

**Source: TSG-SA WG4**

**Title: CRs TS 26.236 on "RTCP usage for IMS" (Release 5 and Release 6)**

**Document for: Approval**

**Agenda Item: 7.4.3**

The following CRs, agreed at the TSG-SA WG4 meeting #31, are presented to TSG SA #24 for approval.

Spec	CR	Rev	Phase	Subject	Cat	Vers	WG	Meeting	S4 doc
26.236	011	1	Rel-5	RTCP usage for IMS	F	5.4.0	S4	TSG-SA WG4#31	S4-040345
26.236	012		Rel-6	RTCP usage for IMS	A	5.4.0	S4	TSG-SA WG4#31	S4-040346

## CHANGE REQUEST

⌘ **26.236 CR 011** ⌘ rev **1** ⌘ Current version: **5.4.0** ⌘

For **HELP** on using this form, see bottom of this page or look at the pop-up text over the ⌘ symbols.

**Proposed change affects:** UICC apps  ME  Radio Access Network  Core Network

<b>Title:</b>	⌘ RTCP usage for IMS		
<b>Source:</b>	⌘ TSG SA WG4		
<b>Work item code:</b>	⌘ IMS-CODEC	<b>Date:</b>	⌘ 08/06/2004
<b>Category:</b>	⌘ <b>F</b>	<b>Release:</b>	⌘ Rel-5
	Use <u>one</u> of the following categories: <b>F</b> (correction) <b>A</b> (corresponds to a correction in an earlier release) <b>B</b> (addition of feature), <b>C</b> (functional modification of feature) <b>D</b> (editorial modification) Detailed explanations of the above categories can be found in 3GPP <a href="#">TR 21.900</a> .		Use <u>one</u> of the following releases: 2 (GSM Phase 2) R96 (Release 1996) R97 (Release 1997) R98 (Release 1998) R99 (Release 1999) Rel-4 (Release 4) Rel-5 (Release 5) Rel-6 (Release 6)

<b>Reason for change:</b>	⌘ In point to point sessions involving only speech, RTCP might disrupt the RTP speech flow and cause impairment to speech quality.  Also the SIP IETF reference is obsolete
<b>Summary of change:</b>	⌘ The implementation of RTCP is mandated. The use of RTCP is recommended in all cases except in point to point sessions involving only speech. The way to turn on and off RTCP is specified.  The reference to SIP IETF specification has been updated to align with CN1.
<b>Consequences if not approved:</b>	⌘ VoIMS QoS can not be achieved.

<b>Clauses affected:</b>	⌘ 2; 7.1, 7.3, 7.4						
<b>Other specs affected:</b>	<table border="1" style="display: inline-table; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20px; text-align: center;">Y</td> <td style="width: 20px; text-align: center;">N</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table> Other core specifications	Y	N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	⌘	
Y	N						
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>						
	<table border="1" style="display: inline-table; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20px; text-align: center;">Y</td> <td style="width: 20px; text-align: center;">N</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table> Test specifications	Y	N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	⌘	
Y	N						
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>						
	<table border="1" style="display: inline-table; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20px; text-align: center;">Y</td> <td style="width: 20px; text-align: center;">N</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table> O&M Specifications	Y	N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	⌘	
Y	N						
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>						
<b>Other comments:</b>	⌘						

**How to create CRs using this form:**

Comprehensive information and tips about how to create CRs can be found at <http://www.3gpp.org/specs/CR.htm>. Below is a brief summary:

- 1) Fill out the above form. The symbols above marked ⌘ contain pop-up help information about the field that they are closest to.

- 2) Obtain the latest version for the release of the specification to which the change is proposed. Use the MS Word "revision marks" feature (also known as "track changes") when making the changes. All 3GPP specifications can be downloaded from the 3GPP server under <ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/specs/> For the latest version, look for the directory name with the latest date e.g. 2001-03 contains the specifications resulting from the March 2001 TSG meetings.
- 3) With "track changes" disabled, paste the entire CR form (use CTRL-A to select it) into the specification just in front of the clause containing the first piece of changed text. Delete those parts of the specification which are not relevant to the change request.

<b>Change in Clause 2</b>
---------------------------

---

## 2 References

The following documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of the present document.

- References are either specific (identified by date of publication, edition number, version number, etc.) or non-specific.
- For a specific reference, subsequent revisions do not apply.
- For a non-specific reference, the latest version applies. In the case of a reference to a 3GPP document (including a GSM document), a non-specific reference implicitly refers to the latest version of that document *in the same Release as the present document*.

- [1] IETF RFC ~~2543~~[3261](#): "SIP: Session Initiation Protocol".
- [2] IETF RFC 2327: "SDP: Session Description Protocol".
- [3] IETF RFC 3550: "RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications", Schulzrinne H. et al, July 2003.
- [4] IETF RFC 3551: "RTP Profile for Audio and Video Conferences with Minimal Control", Schulzrinne H. and Casner S., July 2003.
- [5] 3GPP TS 26.235: "Packet switched conversational multimedia applications; Default codecs".
- [6] 3GPP TS 24.228: "Signalling flows for the IP multimedia call control based on SIP and SDP; stage 3".
- [7] 3GPP TS 24.229: "IP multimedia call control protocol based on SIP and SDP".
- [8] 3GPP TS 23.228: "IP Multimedia Ssubsystem (IMS); Stage 2".
- [9] 3GPP TS 23.107: "Quality of Service (QoS) concept and architecture".
- [10] 3GPP TS 23.207: "End to end quality of service concept and architecture".
- [11] 3GPP TS 23.060: "General Packet Radio Service (GPRS); Service description; Stage 2".
- [12] 3GPP TS 26.071: "Mandatory Speech Codec speech processing functions; AMR Speech Codec; General description".
- [13] 3GPP TS 26.090: "AMR speech Codec; Transcoding Functions".
- [14] 3GPP TS 26.073: "AMR speech Codec; C-source code".
- [15] 3GPP TS 26.104: "ANSI-C code for the floating-point Adaptive Multi-Rate AMR speech codec".
- [16] 3GPP TS 26.171 (Release 5): "AMR speech codec, wideband; General description".
- [17] 3GPP TS 26.190 (Release 5): "Mandatory Speech Codec speech processing functions AMR Wideband speech codec; Transcoding functions".
- [18] 3GPP TS 26.201 (Release 5): "AMR speech codec, wideband; Frame structure".
- [19] 3GPP TS 26.235: "Packet switched conversational multimedia applications; Default codecs ". Annex B: "RTP payload format and storage format for AMR and AMR-WB audio".
- [20] ITU-T Recommendation H.263: "Video coding for low bit rate communication".

- [21] IETF RFC 2429: "RTP Payload Format for the 1998 Version of ITU-T Rec. H.263 Video (H.263+)".
- [22] ISO/IEC 14496-2 (1999): "Information technology - Coding of audio-visual objects - Part 2: Visual".
- [23] IETF RFC 3016: "RTP Payload Format for MPEG-4 Audio/Visual Streams".
- [24] ITU-T Recommendation H.263 (annex X): "Annex X: Profiles and levels definition".
- [25] 3GPP TS 26.235: "Packet Switched Conversational Multimedia Applications; Default Codecs ". Annex C: "ITU-T H.263 MIME media type registration".
- [26] ITU-T Recommendation T.140 (1998): "Protocol for multimedia application text conversation" (with amendment 2000).
- [27] IETF RFC 2793: "RTP Payload for Text Conversation".
- [28] IETF RFC 3556: "Session Description Protocol (SDP) Bandwidth Modifiers for RTP Control Protocol (RTCP) bandwidth", Casner S., July 2003.

### End of change in Clause 2

### Change in Clause 7.1

## 7.1 Bandwidth

The bandwidth information of each media type shall be carried in SDP messages in both session and media type level during codec negotiation, session establishment and resource reallocation. Note that for RTP based applications, 'b=AS:' gives the RTP "session bandwidth" (including UDP/IP overhead) as defined in section 6.2 of [3].

The bandwidth for RTCP traffic shall be described using the "RS" and "RR" SDP bandwidth modifiers at media level, as specified by [28]. Therefore, a conversational multimedia terminal shall include the "b=RS:" and "b=RR:" fields in SDP, and shall be able to interpret them. There shall be a limit on the allowed RTCP bandwidth for a session signalled by the terminal. This limit is defined as follows:

- 4000 bps for the RS field (at media level);
- 3000 bps for the RR field (at media level).

If the session described in the SDP is a point-to-point speech only session (as described in see section-clause 7.4), the UE should request the deactivation of RTCP by setting its RTCP bandwidth modifier to zero.

If a UE receives SDP bandwidth modifiers for RTCP equal to zero from the originating UE, it should reply (via the SIP protocol) by setting its RTCP bandwidth using SDP bandwidth modifiers with values equal to zero.

### End of change in Clause 7.1

### Change in Clause 7.3

## 7.3 RTP receiver

The RTP receiver implementation shall also include an RTCP implementation.

The RTP receiver implementation and functionality including lost and delayed packet processing as well as jitter buffer is out of scope of the present document.

**End of change in Clause 7.3**

**Change in Clause 7.4**

## 7.4 RTP sender

The RTP sender implementation shall also include an RTCP implementation.

RTCP packets should be sent for all types of multimedia sessions except for point-to-point speech only sessions (i.e., using AMR and the AMR-WB codecs where synchronization with other RTP transported media or remote end-point aliveness information are not needed). For point-to-point speech only sessions, a UE should not send RTCP packets. Turning off RTCP can be done by setting to zero the SDP bandwidth modifiers (RR and RS) described in clause 7.1.

When RTCP is turned off (for point-to-point speech only sessions) and the media is put on hold, the terminal should re-negotiate the RTCP bandwidth with SDP bandwidth modifiers values greater than zero, and send RTCP packets to the other end, following the rules given below. This allows the remote end to detect link aliveness during hold. When media is resumed, the resuming terminal should turn off the RTCP sending again through a re-negotiation of the RTCP bandwidth with SDP bandwidth modifiers (as described in clause 7.1) equal to zero.

When RTCP is turned off (for point-to-point speech only sessions) and if sending of an additional associated RTP flow becomes required and both RTP flows need to be synchronized, or if transport feedback due to lack of end-to-end QoS guarantees is needed, a terminal should re-negotiate the bandwidth for RTCP by sending an SDP with the RS bandwidth modifier greater than zero.

Note: For speech sessions where RTCP is not turned off, to reduce the potential disruption of RTCP onto the RTP flow, it is beneficial to keep the RTCP bandwidth and the size of RTCP packets as small as possible. RTCP packet size can be minimized by only using the optional parts of RTCP (according to [3]) which are required by the application. A practical size limit for the RTCP sender is in the order of 2 to 5 times the RTP packet size. Additionally, the RTCP sender can attempt to schedule RTCP packets during speech inactivity periods. For example, if an RTCP packet is scheduled at a future time and a silence period starts, this RTCP packet could be sent immediately. The subsequent RTCP packets would be scheduled according to the normal rules (i.e. as if the previous packet was sent as originally scheduled).

**End of change in Clause 7.4  
End of document**

## CHANGE REQUEST

# 26.236 CR 012 # rev - # Current version: 5.4.0 #

For **HELP** on using this form, see bottom of this page or look at the pop-up text over the # symbols.

**Proposed change affects:** UICC apps#  ME  Radio Access Network  Core Network

<b>Title:</b>	# RTCP usage for IMS				
<b>Source:</b>	# TSG SA WG4				
<b>Work item code:</b>	# IMS-CODEC	<b>Date:</b>	# 08/06/2004		
<b>Category:</b>	# <b>A</b>	<b>Release:</b>	# Rel-6		
	Use <u>one</u> of the following categories:		Use <u>one</u> of the following releases:		
	<b>F</b> (correction)		2 (GSM Phase 2)		
	<b>A</b> (corresponds to a correction in an earlier release)		R96 (Release 1996)		
	<b>B</b> (addition of feature),		R97 (Release 1997)		
	<b>C</b> (functional modification of feature)		R98 (Release 1998)		
	<b>D</b> (editorial modification)		R99 (Release 1999)		
	Detailed explanations of the above categories can be found in 3GPP <a href="#">TR 21.900</a> .		Rel-4 (Release 4)		
			Rel-5 (Release 5)		
			Rel-6 (Release 6)		

<b>Reason for change:</b>	# In point to point sessions involving only speech, RTCP might disrupt the RTP speech flow and cause impairment to speech quality.				
	Also the SIP IETF reference is obsolete				
<b>Summary of change:</b>	# The implementation of RTCP is mandated. The use of RTCP is recommended in all cases except in point to point sessions involving only speech. The way to turn on and off RTCP is specified.				
	The reference to SIP IETF specification has been updated to align with CN1.				
<b>Consequences if not approved:</b>	# VoIMS QoS can not be achieved.				

<b>Clauses affected:</b>	# 2; 7.1, 7.3, 7.4								
<b>Other specs affected:</b>	<table border="1" style="display: inline-table; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20px; text-align: center;">Y</td> <td style="width: 20px; text-align: center;">N</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">#</td> <td style="text-align: center;">X</td> </tr> </table> Other core specifications	Y	N	#	X	#			
Y	N								
#	X								
	<table border="1" style="display: inline-table; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">#</td> <td style="text-align: center;">X</td> </tr> </table> Test specifications	#	X						
#	X								
	<table border="1" style="display: inline-table; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">#</td> <td style="text-align: center;">X</td> </tr> </table> O&M Specifications	#	X						
#	X								
<b>Other comments:</b>	#								

**How to create CRs using this form:**

Comprehensive information and tips about how to create CRs can be found at <http://www.3gpp.org/specs/CR.htm>. Below is a brief summary:

- 1) Fill out the above form. The symbols above marked # contain pop-up help information about the field that they are closest to.

- 2) Obtain the latest version for the release of the specification to which the change is proposed. Use the MS Word "revision marks" feature (also known as "track changes") when making the changes. All 3GPP specifications can be downloaded from the 3GPP server under <ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/specs/> For the latest version, look for the directory name with the latest date e.g. 2001-03 contains the specifications resulting from the March 2001 TSG meetings.
- 3) With "track changes" disabled, paste the entire CR form (use CTRL-A to select it) into the specification just in front of the clause containing the first piece of changed text. Delete those parts of the specification which are not relevant to the change request.

## Change in Clause 2

## 2 References

The following documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of the present document.

- References are either specific (identified by date of publication, edition number, version number, etc.) or non-specific.
- For a specific reference, subsequent revisions do not apply.
- For a non-specific reference, the latest version applies. In the case of a reference to a 3GPP document (including a GSM document), a non-specific reference implicitly refers to the latest version of that document *in the same Release as the present document*.

- [1] IETF RFC ~~2543~~[3261](#): "SIP: Session Initiation Protocol".
- [2] IETF RFC 2327: "SDP: Session Description Protocol".
- [3] IETF RFC 3550: "RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications", Schulzrinne H. et al, July 2003.
- [4] IETF RFC 3551: "RTP Profile for Audio and Video Conferences with Minimal Control", Schulzrinne H. and Casner S., July 2003.
- [5] 3GPP TS 26.235: "Packet switched conversational multimedia applications; Default codecs".
- [6] 3GPP TS 24.228: "Signalling flows for the IP multimedia call control based on SIP and SDP; stage 3".
- [7] 3GPP TS 24.229: "IP multimedia call control protocol based on SIP and SDP".
- [8] 3GPP TS 23.228: "IP Multimedia Ssubsystem (IMS); Stage 2".
- [9] 3GPP TS 23.107: "Quality of Service (QoS) concept and architecture".
- [10] 3GPP TS 23.207: "End to end quality of service concept and architecture".
- [11] 3GPP TS 23.060: "General Packet Radio Service (GPRS); Service description; Stage 2".
- [12] 3GPP TS 26.071: "Mandatory Speech Codec speech processing functions; AMR Speech Codec; General description".
- [13] 3GPP TS 26.090: "AMR speech Codec; Transcoding Functions".
- [14] 3GPP TS 26.073: "AMR speech Codec; C-source code".
- [15] 3GPP TS 26.104: "ANSI-C code for the floating-point Adaptive Multi-Rate AMR speech codec".
- [16] 3GPP TS 26.171 (Release 5): "AMR speech codec, wideband; General description".
- [17] 3GPP TS 26.190 (Release 5): "Mandatory Speech Codec speech processing functions AMR Wideband speech codec; Transcoding functions".
- [18] 3GPP TS 26.201 (Release 5): "AMR speech codec, wideband; Frame structure".
- [19] 3GPP TS 26.235: "Packet switched conversational multimedia applications; Default codecs ". Annex B: "RTP payload format and storage format for AMR and AMR-WB audio".
- [20] ITU-T Recommendation H.263: "Video coding for low bit rate communication".

- [21] IETF RFC 2429: "RTP Payload Format for the 1998 Version of ITU-T Rec. H.263 Video (H.263+)".
- [22] ISO/IEC 14496-2 (1999): "Information technology - Coding of audio-visual objects - Part 2: Visual".
- [23] IETF RFC 3016: "RTP Payload Format for MPEG-4 Audio/Visual Streams".
- [24] ITU-T Recommendation H.263 (annex X): "Annex X: Profiles and levels definition".
- [25] 3GPP TS 26.235: "Packet Switched Conversational Multimedia Applications; Default Codecs ". Annex C: "ITU-T H.263 MIME media type registration".
- [26] ITU-T Recommendation T.140 (1998): "Protocol for multimedia application text conversation" (with amendment 2000).
- [27] IETF RFC 2793: "RTP Payload for Text Conversation".
- [28] IETF RFC 3556: "Session Description Protocol (SDP) Bandwidth Modifiers for RTP Control Protocol (RTCP) bandwidth", Casner S., July 2003.

### End of change in Clause 2

### Change in Clause 7.1

## 7.1 Bandwidth

The bandwidth information of each media type shall be carried in SDP messages in both session and media type level during codec negotiation, session establishment and resource reallocation. Note that for RTP based applications, 'b=AS:' gives the RTP "session bandwidth" (including UDP/IP overhead) as defined in section 6.2 of [3].

The bandwidth for RTCP traffic shall be described using the "RS" and "RR" SDP bandwidth modifiers at media level, as specified by [28]. Therefore, a conversational multimedia terminal shall include the "b=RS:" and "b=RR:" fields in SDP, and shall be able to interpret them. There shall be a limit on the allowed RTCP bandwidth for a session signalled by the terminal. This limit is defined as follows:

- 4000 bps for the RS field (at media level);
- 3000 bps for the RR field (at media level).

If the session described in the SDP is a point-to-point speech only session (as described in see section-clause 7.4), the UE should request the deactivation of RTCP by setting its RTCP bandwidth modifier to zero.

If a UE receives SDP bandwidth modifiers for RTCP equal to zero from the originating UE, it should reply (via the SIP protocol) by setting its RTCP bandwidth using SDP bandwidth modifiers with values equal to zero.

### End of change in Clause 7.1

### Change in Clause 7.3

## 7.3 RTP receiver

The RTP receiver implementation shall also include an RTCP implementation.

The RTP receiver implementation and functionality including lost and delayed packet processing as well as jitter buffer is out of scope of the present document.

### End of change in Clause 7.3

### Change in Clause 7.4

## 7.4 RTP sender

The RTP sender implementation shall also include an RTCP implementation.

RTCP packets should be sent for all types of multimedia sessions except for point-to-point speech only sessions (i.e., using AMR and the AMR-WB codecs where synchronization with other RTP transported media or remote end-point aliveness information are not needed). For point-to-point speech only sessions, a UE should not send RTCP packets. Turning off RTCP can be done by setting to zero the SDP bandwidth modifiers (RR and RS) described in clause 7.1.

When RTCP is turned off (for point-to-point speech only sessions) and the media is put on hold, the terminal should re-negotiate the RTCP bandwidth with SDP bandwidth modifiers values greater than zero, and send RTCP packets to the other end, following the rules given below. This allows the remote end to detect link aliveness during hold. When media is resumed, the resuming terminal should turn off the RTCP sending again through a re-negotiation of the RTCP bandwidth with SDP bandwidth modifiers (as described in clause 7.1) equal to zero.

When RTCP is turned off (for point-to-point speech only sessions) and if sending of an additional associated RTP flow becomes required and both RTP flows need to be synchronized, or if transport feedback due to lack of end-to-end QoS guarantees is needed, a terminal should re-negotiate the bandwidth for RTCP by sending an SDP with the RS bandwidth modifier greater than zero.

Note: For speech sessions where RTCP is not turned off, to reduce the potential disruption of RTCP onto the RTP flow, it is beneficial to keep the RTCP bandwidth and the size of RTCP packets as small as possible. RTCP packet size can be minimized by only using the optional parts of RTCP (according to [3]) which are required by the application. A practical size limit for the RTCP sender is in the order of 2 to 5 times the RTP packet size. Additionally, the RTCP sender can attempt to schedule RTCP packets during speech inactivity periods. For example, if an RTCP packet is scheduled at a future time and a silence period starts, this RTCP packet could be sent immediately. The subsequent RTCP packets would be scheduled according to the normal rules (i.e. as if the previous packet was sent as originally scheduled).

**End of change in Clause 7.4  
End of document**