide a fast mean to clean the infected terminals. When infected, users might not know how to clean the device from the malicious programs.

These features cannot be provided by FW but could be by the considered approach. It also has to be noted that firewalls cannot stop traffic generated on the radio by viruses/worms

2.4 What are the potential problems introduced by this type of mechanisms

- If the terminal is disconnected from the required services after being detected as infected, this may not be acceptable by the user. The user may not care too much about the virus's impact but may need to make a call. If he is disconnected from that service (e.g. because the network wants to protect its resources), this may be a problem.

- Such situation could be addressed by still allowing the user to access the required services and only restricting the ones affected by the viruses/worms.

- When a virus spreads (not between terminals in the operator network, but e.g. from Internet to the terminals), there will be many terminals that need cleaning all at the same time. This may create a huge bottleneck for the connectivity towards the "repair center".

- Once the terminal is infected, can we guarantee that by connecting to the repair center it can actually be clean?