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\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Start of 1st Change \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*.

## G.2.3 Threats related to error handling in the SEPP

### G.2.3.1 Incorrect handling for PLMN ID or SNPN ID mismatch

*- Threat name:* Incorrect handling for PLMN ID or SNPN ID mismatch

*- Threat Category*: Denial of Service, Information Disclosure, Spoofing Identity

*- Threat Description*: there are following threats if the SEPP does not make correct handling when detecting that the PLMN-ID or SNPN ID contained in the incoming N32-f message does not match the PLMN-ID or SNPN ID in the related N32-f context:

- Without receiving error signalling message from the SEPP which detected the mismatch, the peer SEPP is not aware of such error and will continue to send the messages with errors. This can result in waste of system resources.

- If the SEPP sends an error signalling message without indicating the error cause and the corresponding N32-f message ID, the peer SEPP is not able to identify what error occurs and what is the source message on which the error occurs. Hence the peer SEPP is not able to take actions accordingly. This can result in service interruption as well as waste of system resources.

- The serving PLMN ID or SNPN ID appended in the subject claim of the access token sent by a NF service consumer in the serving PLMN will not be checked by the NF service producer in the home PLMN. If the SEPP in the HPLMN detected the mismatch of serving PLMN ID or SNPN ID in the access token but still forwards the NF Service Request to the NF service producer, the serving PLMN ID or SNPN ID mismatch will not be detected by the NF service producer and the request will be wrongly accepted if all the other checks on the access token get passed. This can result in unauthorized service access by NF service consumer as well as waste of system resources.

*- Threatened Asset*: Application layer security data, Sufficient Processing Capacity

NOTE x: SNPN ID mismatch only applies to SEPPs in a Credentials Holder using AUSF and UDM for primary authentication.\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* End of 1st Change \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*