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\* \* \* \* First change \* \* \* \*

#### 6.1.3.23 Support of integration with Time Sensitive Networking

Time Sensitive Networking (TSN) support is defined in TS 23.501 [2], where the 5GS represents virtual TSN bridge(s) based on the defined granularity model. The TSN AF and PCF interact to perform QoS mapping as described in clause 5.28.4 of TS 23.501 [2].

The PCF provides the following parameters to the TSN AF: Port Management Container, port numbers associated with the NW-TT and DS-TT, and a UE MAC address (i.e. MAC address of the DS-TT port). The TSN AF may use this information to construct IEEE managed objects, to interwork with IEEE TSN networks.

The TSN AF decides the TSN QoS information (i.e. priority, delay and maximum TSC burst size) based on the received the configuration information of 5GS Bridge from the CNC as defined in clause 5.28.2 of TS 23.501 [2] and the bridge delay information at the TSN AF.

The PCF receives a request from the TSN AF that includes UE MAC address (i.e. MAC address of the DS-TT port) for the PDU session, the Flow Description(s) for each of the TSN streams that are assigned, the TSN QoS parameters and the TSN AF QoS containter including the periodicity, the burst arrival time and the flow direction. The PCF performs Session binding using the UE MAC address, and then the PCF derives the TSN QoS parameters into a 5QI.

The PCF generates a PCC Rule with service data flow filter containing the Flow Descriptions provided by the TSN AF, the mapped 5QI and the TSN AF QoS container. The SMF binds the PCC Rule to a QoS Flow as defined in clause 6.1.3.2.4.

\* \* \* \* Second change \* \* \* \*

##### 6.1.3.2.4 QoS Flow binding

QoS Flow binding is the association of a PCC rule to a QoS Flow within a PDU Session. The binding is performed using the following binding parameters:

- 5QI;

- ARP;

- QNC (if available in the PCC rule);

- Priority Level (if available in the PCC rule);

- Averaging Window (if available in the PCC rule);

- Maximum Data Burst Volume (if available in the PCC rule)

When the PCF provisions a PCC Rule, the SMF shall evaluate whether a QoS Flow with QoS parameters identical to the binding parameters exists unless the PCF requests to bind the PCC rule to the QoS Flow associated with the default QoS rule. If no such QoS Flow exists, the SMF derives the QoS parameters, using the parameters in the PCC Rule, for a new QoS Flow, binds the PCC Rule to the QoS Flow and then proceeds as described TS 23.501 [2] clause 5.7. If a QoS Flow with QoS parameters identical to the binding parameters exists, the SMF updates the QoS Flow, so that the new PCC Rule is bound to this QoS Flow.

NOTE 1: For PCC rules containing a delay critical GBR 5QI value, the SMF can bind PCC Rules with the same binding parameters to different QoS Flows to ensure that the GFBR of the QoS Flow can be achieved with the Maximum Data Burst Volume of the QoS Flow.

The SMF shall identify the QoS Flow associated with the default QoS rule based on the fact that the PCC rule(s) bound to this QoS Flow contain:

- 5QI and ARP values that are identical to the PDU Session related information Authorized default 5QI/ARP; or

- a Bind to QoS Flow associated with the default QoS rule and apply PCC rule parameters Indication.

NOTE 2: The Bind to QoS Flow associated with the default QoS rule and apply PCC rule parameters Indication has to be used whenever the PDU Session related information Authorized default 5QI/ARP (as described in clause 6.3.1) cannot be directly used as the QoS parameters of the QoS Flow associated with the default QoS rule, for example when a GBR 5QI is used or the 5QI priority level has to be changed.

When a QoS Flow associated with the default QoS rule exists, the PCF can request that a PCC rule is bound to this QoS Flow by including the Bind to QoS Flow associated with the default QoS rule Indication in a dynamic PCC rule. In this case, the SMF shall bind the dynamic PCC rule to the QoS Flow associated with the default QoS rule (i.e. ignoring the binding parameters) and keep the binding as long as this indication remains set. When the PCF removes the association of a PCC rule to the QoS Flow associated with the default QoS rule, a new binding may need to be created between this PCC rule and the QoS Flow as described above.

The binding created between a PCC Rule and a QoS Flow causes the downlink part of the service data flow to be directed to the associated QoS Flow at the UPF (as described in TS 23.501 [2] clause 5.7.1). In the UE, the QoS rule associated with the QoS Flow (which is generated by the SMF and explicitly signalled to the UE as described in TS 23.501 [2] clause 5.7.1) instructs the UE to direct the uplink part of the service data flow to the QoS Flow in the binding.

Whenever the authorized QoS of a PCC rule changes, the existing bindings shall be re-evaluated. The re-evaluation may, for a service data flow, require a new binding with another QoS Flow.

NOTE 2: A QoS change of the PDU Session related information Authorized default 5QI/ARP values doesn't cause the QoS Flow rebinding for PCC rules with the Bind to QoS Flow associated with the default QoS rule Indication set.

When the PCF removes a PCC Rule, the SMF shall remove the association of the PCC Rule to the QoS Flow.

The SMF shall report to the PCF that the PCC Rules bound to a QoS Flow are removed when the corresponding QoS Flow is removed.

The QoS Flow binding shall also ensure that:

- if a PCC rule contains the TSN AF QoS Container, the PCC rule is bound to a new QoS Flow and no other PCC rule is bound to this QoS Flow.

\* \* \* \* End of changes \* \* \* \*