

CR-Form-v7	
CHANGE REQUEST	
⌘	TS 33.221 CR CRNum ⌘ rev - ⌘ Current version: ⌘

For **HELP** on using this form, see bottom of this page or look at the pop-up text over the ⌘ symbols.

Proposed change affects: UICC apps ME Radio Access Network Core Network

Title:	⌘ PSEUDO CR on clarifications on Certificate enrollement using pre-certified keys		
Source:	⌘ Schlumberger, OCS, Gemplus		
Work item code:	⌘ SSC	Date:	⌘ 3/11/2003
Category:	⌘ B	Release:	⌘ Rel-6
	<i>Use one of the following categories:</i> F (correction) A (corresponds to a correction in an earlier release) B (addition of feature), C (functional modification of feature) D (editorial modification) Detailed explanations of the above categories can be found in 3GPP TR 21.900 .		<i>Use one of the following releases:</i> 2 (GSM Phase 2) R96 (Release 1996) R97 (Release 1997) R98 (Release 1998) R99 (Release 1999) Rel-4 (Release 4) Rel-5 (Release 5) Rel-6 (Release 6)

Reason for change:	⌘ This CR clarifies the procedures to leverage on a pre-certified key in a UICC to enroll another key in the same device for a subscriber certificate. The pre-certified key provides "Proof of key origin" meaning that the UICC can issue an assertion that the other private key is stored in a tamper resistant device. This assertion includes the public key to enroll, a signature by the pre-certified key and the device certificate of the pre-certified key.
Summary of change:	⌘ Clarification of OMA mechanisms as an alternative to GBA to deliver subscriber certificates.
Consequences if not approved:	⌘ Misunderstanding between available solutions

Clauses affected:	⌘										
Other specs affected:	<table border="1" style="display: inline-table; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20px; text-align: center;">Y</td> <td style="width: 20px; text-align: center;">N</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width: 20px; text-align: center;"> </td> <td style="width: 20px; text-align: center;">N</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width: 20px; text-align: center;"> </td> <td style="width: 20px; text-align: center;">N</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width: 20px; text-align: center;"> </td> <td style="width: 20px; text-align: center;">N</td> </tr> </table>	Y	N		N		N		N	Other core specifications	⌘
	Y	N									
		N									
	N										
	N										
		Test specifications									
		O&M Specifications									
Other comments:	⌘										

**** Begin of Change ****

4.1 Introduction

Digital signatures can be used, for instance, to secure mobile commerce, service authorization and accounting. But digital signature by itself is not enough; there is need of a global support for authorization and charging. Thus 3GPP shall use global and secure authorization and charging infrastructure of mobile networks to support local architecture for digital signatures.

Subscriber certificates provide a migration path towards global Public Key Infrastructure (PKI). Local architecture for digital signatures can be deployed incrementally; an operator can choose to deploy independently of the others. On the other hand, the existence of subscribers and service providers that use digital signatures makes it easier to build global PKI.

3GPP systems shall issue subscriber certificates in order to authorize and account for service usage both in home and in visited network. This requires specification of:

1. Procedures to issue temporary or long-term certificates to subscribers.
2. Standard format of certificates and digital signatures, e.g. re-using wireless PKI.

The mechanism shall allow a cost efficient implementation of the security support of the UE. It will also enable a user's anonymity towards the service provider, whilst the user who invoking the service, can be identified by the network.

[Open Mobile Alliance offers an alternative solution for certificate enrolment \(c.f. subclause 4.5\)](#)

Subscriber certificates support services whose provision mobile operator assists, as well as services that mobile operator provides. There is no need to standardize those services. Also, the communication between service provider and the operator (in the role of certificate issuer) need not be standardized.

NEXT CHANGE**

4.5 Functionality in presence of pre-certified key pair or pre-shared keys

Editor's notes: Based on contribution S3-030037, it was agreed to add this part into the present document for ffs.

4.5.1 Presence of pre-certified key pair

An alternative to securing certificate enrolment based on AKA and bootstrapping function is to secure certificate enrolment based on signatures made with pre-certified key in the UE. This alternative has been specified by Open Mobile Alliance (see section 7.3.4 of [9]) and is thus out of scope of this specification. The functionality in presence of pre-certified key pair in the UE is explained below only briefly.

In this alternative solution, the UE equipped with a UICC, is previously issued with a pre-loaded, long lasting, public/private key pair from the home network. This phase would occur out of band, and would result in the UE possessing a long lasting key pair stored in the UICC for the purposes of certificate request authentication. Open Mobile Alliance (OMA) group offers standardized solutions by means of WPKI specification [9] and WIM specification [8] for the storage and the use of long-lasting key pair. USIM and WIM are examples of applications on the UICC that can deal with the long-lasting keys.

The UE can issue a request for a certificate to the CA, [including a proof of origin \(e.g. private key is stored in WIM\) by signing the request with using the an administrative long lasting private key.](#) The certificate request itself could contain a newly generated public key that is to be certified by the CA. This assumes that the new key pair is generated in the UICC. ~~Or it is also possible for the CA to generate the new key pair and send it (protected) to the UICC.~~ Access control security for the pre-loaded long-lasting private key should be at least as good as for access control for USIM.

[The certificate for the administrative long lasting private key, that provides the proof of generated key origin, is always long lasting certificate. On the other hand the generated user keys in the WIM may have short or long-lived certificate depending on CA policies \(see \[8\], \[9\], \[14\]\).](#)

~~Two options can be envisaged. Though the public/private key pair is long lasting, the validity of the subscriber certificates issued to the UE could be short lived. In this case the long lasting public/private key pair is used for PKI applications (e.g. in mobile commerce) in combination with the short lived certificates. Alternatively, the long lasting~~

~~public/private key pair could come with a long-term certificate. The long-term private key would then have a restricted purpose, e.g. only to be used to authenticate subscriber certificate requests. The latter would be used to obtain another, short-lived certificate on a short-lived public/private key pair. It would then be the short-lived keys that could be used for e.g. m-commerce and other 3G-PKI applications.~~

4.5.2 Presence of symmetric pre-shared key

Same as above but the administrative key that provides the proof of generated key origin is a shared symmetric key, in which case it does not have a certificate (see [8], [9], [14]).

Note: The pre-shared symmetric key discussed in this chapter is not the same as the shared key associated with GBA.

END OF CHANGES**