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This document is to collect company views on key questions of KI#4 and #5 to facilitate the following conclusion discussion. Please kindly provide your company views on the following questions before EoB of Saturday. The rapporteur will collect the views and propose summary/way forwards/SoH for further discussion on Monday.

### Q1. How to continue the PDU Set study on UL traffic?

* Option 1: Wait for RAN progress then align in SA2.
* Option 2: SA2 defines UE and/or RAN behaviours for UL handling.

**[Company view]**

**Position:** Support Option x,y.. / Object Option x,y… / Neutral / Other views

**Justification**: We believe ….

### Q2: How does UPF identify DL PDU Set info?

* Option 1: use existing IETF RTP/SRTP RFC and draft
* Option 2: Define/extend N6 protocols to carry related info
	+ Option 2.1: extend GTP-U protocol
	+ Option 2.2: extend HTTP header (S2-2205830 - MASQUE)
	+ Option 2.3 extend transport header (UDP, QUIC)
	+ Option 2.4: extend RTP header
* Option 3: UPF implementation based on e.g. traffic characteristics.
* Option 4: UPF interacts with NWDAF(S2-2205838)

**[Company view]**

**Position:**

**Justification**:

### Q3: Support to PDU Set dependency-based scheduling

* Option 1: Identify accurate dependency relationship between PDU Sets for scheduling.
* Option 2: In some scenario (e.g. closed GOP), the decoding of the non-I frames between two successive I frames always directly or indirectly relies on the 1st I frame of the two successive I frames. If the 1st I frame is in error, the non-I frames can be dropped until the next I frame. (proposed in S2-2205839)
* Option 3: If a PDU Set is depended by others, it can be considered as more important during scheduling. But the scheduling will not further consider the accurate dependency relationship.

**[Company view]**

**Position:**

**Justification**:

### Q4. How to deliver PDU Set importance information to RAN:

* Option 1: use different QoS Flows with different priority level. PDU Set importance is mapped to existing QoS flow priority.
* Option 2: use one QoS flow for different PDU Set with different priority level
	+ Option 2.1: use different sub-QoS Flow within one QoS Flow, and using sub-QoS flow Identifier in GTP-U header
	+ Option 2.2: use PDU Set importance information in GTP-U header

**[Company view]**

**Position:**

**Justification**:

### Q5. Support to hierarchical PDU Set:

* Option 1: introduces PDU Set group. (S2-2205938)
* Option 2: not support.

**[Company view]**

**Position:**

**Justification**: