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\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Start of the Change \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

### D.2.2.X State translation from inactive state to connected state

*- Threat name:* State transition from inactive state to connected state

*- Threat Category*: Denial of Service.

*- Threat Description*: When state transition from inactive state to the connected state, if the gNB does not reactivate the UP security based on UP activation status, the UP activation status between the gNB and the UE will be different. This will cause the misalignment on UP activation status, and result in the UE has to reconnect to the Network again which waste of time and resource both at UE and gNB.

*- Threatened Asset*: user data.
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