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### 4.3.6 Application Function influence on traffic routing

#### 4.3.6.1 General

Clause 4.3.6 describes the procedures between an Application Function and the SMF to maintain an efficient user plane path for Application Functions that require it and to support steering of subscriber traffic to service functions.

As described in clause 5.6.7 of TS 23.501 [2], an Application Function may send requests to influence SMF routeing decisions for User Plane traffic of PDU Sessions. The AF requests may influence UPF (re)selection and allow routeing of user traffic to a local access (identified by a DNAI) to a Data Network and/or steering of traffic to service functions, identified by SFC policy identifier(s) within N6 traffic routing information. The AF may also provide in its request subscriptions to SMF events.

The following cases can be distinguished:

- AF requests targeting an individual UE by a UE address; these requests are routed (by the AF or by the NEF) to an individual PCF using the BSF. This is described in clause 4.3.6.4.

NOTE 1: Such requests target an on-going PDU Session. Whether the AF needs to use the NEF or not is according to local deployment.

- AF requests described in clause 5.6.7 of TS 23.501 [2] targeting a group of UE(s), or any UE accessing a combination of DNN and S-NSSAI, or targeting individual UE by a GPSI as described in table 5.6.7-1. These AF requests may also affect UE(s) with an established PDU session. For such requests the AF shall contact the NEF and the NEF stores the AF request information in the UDR. PCF(s) receive a corresponding notification if they had subscribed to the creation / modification/ deletion of the AF request information corresponding to UDR Data Keys / Data Sub-Keys. This is defined in clause 6.3.7.2 of TS 23.501 [2] and further described in clause 4.3.6.2.

NOTE 2: Such requests can target on-going or future PDU Sessions.

If the AF interacts with PCF via the NEF, the NEF performs the following mappings where needed:

- Map the AF-Service-Identifier into DNN and S-NSSAI combination, determined by local configuration.

- Map the AF-Service-Identifier into a list of DNAI(s) and Routing Profile ID(s) determined by local configuration.

The NEF can only provide this mapping when the DNAI(s) being used by the applications are statically defined. When the DNAI(s) where applications are instantiated may vary dynamically, the AF should provide the target DNAI(s) in its request together with either Routing Profile ID(s) or with N6 traffic routing information.

- Map the GPSI in Target UE Identifier into SUPI, according to information received from UDM.

- Map the External Group Identifier in Target UE Identifier into Internal Group Identifier, according to information received from UDM.

- Map the geographical area in Spatial Validity Condition into areas of validity, determined by local configuration.
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##### 5.2.6.7.2 Nnef\_TrafficInfluence\_Create operation

**Service operation name:** Nnef\_TrafficInfluence\_Create

**Description:** Authorize the request and forward the request for traffic influence.

**Inputs, Required:** AF Transaction Id, AF Identifier.

The AF Transaction Id refers to the request.

**Inputs, Optional:** The address (IP or Ethernet) of the UE if available, GPSI if available, DNN if available, S-NSSAI if available, External Group Identifier if available, External Application Identifier or traffic filtering information, AF-Service-Identifier, a list of DNAI(s) and corresponding routing profile ID(s) or N6 traffic routing information, a list of SFC policy identifier(s) within N6 traffic routing information, Indication of traffic correlation, Indication of application relocation possibility, Indication of UE IP address preservation, Early and/or late notifications about UP path management events, Notification Target Address, Temporal validity condition, Spatial validity condition, User Plane Latency Requirements, Information for EAS IP Replacement in 5GC, Indication for EAS Relocation and AF indication for simultaneous connectivity over source and target PSA at edge relocation as described in clause 5.6.7 of TS 23.501 [2].

NOTE: When only one DNAI and corresponding routing profile ID(s) and the Indication for EAS Relocation are available, the presented DNAI is the target DNAI as defined in clause 6.3.7 of TS 23.548 [74].

**Outputs, Required:** Operation execution result indication.

**Outputs, Optional:** None.
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