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Annex X (normative):
Aspects specific to the IMS network product classes

# X.1 Network product class description for the IMS

## X.1.1 Introduction

This Annex covers the aspects specific to the IMS network products with specific threats.

## X.1.2 Minimum set of functions defining the IMS network product classes

As part of the IMS network products, it is expected that the IMS network product classes (e.g. P-CSCF) contains IMS network product classes application, a set of running processes (typically more than one) executing the software package for the IMS network product functions and OAM functions that are specific to the IMS network product model. Functionalities specific to the IMS network product introduce additional threats and/or critical assets as described below. Related security requirements and test cases have been captured in TS 33.226 [XX].

Note:For the purposes of the present Annex, this common set is defined to be the list of functions contained in clause 4.0 in 3GPP TS 23.228 [YY].

# X.2 Assets and threats specific to the P-CSCF

## X.2.1 Critical assets

In addition to the critical assets of a GNP has been described in clause 5.2 of the present document, the critical assets specific to the P-CSCF to be protected are:

- P-CSCF Application;

- IMS signalling;

- Security data, i.e. cryptographic materials for Gm, Mw, Mx, and Iq interfaces

- The interfaces of the P-CSCF to be protected and which are within SECAM scope:

- Gm interface between the P-CSCF and UE

- Mw interface between the P-CSCF and the C-CSCF/I-CSCF

- Mx interface between the P-CSCF and IBCF

- Iq interface between the P-CSCF and IMS AGW

- Console interface, for local access: local interface on the P-CSCF

- OAM interface, for remote access: interface between the P-CSCF and the OAM system

NOTE 1: The detailed interfaces of the P-CSCF class are described in clause 4 of the present document.

- P-CSCF Software: binary code or executable code

NOTE 2: P-CSCF files may be any file owned by a user (root user as well as non-root users), including user account data and credentials, log data, configuration data, OS files, P-CSCF application, user plane security mechanism, or cryptographic materials.

## X.2.2 Threats related to set-up of security associations

### X.2.2.1 High-priority algorithm selection

- Threat name: High-priority algorithm selection

- Threat Category: Tampering of data, Information Disclosure, Denial of Service

- Threat Description: If the P‑CSCF does not select the highest priority algorithm combination on its own list which is also supported by the UE to protect the messages between the P‑CSCF and the UE, the P‑CSCF could end up using a weaker algorithm forcing the system into a lowered security level making the system easily attacked and/or compromised.

- Threatened Asset: IMS signalling

### X.2.2.2 Bidding down on security association set-up

- Threat name: Bidding down on security association set-up

- Threat Category: Tampering of data, Information Disclosure, Denial of Service

- Threat Description: If the P‑CSCF does not check whether the integrity and encryption algorithms list, SPI\_P and Port\_P received in SM7 is identical with the corresponding parameters sent in SM6, and check whether SPI\_U and Port\_U received in SM7 are identical with those received in SM1, the attacker can force the system to reduce the security level by tampering the integrity and encryption algorithms list. Then, weaker security algorithms may be selected, which will make the system easily attacked and/or compromised. Tampering the SPI will cause the the negotiated SA cannot be indexed. As a result, the following security association fails to be established or Denial of Service occurs. The port number is generally used to identify different applications. Tampering the Port\_P number by the attacker will cause messages to be sent to the UE or P-CSCF through the Tampered port. These messages including some sensitive parameters may be leaked to another application, which is not intended to receive this message.

- Threatened Asset: IMS signalling, security data

# X.3 Assets and threats specific to the S-CSCF

## X.3.1 Critical assets

In addition to the critical assets of a GNP has been described in clause 5.2 of the present document, the critical assets specific to the S-CSCF to be protected are:

- S-CSCF Application;

- IMS signalling;

- Security data, i.e. cryptographic materials for Mw, Mx, Mm, Mg, ISC, Cx, Dx, Mr, and Mi interfaces

- The interfaces of the S-CSCF to be protected and which are within SECAM scope:

- Mw interface between the S-CSCF and I-CSCF/P-CSCF

- Mx interface between the S-CSCF and IBCF

- Mm interface between the S-CSCF and IP multimedia network

- Mg interface between the S-CSCF and MGCF

- ISC interface between the S-CSCF and AS

- Cx interface between the S-CSCF and HSS

- Dx interface between the S-CSCF and SLF

- Mr interface between the S-CSCF and MRFC

- Mi interface between the S-CSCF and BGCF

- Console interface, for local access: local interface on the P-CSCF

- OAM interface, for remote access: interface between the P-CSCF and the OAM system

NOTE 1: The detailed interfaces of the S-CSCF class are described in clause 4 of the present document.

- S-CSCF Software: binary code or executable code

NOTE 2: S-CSCF files may be any file owned by a user (root user as well as non-root users), including user account data and credentials, log data, configuration data, OS files, S-CSCF application, user plane security mechanism, or cryptographic materials.

## X.3.2 Threats related to de-registration during the authentication

***-*** *Threat name:*  No de-registration during the authentication.

***-*** *Threat Category:* Denial-of-service attack.

***-*** *Threat Description:* Assume that a legal UE has already been registered into the IMS network with the IMPU. An attacker could try to register an already registered IMPU and respond with an incorrect authentication response in order to make the HN de-register the IMPU of the legal UE. In this case, the legal UE will be de-registered in the HSS. Therefore, the attacker could open up a potential denial-of-service attack deny a legitimate user access to the system.

***-*** *Threatened Asset:* Sufficient Processing Capacity.

## X.3.4 Threats related to authenticated re-registration

### X.3.4.1 Unprotected register message

- Threat name: Unprotected REGISTER messages

- Threat Category: Tampering of data, Information Disclosure, Denial of Service

- Threat Description: If the S‑CSCF does not authenticate the user by means of the AKA protocol in case of the UE sends unprotected REGISTER messages, the attacker without a legal certificates, or pre-shared key could be able to access the network. The data and resources stored in the network may be exposed to an attacker, making the system easily attacked and/or compromised.

- Threatened Asset: S-CSCF Application, Security data

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* End of the 2nd changes \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*