Source: **Chairman of 3GPP TSG-SA WG3**
Title: **Report from SA#21 plenary**
Document for: Information
Agenda Item: 5.3

Dear SA3,

I am glad to inform you that no major problems were encountered with SA3 matters in the SA#21 plenary meeting in Frankfurt 22-25 September 2003. The main points with impact to SA3 are listed in the following:

1. In all technical areas *except* Lawful interception, all our CR's were approved as submitted.

2. In the area of lawful interception, the category of a CR was changed in several cases from D (editorial modification) to F (correction). One CR (SP-030477) against 33.106 marked as "editorial modification" was rejected because it proposed to introduce an item into the reference list but it did not introduce any change in the specification where this item is referenced to.
   It was emphasized that category D is intended exclusively for grammatical improvements, e.g. correcting spelling errors. No CR in category D can introduce any changes (however small) in the system. Furthermore, it is not a responsibility of either MCC or the WG (or SWG) chairpersons to correct the cover sheets. All people preparing CRs were encouraged to consult TR 21.801 "Specification drafting rules".

3. Our proposed WID for "Key Management of group keys for Voice Group Call Services" was approved as submitted (SP-030491).

4. Some concern was raised with the timing of LI subgroup meetings: if the LI meeting is scheduled too close to the SA plenary meeting then CRs approved in the LI meeting cannot typically be done against the correct version of the specification. This happened also this time but Maurice managed to correct the CRs. There is a risk that the same problem recurs next time as LI group meeting was held simultaneously with SA#21. Therefore, I propose LI group converts any CRs accepted in Jackson Hole meeting (22-24 September) into correct form (i.e. against spec versions that exist after SA#21) before we submit them to SA plenary #22 in December.

5. Dr. Raziq Yaqub gave a presentation about the current stage of our Feasibility study work on (U)SIM Security Reuse by Peripheral Devices. It was explicitly explained (and also mentioned in the SA#21 meeting minutes) that the presentation was an individual company contribution with no endorsement whatsoever by SA3.

6. I participated also the OMA-3GPP workshop on Monday 15 September. The most immediate affect to our work was the agreement that joint meetings and liaison between OMA and 3GPP work groups are possible (and they are even encouraged). However, it was stressed that agreements reached in joint meetings are not binding; they have to be endorsed by both parties. This kind of endorsement already occurred in SA plenary for the workshop conclusions (SP-030516).
   One consequence is that we can now send our LS (S3-030459) to OMA SEC/SCT WG and begin preparing for a joint meeting in the area of subscriber certificates.
   In addition to the workshop conclusions, it was agreed in the SA#21 that Iain Sharp (TSG T vice chair) continues to maintain a living document of OMA dependencies (i.e. a list of OMA deliverables such that 3GPP is dependent on them) in a manner similar to what Stephen Hayes (TSG CN chair) has been doing for IETF dependencies.

7. Issues in SA1 area:

- SA1 is still struggling with their reply to our earlier reply LS (S3-030273) on "Privacy and Security Requirements within GSM/UMTS Devices";
- One CR (SP-030459) adds an authentication requirement in the security section of LCS stage 1 spec;
- Another CR (SP-030469) cleans up the security section of GUP stage 1 spec.

8. Issues in SA2 area:
   - As agreed in our September ad hoc, I raised the issues with the security section of MBMS stage 2 spec 22.246;
   - The conclusion was to replace the security section with a reference to our MBMS security spec 33.246. This change would be implemented later with a CR against 22.246. The possibility to access MBMS services with a SIM has to be raised in SA3 if there is still interest in pursuing this path further. (We noted in Antwerp that this late requirement in 22.246 was against the agreement reached in the joint SA2/SA3 MBMS session in February.);
   - A new WID "Impacts of Speech Enabled Services on IMS, PS and CS domains" (SP-030539) has the following under the section of security aspects: "Access to Voice Recognition platforms in authenticated and authorised manner. Privacy rules may need to be defined for the access to Voice Recognition platforms.";
   - WLAN interworking stage 2 TS 22.234 was not approved because some more study is required to be able to confirm the tunnelling solution for scenario 3. One of the issues to be studied is lawful interception. However, the content of the draft TS is stable for scenarios 1 and 2 and the content of scenario 3 part is seen as the working assumption

9. Issues in CN area:
   - The WID for CN4 stage 3 work on the area of bootstrapping interfaces was approved.

10. Issues in T area:
    - T3 has to know very soon whether they need to do work for MBMS in release 6.

11. General issues about the Rel. 6 dates:

    - the decision of the freezing date of Rel-6 is going to be done in December 2003.
    - SA#21 estimated that the stage 1 content of Rel-6 is now stabilized although there is a caveat that co-operation with OMA may lead to some new requirements.

Best regards,

Valtteri Niemi
SA3 chairman