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\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Start of the 1st Change \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

## 6.2 Generic critical assets

The generic critical assets of NF to be protected are:

- NF Application.

- NF API data (e.g. API message IEs, access tokens, client credentials assertions).

- The interfaces of NF to be protected and which are within SECAM scope:

- Service Based Interfaces.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Start of the 2nd Change \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

### 6.3.x Threats related to authentication for indirect communication

#### 6.3.x.1 Incorrect validation of client credentials assertion

- *Threat name*: Incorrect Validation of Client Credentials Assertion.

- *Threat category*: Spoofing Identity, Information Disclosure, Denial of Service, Elevation of Privilege.

- *Threat Description*: for indirect communication where NF service consumer and NRF/NF service producer cannot mutually authenticate each other, the authentication of NF service consumer towards NRF/NF service producer can only implicitly rely on authentication between NF service consumer and SCP and between SCP and NRF/NF service producer with hop-by-hop security protection. An additional authentication for indirect communication is using client credentials assertions signed by NF service consumer and validated by NRF/NF service producer, as defined in TS 33.501 [3] clause 13.3.8. Client credentials assertions are sent end-to-end from NF service consumer to NRF/NF service producer via one or several SCPs. There are following threats if the generic NF (including all typers of NF service producer, NRF) receiving the assertion cannot correctly validate it:

- If the NF could not verify the integrity of the assertion, an attacker can deceive the NF by tampering the instance ID of the consumer NF, audience claim, timestamp and expiration time in the client credentials assertion. This can lead to spoofing identity, information disclosure, denial of service, elevation of privilege.

- If the NF could successfully verify the integrity of the client credentials assertion but could not verify the audience claim in the assertion, an attacker can deceive the NF with an assertion detined for another NF type intercepted from the consumer NF. This can lead to spoofing identity, information disclosure, elevation of privilege.

- If the NF could successfully verify the integrity and audience claim of the client credentials assertion but could not verify the expiration time (exp) in the assertion, it can be replayed by an attack, who can abuse the use of assertion for authentication out of its lifetime. This can lead to spoofing identity, information disclosure.

*- Threatened Asset:* NF API data, NF Application, Sufficient processing capacity.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* End of the Changes \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*