3GPP TSG-SA3 Meeting #30 **Tdoc ⌘S3-030597**
Povoa de Varzim, Portugal, 6-10 October 2003

CR-Form-v7

CHANGE REQUEST

⌘ **33.203** **CR** ⌘**rev** **1** ⌘ Current version: **5.7.0** ⌘

*For **HELP** on using this form, see bottom of this page or look at the pop-up text over the ⌘ symbols.*

Proposed change affects: UICC apps⌘ ☐ ME ☐ Radio Access Network ☐ Core Network ☐

Title:	⌘	Terminology alignment
Source:	⌘	Nokia
Work item code:	⌘	IMS-ASEC
Date:	⌘	25/09/2003
Category:	⌘	**F**
Release:	⌘	Rel-6

Use one of the following categories:
F *(correction)*
A *(corresponds to a correction in an earlier release)*
B *(addition of feature),*
C *(functional modification of feature)*
D *(editorial modification)*
Detailed explanations of the above categories can be found in 3GPP TR 21.900.

Use one of the following releases:

2	*(GSM Phase 2)*
R96	*(Release 1996)*
R97	*(Release 1997)*
R98	*(Release 1998)*
R99	*(Release 1999)*
Rel-4	*(Release 4)*
Rel-5	*(Release 5)*
Rel-6	*(Release 6)*

Reason for change:	⌘	Minor clarifications to the text were added in order to improve the readability.
Summary of change:	⌘	A sentence about the registration information storage was deleted. 'Terminate' was changed to 'abandon'. The word 'challenge' was added.
Consequences if not approved:	⌘	Incorrect formulations, unnecessary text.

Clauses affected:	⌘	6.1.2, 6.1.3, 7.3			
Other specs affected:	⌘	**Y** / **N**	Other core specifications	⌘	24.229
		X /	Other core specifications		
		/ X	Test specifications		
		/ X	O&M Specifications		
Other comments:	⌘				

How to create CRs using this form:
Comprehensive information and tips about how to create CRs can be found at http://www.3gpp.org/specs/CR.htm.
Below is a brief summary:

1) Fill out the above form. The symbols above marked ⌘ contain pop-up help information about the field that they are closest to.
2) Obtain the latest version for the release of the specification to which the change is proposed. Use the MS Word "revision marks" feature (also known as "track changes") when making the changes. All 3GPP specifications can be downloaded from the 3GPP server under ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/specs/ For the latest version, look for the directory name with the latest date e.g. 2001-03 contains the specifications resulting from the March 2001 TSG meetings.

3) With "track changes" disabled, paste the entire CR form (use CTRL-A to select it) into the specification just in front of the clause containing the first piece of changed text. Delete those parts of the specification which are not relevant to the change request.

6.1.2.2 Network authentication failure

In this section the case when the authentication of the network is not successful is specified. When the check of the MAC in the UE fails the network can not be authenticated and hence registration fails. The flow is identical as for the successful registration in 6.1.1 up to SM6.



The UE shall send a Register message towards the HN including an indication of the cause of failure in SM7. The P-CSCF and the I-CSCF forward this message to the S-CSCF.

SM7:
REGISTER(Failure = *AuthenticationFailure*, IMPI)

Upon receiving SM9, which includes the cause of authentication failure, the S-CSCF shall set the registration-flag in the HSS to *unregistered*, if the IMPU is not currently registered. To set the flag the S-CSCF sends in CM3 a Cx-Put to the HSS. If the IMPU is currently registered, the S-CSCF does not update the registration flag.

CM3:
Cx-AV-Put(IMPI, Clear S-CSCF name)

The HSS responds to CM3 with a Cx-Put-Resp in CM4.

In SM10 the S-CSCF sends a 4xx Auth_Failure towards the UE indicating that authentication has failed, no security parameters shall be included in this message.

SM10:
SIP/2.0 4xx Auth_Failure

~~Upon receiving SM10 the I-CSCF shall clear any registration information related to the IMPI.~~

6.1.2.3 Incomplete authentication

If the S-CSCF does not receive a response to an authentication challenge within an acceptable time, it considers the authentication to have failed. If the IMPU was not already registered, the S-CSCF shall send a Cx-Put to the HSS to set the registration-flag for that IMPU to unregistered (see message CM3 in clause 6.1.2.2). If the IMPU was already registered, the S-CSCF does not change the registration-flag.

6.1.3 Synchronization failure

In this section the case of an authenticated registration with synchronization failure is described. After re-synchronization, authentication may be successfully completed, but it may also happen that in subsequent attempts other failure conditions (i.e. user authentication failure, network authentication failure) occur. In below only the case of synchronization failure with subsequent successful authentication is shown. The other cases can be derived by combination with the flows for the other failure conditions.



The flow equals the flow in 6.1.1 up to SM6. When the UE receives SM6 it detects that the SQN is out of range and sends a synchronization failure back to the S-CSCF in SM7. Draft-ietf-sip-digest-aka-01 [17] describes the fields to populate corresponding parameters of synchronization failure.

SM7:
REGISTER(Failure = *Synchronization Failure,* AUTS, IMPI*)*

Upon receiving the *Synchronization Failure* and the AUTS the S-CSCF sends an Av-Req to the HSS in CM3 including the required number of Avs, m.

CM3:
Cx-AV-Req(IMPI, RAND,AUTS, m)

The HSS checks the AUTS as in section 6.3.5 in [1]. After potentially updating the SQN, the HSS sends new AVs to the S-CSCF in CM4.

CM4:
Cx-AV-Req-Resp(IMPI, n,$RAND_1$||$AUTN_1$||$XRES_1$||CK_1||IK_1,….,$RAND_n$||$AUTN_n$||$XRES_n$||CK_n||IK_n)

The rest of the messages i.e. SM10-SM18 including the Cx messages are exactly the same as SM4-SM12 and the corresponding Cx messages in 6.1.1.

7.3 Error cases in the set-up of security associations

7.3.1 Error cases related to IMS AKA

Errors related to IMS AKA failures are specified in section 6.1. However, this section additionally describes how these shall be treated, related to security setup.

7.3.1.1 User authentication failure

In this case, SM7 fails integrity check by IPsec at the P-CSCF if the IK_{IM} derived from RAND at UE is wrong. The SIP application at the P-CSCF never receives SM7. It shall delete the temporarily stored SA parameters associated with this registration after a time-out.

In case IK_{IM} was derived correctly, but the response was wrong the authentication of the user fails at the S-CSCF due to an incorrect response. The S-CSCF ~~will~~ shall send a 4xx Auth_Failure message to the UE, via the P-CSCF, which may pass through an already established SA. Afterwards, both, the UE and the P-CSCF shall delete the new SAs.

7.3.1.4 Incomplete authentication

If the UE responds to an authentication challenge from a S-CSCF, but does not receive a reply before the request times out, the UE shall start a registration procedure if it still requires any IM services. The first message in this registration should be protected with an SA created by a previous successful authentication if one exists.

If the P-CSCF deletes a registration SA due to its lifetime being exceeded, the P-CSCF should delete any information relating to that registration procedure.

7.3.2 Error cases related to the Security-Set-up

7.3.2.1 Proposal unacceptable to P-CSCF

In this case the P-CSCF cannot accept the proposal set sent by the UE in the Security-Set-up command of SM1. The P-CSCF shall respond to SM1 indicating a failure, by sending an error response to the UE.

7.3.2.2 Proposal unacceptable to UE

If the P-CSCF sends in the security-setup line of SM6 a proposal that is not acceptable for the UE, the UE shall ~~terminate~~ abandon the registration procedure.

7.3.2.3 Failed consistency check of Security-Set-up lines at the P-CSCF

The P-CSCF shall check whether authentication algorithms list received in SM7 is identical with the authentication algorithms list sent in SM6. If this is not the case the registration procedure is aborted. (Cf. clause 7.2).