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#### 6.6.2.4 Support of URSP rule enforcement reporting

This clause defines how and under what conditions the UE reports URSP rule enforcement to PCF so that PCF can be made aware when a given UE enforces specific URSP rule(s) and what actions the PCF may trigger upon the reception of such reporting.

In order to determine the URSP rule enforcement, for a UE indicating the capability of reporting URSP rule enforcement to network (see clause 4.2.2.2.2 of TS 23.502 [3]), the PCF may indicate in a URSP rule sent to the UE to send reporting of URSP rule enforcement (see clause 6.6.2.1).

A UE supporting URSP rule enforcement reporting shall report URSP rule enforcement to the SMF if a URSP rule includes an indication for reporting URSP rule enforcement and if Connection Capabilities is in the TD (see clause 6.6.2.1), when:

- the UE associates a newly detected application to a new PDU Session based on URSP evaluation result (see clause 6.6.2.3) for such a URSP rule, the UE shall include in the PDU Session Establishment Request (see clause 4.3.2.2.1 of TS 23.502 [3]) the Connection Capabilities contained in the Traffic descriptor of the associated URSP rule, or

- the UE associates a newly detected application to an existing PDU Session based on URSP evaluation result (see clause 6.6.2.3) for such a URSP rule, the UE shall send a PDU Session Modification Request (see clause 4.3.3.2 of TS 23.502 [3]) including the Connection Capabilities contained in the Traffic descriptor of the associated URSP rule.

- the UE changes its association of applications to PDU Session due to the re-evaluation of the such a URSP rule (as in 6.6.2.3), the UE shall send a PDU Session Establishment/Modification Request (see clause 4.3.3.2 of TS 23.502 [3]) including the URSP rule enforcement report (i.e. all Connection Capabilities contained in the Traffic descriptor of the associated URSP rule).

NOTE 1: The re-evaluation of the URSP rule also applies for the UE mobility from EPS to 5GS. In other words, if the UE has association(s) of applications to a PDN Connection/PDU Session in EPC, for such a URSP rule, the UE sends a PDU Session Establishment Request (for the case without N26, see the step 9 of Figure 4.11.2.3-1) or PDU Session Modification Request (for the case with N26, see clause 4.3.3.2 of TS 23.502 [3]) including the URSP rule enforcement report (i.e. all Connection Capabilities contained in the Traffic descriptor of the associated URSP rule).

NOTE 2: UE reporting of URSP rule enforcement can increase the amount of signalling in the network. Use of this feature is recommended to be restricted to URSP rules for specific application traffic on specific UEs based on the deployment choices of the operator.

If the UE enforces several URSP rules for multiple applications, and these multiple applications' traffic are all associated to the same PDU session, in order to reduce the number of uplink NAS messages, the UE may include more than one URSP rule enforcement report in one PDU Session Modification Request to 5GC (see clause 4.3.3.2 of TS 23.502 [3]).

NOTE 3: A rule with the "match-all" traffic descriptor cannot contain Connection Capabilities in the Traffic descriptor. The format and values of the Traffic descriptor component type identifier are defined in clause 5.2 of TS 24.526 [19].

The PCF receives reporting from URSP rule enforcement for a given UE via Policy Control Request Triggers (see clause 6.1.3.5).

When the PCF serving the PDU session is not the same as the PCF serving the UE, the PCF serving the UE subscribes to the PCF serving the PDU session to receive the reporting of URSP rule enforcement for a given UE via PCF event reporting (see clause 6.1.3.18 and the related procedure in clause 4.16.16 of TS 23.502 [3]).

For LBO roaming session case, the H-PCF for the UE sends the PCRT for the UE reporting of URSP rule enforcement to the V-PCF for the UE during the UE Policy Association Establishment or Modification.

The PCF for the UE may check whether the value of URSP rule enforcement and its PDU Session parameters (e.g. DNN/S-NSSAI) are compliant to the URSP rule of the UE. If the PCF for the UE found an inconsistency, the PCF for the UE may perform appropriate actions (e.g. initiating slice replacement procedure).

Policy control decisions based on awareness of URSP rule enforcement are described in clause 6.1.6.