

Source: SA WG3
Title: Reports of SA WG3 meetings since SA#13
Document for: Information
Agenda Item: 7.3.2

Meetings of SA WG3 since TSG SA#13:

- SA WG3 meeting #20, Sydney, 16-19 October 2001
- Joint session with T WG3, Sophia Antipolis, 26 November 2001
- SA WG3 meeting #20, Sydney, 27-30 November 2001

The reports of these meetings are attached to this contribution for information.

3GPP TSG SA WG3 Security — S3#21**27 - 30 November, 2001****Sophia Antipolis, France****3GPP TSG SA WG3 Security — S3#20****Draft Report - version 1.0.0****16-19 October, 2001****Sydney, Australia****Source: Secretary SA WG3 (Maurice Pope, MCC)****Title: Report of SA WG3 meeting #20****Status: Approved****Front view****Night View****Harbour view****The Sydney Opera House****Contents**

1	Opening of the meeting.....	3
2	Meeting objectives and approval of the agenda	3
3	Assignment of input documents.....	3
4	Approval of reports from 3GPP SA3 meetings	3
4.1	S3#19, 4-6 July, Newbury.....	3
4.2	S3 MAPSEC ad hoc, 13 September, Sophia Antipolis.....	3
4.3	S3 IMS ad hoc, 14 September, Sophia Antipolis.....	3
5	Reports and liaisons from other groups	4
5.1	3GPP SA3 lawful interception sub-group	4
5.2	3GPP SA plenary.....	4
5.3	3GPP working groups 431,446R	5
5.4	ETSI SAGE	8
5.5	Others (e.g. ETSI MSG, GSMA, TIA TR-45).....	8
6	Technical specifications and reports.....	8
6.1	Security architecture (TS 33.102).....	8
6.2	Guide to 3G security (TR 33.900).....	9
7	Work items	9
7.1	MAP security (TS 33.200, draft TR 33.800)	9
7.2	IP network layer security (draft TS 33.210)	12
7.3	IP multimedia subsystem security (draft TS 33.203).....	12
7.4	GERAN security.....	15
7.5	Security aspects of UE functionality split.....	15

- 7.6 Security aspects of network configuration hiding 15
- 7.7 Visibility and configurability of security 466 15
- 7.8 MExE security 16
- 7.9 OSA security 16
- 7.10 FIGS/IST..... 16
- 7.11 PKI 16
- 8 Review and update of work programme 16
- 9 Future meeting dates and venues 17
- 10 Any other business..... 17
- 11 Close of meeting 17

- Annex A: List of attendees at the SA WG3#20 meeting 18
 - A.1 SA WG3 Voting list 19

- Annex B: List of documents 20
- Annex C: Status of specifications under SA WG3 responsibility 26
- Annex D: List of CRs to specifications under SA WG3 responsibility agreed at this meeting 30
- Annex E: List of Liaisons..... 31
 - E.1 Liaisons to the meeting 31
 - E.2 Liaisons from the meeting..... 33

1 Opening of the meeting

The meeting was chaired by the vice Chairman, Mr. V. Niemi, who opened the meeting, welcoming delegates to Sydney. Mr G. Rose welcomed delegates to Sydney on behalf of the hosts, Qualcomm Europe. He provided the domestic arrangements for the meeting and for the social event (a starlight Harbour Cruise).

2 Meeting objectives and approval of the agenda

IPR Declaration: The Chairman reminded delegates of the 3GPP IPR policy and their obligation to declare essential IPRs to their respective Partner Organisations (SDOs).

[TD S3-010405](#) The Chairman introduced the draft agenda. It was noted that the close of the meeting should be 19 October. A new item was added "7.11: PKI". The agenda, with these changes, was then **approved**.

The objectives were outlined as:

- Production of TSs 33.203, 33.210 and 33.200 Rel-5 to be provided to TSG SA#14, for information, in December 2001.
- Preparation of the action plan on IETF
- Progress the normal business of SA WG3 (Liaisons from other groups, etc.).

Adrian Escott reported that he could confirm that he could become Editor for TS 33.200 and TR 33.800. He was thanked for taking on this task.

Geir Koien is the editor for 33.210 and Krister Boman for TS 33.203.

3 Assignment of input documents

The available documents were assigned to their respective agenda items.

4 Approval of reports from 3GPP SA3 meetings

4.1 S3#19, 4-6 July, Newbury

[TD S3-010406](#) Draft report of SA WG3 meeting #19. The report of the last meeting was reviewed and **approved**.

4.2 S3 MAPSEC ad hoc, 13 September, Sophia Antipolis

[TD S3-010407](#) Draft report of MAP Sec ad-hoc meeting, 13 September 2001. The report was presented by the Chairman and reviewed.

E-mail "Veto" of CRs: It was reported that one CR had received comments, and that this had been updated to remove the unagreed parts from the CR before presentation to TSG SA where it was approved. There was a request for a formal approach for e-mail approval in SA WG3. Mr. Pope was asked to look for existing guidelines on this.

Action: M Pope to find e-mail approval guidelines for 3GPP (if there are any).

The outstanding documents from the meeting were included in contributions to this meeting. The report was then **approved**.

4.3 S3 IMS ad hoc, 14 September, Sophia Antipolis

[TD S3-010408](#) Draft report of IMS Sec ad-hoc meeting, 14 September 2001. The report was presented by the Chairman and reviewed. Under agenda item 6.3, the liaison that was produced did not seem to be available - it was decided to find the final LS that was sent to CN WG1 for discussion in the meeting. This was allocated to [TD S3-010511](#) for discussion at this meeting under agenda item 7.3. The outstanding documents from the meeting were included in contributions to this meeting. The report was then **approved**.

5 Reports and liaisons from other groups

5.1 3GPP SA3 lawful interception sub-group

[TD S3-010477](#) Report of the 3GPP TSG SA WG3-LI (S3-LI) meeting #3/01 on lawful interception. This is the written report which was provided verbally at the previous SA WG3 meeting and was provided for information and [noted](#).

[TD S3-010488](#) Report of the 3GPP TSG SA WG3-LI (S3-LI) meeting #4/01 on lawful interception. This was provided for information and presented by B. Wilhelm. Output documents from the meeting were presented to SA WG3 for information or approval as appropriate.

The report was [noted](#).

[TD S3-010487](#) Proposed CR to 33.107: Alignment of TS 33.107 for Release 5 to previous Releases (Rel-5). This was updated in [TD S3-010513](#) and was [approved](#).

[TD S3-010478](#) Proposed CR to 33.107: Correct the MO-SMS and MT-SMS events (R99). This was updated in [TD S3-010514](#) and was [approved](#).

[TD S3-010479](#) Proposed CR to 33.107: Correct the MO-SMS and MT-SMS events (Rel-4). This was updated in [TD S3-010515](#) and was [approved](#).

[TD S3-010480](#) Proposed CR to 33.107: Correct the MO-SMS and MT-SMS events (Rel-5). This was updated in [TD S3-010516](#) and was [approved](#).

[TD S3-010481](#) Proposed CR to 33.107: Inter-SGSN RA update with active PDP context (Rel-4). SA WG3-LI group were asked to clarify if this change is really a technical correction (Category F) as the "Consequences if not approved" field stated "Missing functionality", which implies a Category "C" CR, which is not allowed for Release 4. The question should also be asked why, if this is Category "F", it is not reflected also in Release 1999. The CR was therefore [postponed](#) for a response.

[TD S3-010482](#) Proposed CR to 33.107: Inter-SGSN RA update with active PDP context (Rel-5). This was [postponed](#) for consideration by the LI group as for [TD S3-010481](#).

[TD S3-010483](#) Proposed CR to 33.107: Source of PDP context initiation (Rel-4). This was updated in [TD S3-010517](#) and was [approved](#).

[TD S3-010484](#) Proposed CR to 33.107: Source of PDP context initiation (Rel-5). This was updated in [TD S3-010518](#) and was [approved](#).

[TD S3-010485](#) Proposed CR to 33.107: Start of secondary interception of an active PDP context (Rel-4). This CR was [approved](#).

[TD S3-010486](#) Proposed CR to 33.107: Start of secondary interception of an active PDP context (Rel-5). This CR was [approved](#).

[TD S3-010500](#) Work Item Description: 3GPP Handover Interface for Lawful Interception. It was proposed that the LI group include the H/O interface work in the overall LI Work Item. The LI group was therefore asked to reconsider this WID and it was [noted](#).

[TD S3-010501](#) Draft 33.108 version 0.1.0. This was provided for information and was [noted](#). It was reported that the target was to complete this for the SA WG3 November meeting, in order to present it to TSG SA for information in December 2001. Delegates were asked to review the current draft and contribute as necessary to the LI group.

5.2 3GPP SA plenary

[TD S3-010521](#) Draft report of TSG SA meeting #13: version 0.0.5. The parts relevant to SA WG3 were presented by the Chairman.

NIST workshop: G. Rose reported on the workshop, where there was discussion on the contents of the draft FIPS on AES Modes of Operation, and it was generally agreed that counter-mode should be included. The group wished to release all 3 documents simultaneously, which may mean a delay to the release of the FIPS corresponding to NIST 800-xy. They did expect the draft to be available by end 2001, with an update later to include examples. It was concluded that it was safe to assume availability of AES and Modes of Operation FIPS for reference by 33.200 when needed.

TSG RAN WGs requested information on the IP Transport security and the SA WG3 Chairman had agreed that information on status would be provided to the relevant RAN WGs.

Digital Rights Management: A new WI was approved in TSG SA document [TD SP-010577](#), which was shown on-screen for information (not provided as a TD to the SA WG3 meeting). Some work on security aspects in SA WG3 was implied by this WI. There was some discussion over whether 3GPP should be providing such services as part of the basic system, and different opinions on the whole DRM issue were provided (not reported in detail here). This discussion led to the continuation of the handling of [TD S3-010419](#) (see agenda item 5.3).

The report was then [noted](#).

5.3 3GPP working groups 431,446R

[TD S3-010410](#) Reply from CN WG1 LS on "Using a generic authentication scheme for SIP". This was presented by K. Boman. After some discussion, K. Boman agreed to produce a response to CN WG1 on references to specific SIP messages, which was provided in [TD S3-010519](#) which was [approved](#).

[TD S3-010411](#) Liaison Statement from CN WG4 on 3GPP User Profiles. The User Profiles meeting took place, but SA WG3 did not feel it was necessary to attend at this early stage. The LS was [noted](#).

[TD S3-010412](#) LS to SA WG3 on Signalling for user authentication. This was related to a number of LSs which SA WG3 had sent to CN WG4 and contributions to the meeting as follows:

[TD S3-010503](#) Proposed response to LS S3z010105 from CN WG4 on signalling for user authentication. This was presented by Siemens and argued that, while it would be feasible for SA WG3 to define the security procedures for this case, it would introduce considerable complexity. It therefore asks SA WG2 to reconsider their approach and, if ever possible, base Release 5 of IMS on the assumption that only one S-CSCF is assigned to one Private ID at any one time. There was some discussion and clarification to the LS, which was updated in [TD S3-010522](#). This proposal was modified slightly and provided in [TD S3-010540](#) which was [approved](#).

[TD S3-010432](#) LS response to SA3 on "Using a generic authentication scheme for SIP". This was presented by Ericsson and reported the conclusions on the analysis of the use of EAP and Diameter NASREQ in the Cx interface. The LS was [noted](#).

[TD S3-010439](#) Liaison Statement on "Flows related to Authenticated Registrations and Re-Registrations". This was presented by Lucent. SA WG3 agreed and [noted](#) that optimisations or changes to the flows should not be made that make the I-CSCF either transaction stateful or call stateful. The LS was then [noted](#).

[TD S3-010440](#) Reply LS on rejection of 2G AKA by 3G ME with USIM in UTRAN. This was presented by Ericsson and reported that CN WG1 confirm that TS 24.008 now takes account of the SA WG3 requirement that should a 3G ME with USIM being served by a UTRAN be asked to do a 2G AKA, the 3G ME shall fail that authentication attempt by the network and allow the network to repeat the AKA. Should the second AKA attempt again fail, the 3G ME should consider that cell barred for a period of time. This was in agreement with the request of SA WG3. Concerning the suggestion from T WG3 and the response from CN WG1, it was agreed that a response should be sent to T WG3 and CN WG1 to clarify the security reasons for the mechanism, which was provided in [TD S3-010523](#) which was [approved](#).

[TD S3-010441](#) Liaison Statement from CN WG1 on privacy of IPv6 addresses allocated to terminals using the IM CN subsystem. This was presented by Lucent and requests information from SA WG1. The LS was [noted](#). It was reported that there was a table included in TS 33.203 with open issues including this issue.

[TD S3-010442](#) Response from CN WG1 to LS "On the use of Network Domain Security for protection of SIP signalling messages" (N1-011041 or S3-010403). This was presented by the Chairman and discussed. It was felt that the LS sent to CN WG1 had created some confusion and clarification on the assumptions made by SA WG3 on confidentiality protection between the UE and the P-CSCF were needed. It was agreed to revisit this LS and the related LS from SA WG2 in [TD S3-010433](#), under agenda item 7.3.

[TD S3-010443](#) Response to Liaison Statement on "Progressing the work in SA3 and CN1 on the IP Multimedia core network subsystem". This was presented by Lucent and responded to questions posed by SA WG3 in [TD S3-010404](#). The LS was [noted](#).

[TD S3-010452](#) Liaison Statement from CN WG1 on Usage of Private ID. This was presented by Ericsson and asks SA WG3:

- 1) To verify whether it is acceptable to transport the private user identifier in the optional (from the SIP perspective) Authentication header value of the REGISTER message instead of the mandatory (from the SIP perspective) From header value. This will effectively mandate the Authorization header in 3GPP-IMS UEs.
- 2) Does SA3 foresee any additional security issues with the proposed approach?
- 3) To respond regarding whether there is an impact to the date when the specification/documentation containing the Authentication Protocol and header details including the transport of the Private User ID would be available for Rel-5 if the approach contained in N1-011355 was adopted by CN1.

It was agreed to include the responses to these questions, and the basic problems identified in this proposal, in an LS to the addressed groups, in [TD S3-010524](#): this was updated in [TD S3-010539](#) which was **approved**.

[TD S3-010453](#) LS from TSG CN on the WID: AMR-WB Speech Service - Core Network Aspects. This was presented by Vodafone and informed SA WG3 of a new WI approved by TSG CN. The need for study of Lawful Interception by SA WG3 was identified by TSG CN. It was agreed that the LI group should study this and the LS was forwarded to them (to be sent by M. Pope to the LI group e-mail list and presented by B. Wilhelm at their meeting next week). Concerning voice group calls, delegates were asked to check if there were any other potential security aspects not identified by TSG CN and contribute to SA WG3 meeting #21. The LS was then **noted** by SA WG3.

[TD S3-010413](#) LS from RAN WG2 on Guidance Needed Concerning Security Mode Reconfiguration. This was presented by the Chairman and asked SA WG3 "*Is it possible that the ciphering algorithm or/and the integrity protection algorithm is changed while the security key set is kept unchanged during the security mode reconfiguration?*". It was recognised that a mechanism needs to be included in the SA WG3 specifications, but this is not a problem at present, as there is only a single algorithm. No solution could be agreed upon quickly in the meeting and it was agreed to draft a response with the current situation to RAN WG2. This was provided in [TD S3-010525](#) which was updated in [TD S3-010555](#) and **approved**.

[TD S3-010414](#) Reply from SA WG1 to LS on New feature for SAT originated SMS. This was presented by Motorola for information and was **noted**.

[TD S3-010415](#) Liaison Statement from SA WG1 on "IMS security and UE functionality split". This was presented by Motorola. This was covered after consideration of related contributions under agenda item 7.3 (see also related LS in [TD S3-010548](#)).

[TD S3-010416](#) IP Based Multimedia Services Framework Report. This was presented by Motorola on behalf of SPC for information and was **noted**. It was **agreed** that the content of relevant sections should be reviewed by SA WG3 delegates to comment to the next SA WG3 meeting. The LI group were asked to look at the Lawful Interception parts (M. Pope to forward this document to the LI group e-mail list).

[TD S3-010420](#) LS on Cell ID in SIP messages. This reports that it is expected that Cx interface should provide the ability for the HSS to control whether the S-CSCF is allowed to (or prohibited from) supplying the cell identification to other nodes and asks whether these levels of control will satisfy privacy (and other) requirements. Replies had been received in the following document:

[TD S3-010417](#) Reply from SA WG1 to SA WG2 LS on Cell ID in SIP messages. SA WG1 reported that they asked whether these levels of control will satisfy privacy (and other) requirements. It was recognised that this requires further study in SA WG3.

A response to these LSs was drafted in [TD S3-010526](#) which was **approved**.

[TD S3-010418](#) LS from SA WG1 on Multimedia Broadcast/Multicast Service (MBMS). This was provided for information and a response from GERAN was available in [TD S3-010431](#). The LS was **noted**.

[TD S3-010431](#) Liaison statement on requirements on Multimedia Broadcast/Multicast Service. Delegates were asked to look at the comments from TSG GERAN and the document as a whole and was **noted**.

[TD S3-010419](#) LS from SA WG1 on "Digital Rights Management". This was introduced by Nokia. It was noted that TSG SA had approved a WI on DRM and that there will be some impact on the work of

SA WG3. This document, along with [TD S3-010436](#) were postponed to after the consideration of the draft report of the TSG SA Plenary (agenda item 5.2). It was recognised that there seemed to be confusion by SA WG2, and they need to consider both the illegal downloading of content, and the illegal copying or modification of content, which may have been legally downloaded. P. Howard agreed to draft a response LS to SA WG1, which was provided in [TD S3-010532](#) and was **approved**.

[TD S3-010424](#) MMS digital rights management. This was provided by T WG2 for information to SA WG3 and was **noted**.

[TD S3-010436](#) LS from SA WG4 on Digital Rights Management (DRM) requirements for PSS Rel-5. This was introduced by Ericsson and asked for a reduction in the scope of DRM for Rel-5. This was provided by SA WG4 for information to SA WG3 and was **noted**.

[TD S3-010421](#) LS from SA WG5 in reply to SA WG2 Liaison "WI on the End-to-End QoS Architecture for Release 5" (S2-011098). This was copied to SA WG3 for information. Section 7.6 (Security Management) was considered and delegates were asked to review this section and comment to the next SA WG3 meeting if necessary. The LS was then **noted**.

[TD S3-010422](#) Reply from SA WG5 to LS on basic and advanced services examples (S1-010271 / S5-010302). This was copied to SA WG3 for information and was **noted**.

[TD S3-010423](#) Use of the phrase "X interface". This reply from SA WG5 to an LS to them and clarified that SA WG5 are obliged to use the term "X-interface" in their ITU related work and that there should be no confusion with the 3GPP Security X-interfaces. The LS was then **noted**.

[TD S3-010425](#) Transmission of user identity from a GGSN to MMS Relay/Server. This was provided by T WG2 for information and presented by Nokia. The LS was **noted**.

[TD S3-010428](#) LS from SA WG1 on stage 1 for Extended Streaming Service. This was presented by Ericsson and informed the 3GPP WGs of their new WI on Extended Streaming Services and asked for input to a Streaming ad-hoc meeting. The LS was **noted** and delegates were asked to review the document and input comments to their SA WG1 colleagues.

[TD S3-010437](#) Reply to LS on stage 1 for Extended Streaming Service. This was provided for information and was **noted**.

[TD S3-010448](#) Liaison Statement from T WG2 on Extended Streaming Service. This was provided for information and was **noted**.

[TD S3-010434](#) LS from SA WG2 on Security aspects of the 3GPP push service. SA WG2 asked SA WG3 to provide advice on the security aspects of the different Push architectures which are under consideration. In particular the mechanisms by which a subscriber can (a) avoid being pushed unwanted content and (b) avoid automatic connection by the mobile to Push servers that are not in the (home/subscriber's preferred) operator's domain. An attached document, TR 23.974 version 0.2.0, was reviewed for security aspects. It was recognised that this would require a more detailed review and a separate session would be needed. P. Howard agreed to check the timing of a response for this and report back to the meeting. It was **agreed** that delegates should try to provide good contribution to the next meeting concerning Push architectures, otherwise it may be necessary to form a drafting group on this subject. The document embedded in [TD S3-010434](#) should be used as a basis.

[TD S3-010438](#) LS from SA WG5 on "Access Point Name" usage. This was provided by SA WG5 and requested all TSG SA and TSG CN WGs to take any necessary action to ensure that there are no contradictions or potential ambiguities between their TSs and TS 32.215. The document was provided in [TD S3-010527](#) for this purpose. **Delegates were asked to review this after the meeting and provide any identified ambiguities or contradictions to the next meeting.**

[TD S3-010444](#) LS from T WG2 to SyncML Requesting DevMan Update. This was provided to SA WG3 for information and was **noted**.

[TD S3-010447](#) LS from T WG2: Response to SA5 on Multiple Aspects of Device Management. This was provided to SA WG3 for information and was **noted**.

[TD S3-010446](#) LS from T WG2 to SA WG3 cc SA WG5, SA WG1, T WG3 on Security Needs for Terminal Applications. This was discussed and it was considered that more information was needed and the SyncML specification and 3GPP documents referring to it were needed. D. Castellanos agreed to send an e-mail to obtain this information and the discussion was postponed until an answer was received. The following URL for DEVMAN specifications was provided after the meeting: <http://www.syncml.org>

Any delegate with material for discussion should also send it to the e-mail list.

[TD S3-010445](#) LS from T WG2: Response to T2-010617 (LS from SA WG2 on Cell ID in SIP messages). This was copied to SA WG3 for information and was **noted**.

[TD S3-010449](#) Liaison statement from T WG2 in response to LS S3-010226 regarding revision of MExE security analysis activity WID proposed in S3-010228. The T WG2 MExE group provided SA WG3 with the proposed WID on Generic User Profile which is linked to device management (attached to the LS). The Security Aspects part of this WID states *"Access to the 3GPP Generic User Profile data shall be performed in a secure and authenticated manner, and the integrity of user profile information shall be assured"*. Ericsson provided a related contribution: [TD S3-010530](#), which they introduced. This included a presentation from T WG2, intended as a starting point of discussions on this matter at SA WG3. It should be also considered as the starting point of co-operation with T WGs in order to agree on the best way to accomplish the related work. This was presented by Ericsson. There was some discussion on the role of SA WG3 in MExE Security issues raised in the presentation, and it was agreed that delegates should consider this and contribute to the next SA WG3 meeting on proposals for SA WG3 role in the security work. The documents were then **noted**.

[TD S3-010451](#) Liaison Statement from T WG3 on IMS identifiers and ISIM or TSIM (response to LS from SA WG3 in [TD S3-010400](#)). T WG3 reported their understanding that the private and public identifiers for the IP Multimedia Subsystem are independent of the USIM and should be stored in the ISIM instead of USIM and asked for this to be clarified. It was decided that T WG3 should be informed that these are different logical entities, and it is recognised, that for Rel-5, it will be best to include ISIM as part of the USIM application, rather than physically separated. Concerning the request for an ad-hoc meeting, it was not possible to do so in October, but may be possible on 26 November, pre-pended to the next SA WG3 meeting. A reply LS stating that the only feasible way to implement ISIM would be to physically group them for Rel-5, and physically separated entities required further study of the security issues and the potential duplication of functionality that would be required. The concept of "application" used in the LS to T WG3 ([TD S3-010400](#)) also needed to be clarified, as it may be different from the understanding of the term in T WG3. G. Rose agreed to draft a liaison, which was provided in [TD S3-010531](#) which was updated in [TD S3-010548](#) and revised again to include SA WG1 in the "TO" list, in [TD S3-010554](#) which was **approved**.

[TD S3-010454](#) PKCS#15 support for MExE in the USIM. This was provided by T WG2 for information and was presented by Vodafone. The LS was **noted**.

5.4 ETSI SAGE

Per Christofferssen provided a verbal report on the work of ETSI SAGE. There was disagreement between the GSMA and 3GPP on the funding and distribution/ownership of the A5/3 algorithm. This was **noted**.

5.5 Others (e.g. ETSI MSG, GSMA, TIA TR-45)

GSMA: Charles Brookson could not attend the meeting, but provided information on the e-mail list, an extract of which was provided in [TD S3-010533](#). This was presented by the Chairman. It was reported that GSMA document SG07 (availability restricted to GSMA Members) had been updated to include GPRS Fraud threats. Threats on forwarding services had been analysed and some information will be added to 33.900. User Identification (UCI) was also being considered for inclusion in 33.900. The document was **noted**.

TIA TR-45: Greg Rose provided an informal report on the 3GPP2/AHAG discussions. A new 3GPP2 TSG S-WG4 has been created for security issues (F. Quick Chairman, M. Marcovici Vice Chairman). The Joint Control agreement document responsibility may be desirable to be transferred to the new WG4, but this had not yet been decided between AHAG and S-WG4. This was **noted**.

6 Technical specifications and reports

6.1 Security architecture (TS 33.102)

[TD S3-010455](#) Proposed CR to 33.102 (R99): Annex F.2 (changing list parameters) modification. This was presented by Siemens. This CR was **agreed**.

[TD S3-010456](#) Proposed CR to 33.102 (Rel-4): Annex F.2 (changing list parameters) modification. This CR was **agreed**.

[TD S3-010457](#) Proposed CR to 33.102 (R99): SQNMS retrieval in AuC during resynchronisation. This was presented by Siemens. This CR was **agreed**.

[TD S3-010458](#) Proposed CR to 33.102 (Rel-4): SQNMS retrieval in AuC during resynchronisation. This CR was **agreed**.

[TD S3-010459](#) Proposed CR to 33.102 (R99): Sequence Number Management Corrections. This was presented by Siemens. The C.2 addition should be in italic font. The CR was modified editorially for the cover sheet and provided in [TD S3-010534](#) which was **agreed**.

[TD S3-010460](#) Proposed CR to 33.102 (Rel-4): Sequence Number Management Corrections. This modified editorially for the cover sheet (as for [TD S3-010459](#)) and provided in [TD S3-010535](#) which was **agreed**.

[TD S3-010474](#) Proposed CR to 33.103 v 3.9.0: Alignments with 25.331. This was presented by Nokia. The CR intended to align with RAN WG4 interpretation of the THRESHOLD use - RAN WG4 had included "> THRESHOLD" in their specifications, whereas "≥ THRESHOLD" had been intended by SA WG3. This change needed further consideration, as it affects more areas of the specifications than indicated in the CR. It was decided to **postpone** this CR to the next meeting after analysis of all the consequences of this change is done.

[TD S3-010475](#) Proposed CR to 33.103 v 4.2.0: Alignments with 25.331. It was decided to **postpone** this CR as for [TD S3-010474](#).

[TD S3-010476](#) Security concern with HFN reset procedure. This was presented by Qualcomm and discusses some vulnerabilities with the RLC Reset procedure, which was introduced in Release 1999 TS 25.322 with a CR approved at TSG RAN#10 in December 2000. It proposed that RAN WG2 should take a second look at the problem discussed in their e-mail exchange, which led to this change, and solve it differently, by using methods that do not compromise security. During discussion, it was clarified that some of the problems were already present before the change, but changes such as this without consultation with SA WG3 should be avoided. It was agreed that a LS should be sent to RAN WG2 showing the examples of potential problems, requesting consultation on changes that are made to security sensitive mechanisms and informing them that the HFN is used in security mechanisms. This was provided in [TD S3-010537](#) which was updated in [TD S3-010556](#) and **approved**. Possible changes to specifications to overcome these problems should be considered by delegates to determine if any changes should be made to RAN specifications (significant threats would need to be identified in order to propose CRs to Release 1999 specifications).

6.2 Guide to 3G security (TR 33.900)

[TD S3-010430](#) 33.900 - Guide to 3G Security version 0.4.1. The document was reviewed and some discussion over what the content of the document should be ensued. The inclusion of as many identified threats as possible against only those for which solutions are available in the architecture. It was agreed that more threat descriptions should be included to identify potential problems to Operators. The editors were asked to update the document to include more general descriptions of threats for the next SA WG3 meeting (November 2001). **All delegates were asked to contact Colin Blanchard or Charles Brookson to help with this update.**

7 Work items

7.1 MAP security (TS 33.200, draft TR 33.800)

[TD S3-010450](#) Siemens Comments to MAP-doi v3 <draft-arkko-map-doi-03>. This was introduced by Siemens. It was **noted** and there was a response to these comments from Ericsson in [TD S3-010508](#) which was taken for discussion.

[TD S3-010508](#) Reply to comments on MAPSec-DOI. This was presented by Ericsson with the aim of getting agreement on final comments to MAPSec DOI for submission to the IETF. Each comment/response was reviewed and discussed. The comments were taken into account in the updated version (MAP-doi-04-pa1.txt) included in [TD S3-010508](#). Further comments to the draft were invited before the IETF editor forwards the document to the IETF. Absolute time versus duration was discussed, and A. Escott was asked to add the to 33.800 as a placeholder. 2 weeks (2nd September) for comments was agreed - comments should be sent to the editor.

[TD S3-010462](#) MAPsec counter mode of operation. This was presented by Siemens and provides the status of the alternative documents for proposes to use the counter mode of operation as described in NIST 800-XXX that will be stable end of this year instead of the counter mode of operation as drafted in SC 27 N 2711 (Enhancement of ISO/IEC 10116) that is intended to become a new standard in 2003. It was proposed that the FIPS standard is referred to until the end of 2001, and a proposal to take MAC from the same source.

It was proposed to take the Siemens proposal, but to add an editors note, warning that the algorithm chosen may change if it not available in time (end 2001).

It was **agreed**, after some debate, that a reference would be made to (NIST) FIPS-800-XXX (July 2001) in the specification, to be modified to the final version when it is available, or replaced by the text of the draft if the final version is incompatible with the requirements.

A proposed CR to 33.200 was also provided in this contribution. The CR was given a separate document number in [TD S3-010538](#) and was **approved**: **The strategy was agreed as to include a reference to a draft NIST document, which may not be available after their document is published. A CR to update the reference if the final counter mode is compatible with requirements will be made, or the relevant text of the draft NIST standard will be included in 33.200.**

[TD S3-010464](#) NIST Special Publication 800-XX: Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation. This was provided for information in the above discussions and was **noted**.

[TD S3-010467](#) Proposed CR to 33.200 v 4.1.0: The Soft Lifetime for the MAPSec SA. This was presented by Nokia. The concept of the second timer "soft lifetime" was accepted, but it was thought that this could be calculated as a function of the "hard lifetime" and removed from the SA. It was proposed that this was also needed in Rel-4, where the use of manual key management makes the SA changeover guarding procedure more critical. It was decided to set up an evening drafting group to try to provide a solution and required CRs. [TD S3-010467](#) was then **postponed** pending the results of this drafting group. The drafting group provided [TD S3-010549](#) " Proposed CR to 33.200 v 4.1.0: The Soft Lifetime for the MAPsec SA (revised after drafting session)" which was reviewed and revised in [TD S3-010560](#) which was **approved**.

[TD S3-010528](#) (Replacement of [TD S3-010426](#)) Proposed Updates to Structures of SADB and SPD on MAPSec. This proposed solution would have implied problems which do not exist with the current solution and the proposal was **not agreed**.

[TD S3-010471](#) Proposed CR to 33.200 v4.1.0: Use of 'Original component identifier' during MAPsec processing. This was presented by Siemens and was **approved**.

[TD S3-010472](#) Proposed CR to 33.200 v4.1.0: Protection Profiles correction. This was presented by Siemens and was updated to include the reason for removing the editors note and provided in [TD S3-010541](#) which was **approved**.

[TD S3-010473](#) Proposed CR to 33.200 v4.1.0: Policy configuration clarification. This was presented by Siemens and the consequences if not approved part was updated and provided in [TD S3-010542](#) which was **approved**.

[TD S3-010492](#) Flexibility of MAP Protection Profiles. This was presented by Hutchison 3G UK, and proposes that a weakness of the non-flexible protection profiles is that it would require un update to the standard in order to patch any problems found in the future and suggests a solution for inclusion in TS 33.200 and asks SA WG3 to make some decisions on the following suggested principles:

- It must be possible to increase the level of protection on messages independently of defining new standard protection groups.
- Proprietary protection profiles must be implemented.
- The split between standard and proprietary PPI.

It was clarified that if a security breach is discovered, then there will be no way of protecting against it if the vulnerable messages are not in the standardised Protection Profiles.

There were mixed opinions on the inclusion of either a "Proprietary" PP or a "Full Protection" PP. More information on the working of the Proprietary PP mechanism was needed. It was agreed that the issue should be considered by delegates and contribution on the topic for the next meeting are invited. The associated CR in [TD S3-010493](#) was then **postponed** pending further discussion.

[TD S3-010498](#) Some potential changes for MAPSec. This was presented by Hutchison 3G UK, and contained several suggestions for changes to TS 33.200. It requested that SA WG3 make a decision on each of the possible changes, in order that the relevant CRs can be prepared.

Checking the Sending PLMN-Id from the security header

Proposal to remove the Sending PLMN-Id from the security header, as it is purely redundant information and to alter the message flow to plug a security weakness. This was [agreed in principle](#) and a CR should be provided to the next meeting.

Protection Mode 0 Security Headers

Proposal to remove TVP, NE-Id and Prop from the security header of Protection Mode 0 messages. This was [agreed in principle](#) as this is not a security feature.

SA Identifiers

Proposal of adding destination PLMN-Id and SPI to the SA and that the sending PLMN-Id is included in the SA for completeness. This was in need of further consideration. Hutchison 3G UK were asked to send a message to the list as a reminder and to start an e-mail discussion on this.

Alignment of TS 29.002 and TS 33.200

TS 29.002 uses Prop and NE-Id as optional, whereas TS 33.200 specifies them as mandatory. A CR to 29.002 is required to correct this. Hutchison 3G UK agreed to write a LS to CN WG4 informing them of the problem, but during the drafting of this it was realised that a formal LS was not required as this information could be done verbally, so that any CN WG4 CRs on this could be conditionally approved at TSG CN Plenary pending approval of the corresponding SA WG3 CRs in TSG SA Plenary. The allocated document for the LS, [TD S3-010543](#) was therefore **withdrawn**.

Hutchison 3G UK were asked to provide relevant CRs and contribution to the next SA WG3 meeting resulting from the above agreements and e-mail discussions.

[TD S3-010507](#) Resubmission of TD S3-010368: Local Security Association Distribution. This was presented by Alcatel and discusses the generic architecture as currently described in TR 33.800 and suggests possible protocols to achieve intra-domain SA management:

Security Policy in NEs

Proposes that the NE needs some minimal policy information (to be distributed from the KAC). It was agreed that the NE has no decision making on this. The KAC controls the policy information and provides necessary information to the NEs.

Pull Mechanism

Suggests that this is not practical and that consequently, the architecture should allow for both push and pull mechanisms. It was considered that a push mechanism is needed for the Policy database and a pull mechanism for the SA database. The difference between the push mechanism for revoking SAs and the use of a push mechanism for distribution of SAs was questioned. There could be an implementation difference due to the different frequency of their expected usage, but the standardisation differences are small. The issue was considered too involved to make a decision at this meeting, although the availability of both push and pull mechanisms in both databases seemed useful. Further analysis should be done before making decisions on this. It was considered that the usual case for both databases should be push, and in exception cases pull. **It was decided that an e-mail discussion should be made to try to agree on a mechanism, and contribution, on detailed mechanisms and analysis of them, should be made to the next meeting.**

SA Lifetime Supervision

Proposes that although the KAC may have negotiated two SAs, only one should be valid at a given time. For outbound traffic, the NE should always use the SA which expires the sooner. For inbound traffic, the NE should use the SA indicated in the received MAP message. **This was covered by other contributions.**

Management Protocol over IPsec

Proposal that rather than a new protocol, existing policy protocols, such as COPS, may be considered.

New IKE Phase 2

In the solution proposed above, IKE Phase 1 is used to set up a secure communication channel between the KAC and the NE. A new DoI is specified in which the KAC sends the SA information together with the related policy to the NE.

Secure Multicast

Notes that security multicast solutions being developed within the IETF are not ready yet and should be expected in a timeframe of one year at the earliest.

The use of IPsec is covered by NDS-IP document (33.210) and this should be discussed in the context of NDS-IP.

[TD S3-010510](#) TS 33.800 version 0.4.0: Principles for Network Domain Security; MAP application layer security. This was provided for information and was [noted](#).

[TD S3-010528](#) Proposed Updates to Structures of SADB and SPD on MAPSec. This was presented by Huawei Technologies and proposed that PPI should be moved from the Security Association Database (SAD) to the Security Policy Database (SPD) and SPI should be a mandated parameter in the SAD. A proposed CR was provided in the attachment to this document. Problems were found with the negotiation of SAs and resulting synchronisation of the Security Policies if the PPI is moved into the SPD. The speed argument was not considered relevant, as the PPI is not needed until step 6, and by then the SA is known. Due to lack of support, the proposal was [rejected](#).

7.2 IP network layer security (draft TS 33.210)

[TD S3-010489](#) Proposed changes to 33.210 about defining the BG element. This was presented by Nokia and proposed clarifications to the text concerning Border Gateway (BG) definition. The document was discussed briefly, (concerning the text for BG) and was [noted](#) (to be discussed further).

[TD S3-010490](#) Proposed changes to 33.210 about GGSN – P-CSCF interface (Go). This was presented by Nokia and proposed that the interface between GGSN and P-CSCF (the Go interface) is protected by the network domain security as already assumed in TS 33.203 v0.6.0. It was considered that this needed further study and was not accepted for inclusion in the TS at present. The proposal was [noted](#).

[TD S3-010496](#) Proposed changes to 33.210 about protecting GTP-U. This was presented by Nokia and proposed clarifications to the text concerning GTP-U protection. This was discussed briefly, and will be updated and resubmitted to the next meeting. The contribution was then [noted](#).

[TD S3-010429](#) and [TD S3-010529](#) were [postponed](#) to next meeting:

7.3 IP multimedia subsystem security (draft TS 33.203)

[TD S3-010545](#) aSIP-Access Security for IP-Based Services. These slides were presented by K. Boman (Ericsson) and provided a status and time plan for the completion of TS 33.203. Some discussion over the timescales and procedure for updating and finalising the IETF documents ensued. S3z010128 was consulted, but didn't provide useful guidance on the problem of providing agreed solutions to IETF. A long discussion resulted in no progress and it was decided to continue with the update of 33.203 in order to have a set of agreed solutions and to return to this problem later. **There was no time in this meeting to continue with this so it was deferred to off-line discussions and contribution at the next meeting.**

SA WG3 delegates were asked to provide updates to 33.203 and in particular, to provide input to remove the FFS and editors' notes in order to help finalise the document. Contributions should be provided using "pseudo-CRs" wherever possible, to ease the identification, discussion and incorporation of the changes in the document.

K. Boman was thanked for the presentation.

[TD S3-010529](#) Update Proposal on Security Domain

Responses to LS from SA WG3 in [TD S3-010403](#).

[TD S3-010442](#) Response from CN WG1 to LS "On the use of Network Domain Security for protection of SIP signalling messages" (N1-011041 or S3-010403). This was presented by the Chairman.

CN WG1 had discussed the potential solutions included in S3-010403 and believes that, if SIP

protection is going to be based on NDS/IP mechanisms (i.e. not between the UE and the P-CSCF but rather within the network in a hop-by-hop fashion), then it is preferred to specify a solution that:

- can be applied on both lu-ps and Gn/Gp interfaces, and
- cause minimum or no impact on UMTS architecture and protocols.

In this context, CN WG1 would be interested to know if SA WG3 has investigated any solutions inline with the above preferences. For instance, has SA WG3 investigated the limitations of option 2 in S3-010403? Has SA WG3 considered any potential extensions to IPsec (on lu-ps and Gn/Gp) as alternative solutions? Such extensions wouldn't have an impact on the UMTS architecture or protocols.

[TD S3-010433](#) LS from SA WG2: Response to LS S3-010403 on the use of Network Domain Security for protection of SIP signalling messages from SA WG3.

Alternative 2 (protect all GTP-U messages) is inefficient since only a small percentage of the GTP-U messages are IMS SIP messages.

Solutions 3 (to introduce GTP-IC) and 4 (to extend GTP-C) assume that RAN has knowledge of which IP packets carry SIP signalling. Currently RAN is not aware of contents of IP packets.

SA WG2 would like to understand what requirements are being addressed here? It is SA WG2's understanding that the protection of SIP messages between the UE and P-CSCF is covered with Access Security (integrity protection) and the security between different CSCFs is covered via NDS. SA WG2 has difficulty understanding the security requirements to GTP-U related to IMS.

Action: SA WG2 would like to understand what security issue is being addressed here that is not already covered via SIP application level security and network domain security.

SA WG3 Discussion and conclusions:

[TD S3-010470](#) IMS access domain security and NDS. This was presented by Siemens and aimed to clarify the current discussion related to the protection of IMS signalling between UE and P-CSCF, and proposes **not** to use Rel-5 network domain security means to secure this specific signalling, since end-to-end protection between UE and P-CSCF will already be provided by the IMS itself.

In figure 1, the Zc interface between SGSN and GGSN and between GGSN and P-CSCF in the UMTS CN seemed to be the cause of confusion in CN WG1, as it implied that this was part of NDS Security.

Siemens did not see any additional benefits from a security point of view, over the protection already provided by the IMS, that justifies the additional effort of any of the solutions 2 to 4, particularly as SIP signalling messages are only a very small part of GTP-U traffic, and may cause unacceptable delays in real-time traffic. Therefore Siemens proposed to agree within SA3 on the working assumption that no PS core network domain security means are required for protecting IMS access network signalling (signalling between UE and P-CSCF)

[TD S3-010546](#) Confidentiality of SIP signalling between UE and P-CSCF. This was presented by Hutchinson 3G and proposed that aSIP security (i.e. IMS access network security) should be independent from NDS and that no reliance on NDS should be assumed. TS 33.203 should reflect this viewpoint. The principles were agreed and a Liaison to SA WG2, CN WG1 (CC CN WG4) was provided in [TD S3-010547](#) which was modified and provided in [TD S3-010557](#) and **approved**.

[TD S3-010468](#) ISIM/USIM independence. This was presented by Telia and highlights incorrect and inconsistent definitions of UICC in specifications. Telia were asked to produce CR to TS 21.133 to align and correct these definitions by referring to the 3GPP Vocabulary (TR 21.905). This CR was provided in [TD S3-010552](#) "CR to 21.133 - Definition of UICC": This was modified slightly and provided in [TD S3-010558](#) and the CR was **approved**. A corresponding Rel-4 CR was provided in [TD S3-010559](#) and **approved**.

The USIM/ISIM issue was covered by the LS approved in [TD S3-010548](#).

[TD S3-010469](#) ISIM/USIM independence. This was covered by other contributions and discussions.

[TD S3-010491](#) <draft-garcia-sipping-3gpp-reqs-00.txt>. This was provided for information and contained the draft 3GPP requirements now forwarded to the IETF. The document was [noted](#).

[TD S3-010494](#) On access independence and authentication. This was presented by Ericsson and proposed that IMS AKA shall be one option for the operators to authenticate the IM subscribers. TS 33.203 shall not exclude other IETF mechanisms e.g. already existent in SIP like HTTP Digest. If the operators policy states that IMS AKA should be used, then TS 33.203 needs to provide the appropriate requirements for this. It was clarified that the Rel-5 was still AKA-based and could not provide all the features provided in this contributions. For Access Independence, it was noted that the IMS-AKA can also be used for other accesses. It was questioned whether this provides an advantage if introduced into Rel-5, when it will only be used for Rel-6, as Access Independence is not being worked on for Rel-5 in other WGs. There was [no consensus to include this in Rel-5 and it should be considered further for Rel-6](#), or in the next SA WG3 meeting if Ericsson wish to further discuss the introduction of this in Rel-5.

[TD S3-010435](#) LS from SA WG2: Security aspects for IMS related to Authentication. (postponed from the ad-hoc meeting). This was presented by Siemens and answered some questions that SA WG2 had received, and requested the following from SA WG3:

Subscribers may have different service profiles just as requested by SA WG1. SA WG2 assumes that each Public ID belongs to a single service profile, but a single service profile may have several Public IDs. Furthermore, different service profiles may be assigned to different S-CSCFs even when these service profiles have the same Private ID. However these service profiles shall have a different set of Public IDs. SA WG2 kindly asks SA WG3 to respond if this work assumption significantly increases the SA WG3 work load such that the Release 5 IMS security standardisation can not be completed on time.

Ericsson and Siemens provided contributions on this in [TD S3-010495](#) and [TD S3-010544](#) and a proposed response LS was provided by Siemens in [TD S3-010550](#).

[TD S3-010495](#) On registering several public identities in IM CN SS. This was presented by Ericsson and discussed different requirements needed and different alternatives on how to register several public identities in IM CN SS. Ericsson proposed that the UE and the P-CSCF shall establish just one SA through which all the SIP signalling between the UE and the P-CSCF is carried, and that further discussions are needed to decide on the needed optimisations. Ericsson assumed that the optimisations in sections 3.3.1 and 3.3.2 of this contribution could be used in combination or separately. It was clarified that in 3.3.2, the mechanism would update the SA on each new Public ID registration, and not set up new SAs for each Public identity. The proposal to have only one SA between the user and P-CSCF was [agreed](#).

[TD S3-010544](#) Security Association Management in the IMS. This was presented by Siemens and discussed the problems of complexity in introducing the functionality as in SA WG2's working assumption and suggests that resolution of the problems could cause a significant delay to Rel-5 IMS security work. Siemens conclude that SA WG3 should propose to SA WG2 to reconsider their approach to allow different S-CSCFs to be assigned for registrations with different public IDs of one user at a time, at least for Rel-5. This was discussed at length, and it was noted that this showed one set of assumptions, which showed the resulting complexity, and other sets of assumptions and solutions need to be considered before asking SA WG2 to reconsider their architecture assumptions.

[TD S3-010550](#) (Replacement of [TD S3-010502](#)) Response to LS S2-012456 from SA2 on Security aspects for IMS related to Authentication. This was presented by Siemens. [TD S3-010544](#) and [TD S3-010495](#) were intended as attachments. The LS concludes that given the high workload of SA WG3 and the significant amount of IMS security work which still has to be done to complete Rel'5 there is indeed a possibility that the additional specification work required by SA WG2's working assumption may negatively affect SA WG3's ability to complete IMS security in time. It was decided that the LS should not include the attachments, and the LS was updated and provided in [TD S3-010551](#) which was [approved](#).

[TD S3-010497](#) EAP Extensions - status report. This was provided by Ericsson and Nokia and was presented by Ericsson. It briefly describes the current status of IETF standardization efforts related to the use of Extensible Authentication Protocol (EAP) and UMTS Authentication and Key Agreement (AKA) for SIP authentication. Some comments were made and discussed, and the report was [noted](#).

[TD S3-010504](#) Requested changes to TS 33.203 v060 concerning security mode set-up. This was provided by Siemens and was discussed, it was [agreed](#) that this should be discussed over the e-mail list over the next two weeks, along with any other issues which arise. K. Boman was asked to update

the document taking comments into account after 2 November 2001. Delegates were requested to provide pseudo-CRs to the draft in time for the next SA WG3 meeting in order to finalise the document.

It was **agreed** that an editors note should be added in section 7.1, stating that the support of different mechanisms is for further study.

TD S3-010509 Integrity Protection: Mechanism for SIP-level solution. This was provided by Nortel Networks, Ericsson and Nokia and was presented by Nortel Networks. It proposed text for inclusion in TS 33.203, section C.2 Integrity mechanisms describing a SIP-level solution for message integrity protection in the 3GPP IMS involving the use of the HTTP Digest security framework.

The proposal was reviewed and discussed. It was agreed that an editors note should be added that further details will be provided on the replay protection mechanism. A description of the security-mode set up headers and message flows was requested for inclusion in the section, and an editors note to include this information later, should be added.

Proposals were invited for an equivalent of Annex D for the SIP-level solution (as Annex E) which should also be discussed in the 2 week e-mail period, and can be included if there are no problems found.

It was **agreed** to include this contribution in the draft 33.203.

TD S3-010511 The LS was agreed by e-mail and sent to CN WG1 and SA WG2. The working assumption of SA WG3 that authentication is only required for registration and re-registration was **endorsed**.

SA WG3 also endorsed the need for network initiated re-registrations for security reasons.

Note: This liaison statement, approved by e-mail after the IMS Security ad-hoc meeting, was **TD S3z010129**.

TD S3-010520 Requested changes to TS 33.203 v060 concerning network initiated authenticated re-registrations. This was presented by Siemens. Ericsson asked for more time to consider this change and it was agreed to return to this at the next meeting, and it was decided to put the proposed changes into an editors note. The updated document was provided in **TD S3-010553** and K. Boman was asked to include this in TS 33.203.

TD S3-010415 Liaison Statement on "IMS security and UE functionality split". The response to this was covered in the liaison approved in **TD S3-010554**

7.4 GERAN security

There were no contributions on this agenda item. Related LSs were discussed under agenda item 5.3.

7.5 Security aspects of UE functionality split

This topic was covered under agenda items 5.3 and 7.3.

7.6 Security aspects of network configuration hiding

There were no contributions under this agenda item.

7.7 Visibility and configurability of security 466

TD S3-010465 Proposed CR to 33.102: Configurability and visibility. This was presented by Telia and proposed a procedure (for Rel-5) to allow user control of accepting or rejecting unciphered calls. The default configuration of the ME is to reject unciphered calls and to prompt the user whether he wishes to start receiving unciphered connections. When the next ciphered connection is made, the ME switches back to the default "reject" mode. It was proposed that this functionality should be mandatory for implementation but optional for use - such that "sensitive" users would be able to switch on the mechanism. It was also suggested that the USIM should have some control over the default configuration, in order to allow operator control. It was proposed that the CR is sent to other groups in order to provide a basis for comments, and listing the issues that have been identified by SA WG3. It was thought that sending a CR with a mechanism which is not agreed by SA WG3 could provide the wrong signal to other WGs. No agreement could be reached on the mechanism and contributions

were requested, particularly from companies who support the visibility and configurability WI in order to find an acceptable mechanism, for contribution to SA WG3 meeting #21.

[TD S3-010466](#) This was not considered as the CR in [TD S3-010465](#) was not accepted.

7.8 MExE security

There were no contributions on this agenda item. Related LSs were discussed under agenda item 5.3.

7.9 OSA security

[TD S3-010506](#) Resubmission of TD S3-010317: Review of OSA Security - some issues for SA WG3 to consider. This was presented by BT. Issues for SA WG3 to consider were listed in the document:

- **Key Management** - Should SA WG3 standardise the key management reusing the mechanisms developed for Network Domain Security (IP) ?
[Not possible for Rel-4, unlikely to be done in time for Rel-5. Earliest likely mechanism would be Rel-6.](#)
- **Challenge Mechanism** - The challenge mechanism used will be in accordance with the IETF PPP Authentication Protocols - Challenge Handshake Authentication Protocol RFC 1994, August 1996 [4]. Is this acceptable to SA WG3 or do we want to suggest that we use a challenge response based on 3GPP AKA with sequence numbers, etc. ?
[Not possible for Rel-4, something may be possible for Rel-5, the RFC seemed acceptable to SA WG3.](#)
- **Authentication mechanism requested by the client** - Should SA WG3 provide any guidelines on the choice of operator specific authentication ?
[No specific reason to do this was identified.](#)
- **Algorithm definitions** - Should SA WG3 provide details of other algorithms that could be used?
[DES-56 and RSA-512 are not considered adequately secure. It was noted that the Mode of Operation was missing from the description. P. Howard agreed to draft a proposal with comments on inconsistencies in the document concerning cryptographic algorithms, for e-mail approval after the meeting. 1 week after sending of the proposal for comments.](#)
This was provided by e-mail after the meeting in [TD S3-010561](#) which was modified and [approved](#) by e-mail in [TD S3-010574](#) (input to meeting #21 for information).

Delegates were asked to review the document and provide comment and contribution to the next meeting.

7.10 FIGS/IST

There were no contributions on this agenda item.

7.11 PKI

[TD S3-010499](#) USIM functionalities to support PKI architectures. This was provided by Gemplus and Oberthur Card Systems and presented by Oberthur Card Systems. It was noted that Nokia had taken an action to create a WI proposal, but had not managed to create it for this meeting. Delegates were asked to consider this after the meeting and Nokia were asked to provide the WI description for the work. The document was then [noted](#).

8 Review and update of work programme

M. Pope to send the Work Programme to SA WG3 for comments via e-mail after the meeting. **All are encouraged to update the SA WG3 Work Items to show accurate information.**

9 Future meeting dates and venues

Meeting	Date	Location	Host
Joint with T WG3, SA WG1 (ISIM issues) TBC	26 November 2001	Sophia Antipolis, France	ETSI
S3#21	27 - 30 November 2001	Sophia Antipolis, France	ETSI
S3#22	26 Feb - 1 March 2002	Bristol, UK	Orange
S3#23 + AHAG	14 - 17 May 2002	Victoria, Canada	AT&T Wireless
S3#24	9 - 12 July 2002	Helsinki, Finland (TBC)	Nokia
S3#25	15 - 18 October 2002	Munich, Germany (TBC)	Siemens (TBC)

10 Any other business

There was no other business.

11 Close of meeting

The Chairman thanked the host, Qualcomm, for the meeting arrangements and the delegates for their hard work and closed the meeting.

Annex A: List of attendees at the SA WG3#20 meeting

Name	Company	e-mail	3GPP ORG	
Mr. Nigel Barnes	MOTOROLA Ltd	Nigel.Barnes@motorola.com	GB	ETSI
Dr. Stephen Billington	Hutchison 3G UK Limited	stephen.billington@hutchison3g.com	GB	ETSI
Mr. Colin Blanchard	BT	colin.blanchard@bt.com	GB	ETSI
Mr. Marc Blommaert	SIEMENS ATEA NV	marc.blommaert@siemens.atea.be	BE	ETSI
Mr. Krister Boman	ERICSSON L.M.	krister.boman@emw.ericsson.se	SE	ETSI
Mr. Charles Brookson	DTI	cbrookson@iee.org	GB	ETSI
Mr. David Castellanos	ERICSSON L.M.	david.castellanos-zamon@ece.ericsson.se	SE	ETSI
Ms. Lily Chen	Motorola Inc.	lchen1@email.mot.com	US	T1
Mr. Per Christoffersson	TELIA AB	per.e.christoffersson@telia.se	SE	ETSI
Dr. Adrian Escott	Hutchison 3G UK Limited	adrian.escott@hutchison3g.com	GB	ETSI
Mr. John B Fenn	SAMSUNG Electronics	johnbfenn@aol.com	GB	ETSI
Mr. Louis Finkelstein	Motorola Inc.	louisf@labs.mot.com	US	T1
Mr. Jean-Bernard FISCHER	OBERTHUR CARD SYSTEMS S.A.	jb.fischer@oberthurcs.com	FR	ETSI
Miss Jessica Gunnarsson	TELIA AB	jessica.l.gunnarsson@telia.se	SE	ETSI
Mr. Philip Hawkes	QUALCOMM EUROPE S.A.R.L.	phawkes@qualcomm.com	FR	ETSI
Mr. Guenther Horn	SIEMENS AG	guenther.horn@mchp.siemens.de	DE	ETSI
Mr. Peter Howard	VODAFONE Group Plc	peter.howard@vodafone.com	GB	ETSI
Miss Dave Kennerley	MOTOROLA Ltd	david.kennerley@motorola.com	GB	ETSI
Mrs. Tiina Koskinen	NOKIA Corporation	tiina.s.koskinen@nokia.com	FI	ETSI
Mr. Sebastien Nguyen Ngoc	France Telecom	sebastien.nguyennhoc@rd.francetelecom.com	FR	ETSI
Mr. Valteri Niemi	NOKIA Corporation	valteri.niemi@nokia.com	FI	ETSI
Mr. Petri Nyberg	SONERA Corporation	petri.nyberg@sonera.com	FI	ETSI
Mr. Bradley Owen	Lucent Technologies N. S. UK	bvowen@lucent.com	GB	ETSI
Mr. Olivier Paridaens	ALCATEL S.A.	Olivier.Paridaens@ALCATEL.BE	FR	ETSI
Mr. Maurice Pope	ETSI Secretariat	maurice.pope@etsi.fr	FR	ETSI
Mr. Greg Rose	QUALCOMM EUROPE S.A.R.L.	ggr@qualcomm.com	FR	ETSI
Mr. Teruharu Serada	NEC Corporation	serada@aj.jp.nec.com	JP	ARIB
Mr. Hugh Shieh	AT&T Wireless Services, Inc.	hugh.shieh@attws.com	US	T1
Mr. Al Thomas	Cingular Wireless LLC	al.thomas@cingular.com	US	T1
Mr. Benno Tietz	MANNESMANN Mobilfunk GmbH	benno.tietz@d2vodafone.de	DE	ETSI
Mr. Vesa Torvinen	ERICSSON L.M.	vesa.torvinen@lmf.ericsson.se	SE	ETSI
Mr. Lee Valerius	NORTEL NETWORKS (EUROPE)	valerius@nortelnetworks.com	GB	ETSI
Mr. Berthold Wilhelm	BMW	berthold.wilhelm@regtp.de	DE	ETSI

A.1 SA WG3 Voting list

Based on the attendees lists for meetings #18, #19 and #20, the following companies are eligible to vote at SA WG3 meeting #21:

Company	Country	Status	Partner Org
ALCATEL S.A.	FR	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
AT&T Wireless Services, Inc.	US	3GPPMEMBER	T1
BUNDESMINISTERIUM FUR WIRTSCHAFT	DE	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
BT	GB	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
Cingular Wireless LLC	US	3GPPMEMBER	T1
Deutsche Telekom AG	DE	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
DTI - Department of Trade and Industry	GB	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
Telefon AB LM Ericsson	SE	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
France Telecom	FR	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
Hutchison 3G UK Limited	GB	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
Lucent Technologies	US	3GPPMEMBER	T1
Lucent Technologies Network Systems UK	GB	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
MANNESMANN Mobilfunk GmbH	DE	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
Mitsubishi Electric Co.	JP	3GPPMEMBER	ARIB
Motorola Inc.	US	3GPPMEMBER	T1
MOTOROLA Ltd	GB	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
NEC Corporation	JP	3GPPMEMBER	ARIB
NOKIA Corporation	FI	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
NORTEL NETWORKS (EUROPE)	GB	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
NTT DoCoMo Inc.	JP	3GPPMEMBER	ARIB
OBERTHUR CARD SYSTEMS S.A.	FR	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
ORANGE PCS LTD	GB	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
QUALCOMM EUROPE S.A.R.L.	FR	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
SAMSUNG Electronics Research Institute	GB	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
SIEMENS AG	DE	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
SIEMENS ATEA NV	BE	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
SONERA Corporation	FI	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
Telenor AS	NO	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
TELIA AB	SE	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
VODAFONE Group Plc	GB	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI

Annex B: List of documents

TD number	Title	Source	Agenda	Document for	Replaced by
S3-010405	Draft agenda for SA WG3 meeting #20	Chairman	2	Approval	
S3-010406	Draft report of SA WG3 meeting #19	Secretary	4.1	Approval	
S3-010407	Draft report of MAP Sec ad-hoc meeting, 13 September 2001	Secretary	4.2	Approval	
S3-010408	Draft report of IMS Sec ad-hoc meeting, 14 September 2001	Secretary	4.3	Approval	
S3-010409	Re: The availability of Motorolas GPRS test capability	Motorola PCS	10	Information	
S3-010410	Reply LS on "Using a generic authentication scheme for SIP"	CN WG1	5.3	Discussion / Action	
S3-010411	Liaison Statement on 3GPP User Profiles	CN WG4	5.3	Information	
S3-010412	LS to SA3 on Signalling for user authentication	CN WG4	5.3	Discussion / Action	
S3-010413	LS on Guidance Needed Concerning Security Mode Reconfiguration	RAN WG2	5.3	Discussion / Action	
S3-010414	Reply to LS on New feature for SAT originated SMS	SA WG1	5.3	Information	
S3-010415	Liaison Statement on "IMS security and UE functionality split"	SA WG1	5.3	Discussion / Action	
S3-010416	IP Based Multimedia Services Framework Report		5.3	Information	
S3-010417	Reply to SA2 LS on Cell ID in SIP messages	SA WG1	5.3	Discussion / Action	
S3-010418	LS on Multimedia Broadcast/Multicast Service (MBMS)	SA WG1	5.3	Information	
S3-010419	LS on "Digital Rights Management"	SA WG1	5.3	Discussion / Action	
S3-010420	LS on Cell ID in SIP messages	SA WG2	5.3	Discussion / Action	
S3-010421	LS in reply to SA2 Liaison "WI on the End-to-End QoS Architecture for Release 5" (S2-011098)	SA WG5	5.3	Information	
S3-010422	Reply to LS on basic and advanced services examples (S1-010271/ S5-010302)	SA WG5	5.3	Information	
S3-010423	Use of the phrase "X interface"	SA WG5	5.3	Information	
S3-010424	MMS digital rights management	T WG2	5.3	Information	
S3-010425	Transmission of user identity from a GGSN to MMS Relay/Server	T WG2	5.3	Information	
S3-010426	Proposed Updates to Structures of SADB and SPD on MAPSec	Huawei Technologies CO., LTD/CWTS	7.1	Discussion / Decision	S3-010528
S3-010427	Update Proposal on Security Domain	Huawei Technologies CO., LTD/CWTS	7.1	Discussion / Decision	S3-010529
S3-010428	LS on stage 1 for Extended Streaming Service	SA WG1	5.3	Discussion	
S3-010429	Update information on 33.210-060	Geir M Køien, rapporteur	7.2	Presentation / Discussion	
S3-010430	33.900 - Guide to 3G Security	C Brookson, Rapporteur	6.2		
S3-010431	Liaison statement on requirements on Multimedia Broadcast/Multicast Service	TSG GERAN	5.3	Information	
S3-010432	LS response to SA3 on "Using a generic authentication scheme for SIP"	CN WG4	5.3	Discussion	
S3-010433	LS from SA WG2: Response to LS S3-010403 on the use of Network Domain Security for protection of SIP signalling messages from SA WG3.	SA WG2	5.3	Discussion	
S3-010434	LS on Security aspects of the 3GPP push service	SA WG2	5.3	Discussion	
S3-010435	LS from SA WG2: Security aspects for IMS related to Authentication	SA WG2	5.3	Discussion	

TD number	Title	Source	Agenda	Document for	Replaced by
S3-010436	LS on Digital Rights Management (DRM) requirements for PSS Rel-5	SA WG4	5.3	Information	
S3-010437	Reply to LS on stage 1 for Extended Streaming Service	SA WG4	5.3	Information	
S3-010438	LS on "Access Point Name" usage	SA WG5	5.3	Information	
S3-010439	Liaison Statement on "Flows related to Authenticated Registrations and Re-Registrations"	CN WG1	5.3	Information	
S3-010440	Reply LS on rejection of 2G AKA by 3G ME with USIM in UTRAN	CN WG1	5.3	Information	
S3-010441	Liaison Statement on privacy of IPv6 addresses allocated to terminals using the IM CN subsystem	CN WG1	5.3	Information	
S3-010442	Response to LS "On the use of Network Domain Security for protection of SIP signalling messages" (N1-011041 or S3-010403)	CN WG1	5.3	Discussion / Response	
S3-010443	Response to Liaison Statement on "Progressing the work in SA3 and CN1 on the IP Multimedia core network subsystem"	CN WG1	5.3	Information	
S3-010444	LS from T WG2 to SyncML Requesting DevMan Update	T WG2	5.3	Information	
S3-010445	LS from T WG2: Response to T2-010617 (LS from SA WG2 on Cell ID in SIP messages)	T WG2	5.3	Information	
S3-010446	LS from T WG2 to S3 cc S5, S1, T3 on Security Needs for Terminal Applications	T WG2	5.3	Action	
S3-010447	LS from T WG2: Response to SA5 on Multiple Aspects of Device Management	T WG2	5.3	Information	
S3-010448	Liaison Statement from T WG2 on Extended Streaming Service	T WG2	5.3	Information	
S3-010449	Liaison statement in response to LS S3-010226 regarding revision of MExE security analysis activity WID proposed in S3-010228	T WG2	5.3	Action	
S3-010450	Siemens Comments to MAP-dol v3 <draft-arkko-map-doi-03>	Siemens	7.1	Discussion	
S3-010451	Liaison Statement on IMS identifiers and ISIM or TSIM	T WG3	5.3	Discussion	
S3-010452	Liaison Statement on Usage of Private ID	CN WG1	5.3	Discussion	
S3-010453	LS on the WID: AMR-WB Speech Service - Core Network Aspects	TSG CN	5.3	Discussion	
S3-010454	PKCS#15 support for MExE in the USIM	T WG2	5.3	Discussion	
S3-010455	Proposed CR to 33.102 (R99): Annex F.2 (changing list parameters) modification	Siemens Atea	6.1	Approval	
S3-010456	Proposed CR to 33.102 (Rel-4): Annex F.2 (changing list parameters) modification	Siemens Atea	6.1	Approval	
S3-010457	Proposed CR to 33.102 (R99): SQNMS retrieval in AuC during resynchronisation.	Siemens Atea	6.1	Approval	
S3-010458	Proposed CR to 33.102 (Rel-4): SQNMS retrieval in AuC during resynchronisation.	Siemens Atea	6.1	Approval	
S3-010459	Proposed CR to 33.102 (R99): Sequence Number Management Corrections	Siemens Atea	6.1	Approval	
S3-010460	Proposed CR to 33.102 (Rel-4): Sequence Number Management Corrections	Siemens Atea	6.1	Approval	
S3-010461	3GPP TS 33.203 v 0.6.0: Access security for IP-based services (Rel-5)	Rapporteur	7.3	Discussion / Update	
S3-010462	MAPsec counter mode of operation	Siemens Atea	7.1	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010463	3GPP TR 33.900 V0.4.1: A Guide to 3rd Generation Security	Rapporteur	6.2	Discussion / Update	
S3-010464	NIST Special Publication 800-XX: Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation		7.1	Information	

TD number	Title	Source	Agenda	Document for	Replaced by
S3-010465	Proposed CR to 33.102: Configurability and visibility	Telia	7.7	Approval	
S3-010466	Proposed LS to T WG2, CN WG1 (cc: T WG3) on proposed CR to TS 33.102 on security visibility and configurability	Telia	7.7	Approval	
S3-010467	Proposed CR to 33.200 v 4.1.0: The Soft Lifetime for the MAPsec SA	Nokia	7.1	Approval	
S3-010468	ISIM/USIM independence	Telia	7.3	Information	
S3-010469	ISIM/USIM independence	Telia	7.3	Decision	
S3-010470	IMS access domain security and NDS	Siemens, Ericsson	7.3	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010471	Proposed CR to 33.200 v4.1.0: Use of 'Original component identifier' during MAPsec processing	Siemens	7.1	Approval	
S3-010472	Proposed CR to 33.200 v4.1.0: Protection Profiles correction	Siemens Atea	7.1	Approval	S3-0105341
S3-010473	Proposed CR to 33.200 v4.1.0: Policy configuration clarification	Siemens Atea	7.1	Approval	S3-0105342
S3-010474	Proposed CR to 33.103 v 3.9.0: Alignments with 25.331	Nokia	6.1	Approval	
S3-010475	Proposed CR to 33.103 v 4.2.0: Alignments with 25.331	Nokia	6.1	Approval	
S3-010476	Security concern with HFN reset procedure	Qualcomm Europe S.A.R.L.	6.1	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010477	Report of the 3GPP TSG SA WG3-LI (S3-LI) meeting #3/01 on lawful interception	SA WG3-LI	5.1	Information	
S3-010478	Proposed CR to 33.107: Correct the MO-SMS and MT-SMS events (R99)	SA WG3-LI	5.1	Approval	S3-010514
S3-010479	Proposed CR to 33.107: Correct the MO-SMS and MT-SMS events (Rel-4)	SA WG3-LI	5.1	Approval	S3-010515
S3-010480	Proposed CR to 33.107: Correct the MO-SMS and MT-SMS events (Rel-5)	SA WG3-LI	5.1	Approval	S3-010516
S3-010481	Proposed CR to 33.107: Inter-SGSN RA update with active PDP context (Rel-4)	SA WG3-LI	5.1	Approval	
S3-010482	Proposed CR to 33.107: Inter-SGSN RA update with active PDP context (Rel-5)	SA WG3-LI	5.1	Approval	
S3-010483	Proposed CR to 33.107: Source of PDP context initiation (Rel-4)	SA WG3-LI	5.1	Approval	S3-010517
S3-010484	Proposed CR to 33.107: Source of PDP context initiation (Rel-)	SA WG3-LI	5.1	Approval	S3-010518
S3-010485	Proposed CR to 33.107: Start of secondary interception of an active PDP context (Rel-4)	SA WG3-LI	5.1	Approval	
S3-010486	Proposed CR to 33.107: Start of secondary interception of an active PDP context (Rel-5)	SA WG3-LI	5.1	Approval	
S3-010487	Proposed CR to 33.107: Alignment of TS 33.107 for Release 5 to previous Releases (Rel-5)	SA WG3-LI	5.1	Approval	S3-010513
S3-010488	Report of the 3GPP TSG SA WG3-LI (S3-LI) meeting #4/01 on lawful interception	SA WG3-LI Chairman	5.1	Information	
S3-010489	Proposed changes to 33.210 about defining the BG element	Nokia	7.2	Discussion	
S3-010490	Proposed changes to 33.210 about GGSN – P-CSCF interface (Go)	Nokia	7.2	Discussion	
S3-010491	<draft-garcia-sipping-3gpp-reqs-00.txt>	Ericsson	7.3	Information	
S3-010492	Flexibility of MAP Protection Profiles	Hutchison 3G UK	7.1	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010493	Proposed CR to 33.200: Flexible Protection Profiles for MAP (Rel-4)	Hutchison 3G UK	7.1	Approval	
S3-010494	On access independence and authentication	Ericsson	7.3	Discussion	
S3-010495	On registering several public identities in IM CN SS	Ericsson	7.3	Discussion	

TD number	Title	Source	Agenda	Document for	Replaced by
S3-010496	Proposed changes to 33.210 about protecting GTP-U	Nokia	7.2	Discussion	
S3-010497	EAP Extensions - status report	Ericsson, Nokia	7.3	Information	
S3-010498	Some potential changes for MAPsec	Hutchison 3G UK	7.1	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010499	USIM functionalities to support PKI architectures	Gemplus, Oberthur Card Systems	7.11	Discussion	
S3-010500	Work Item Description: 3GPP Handover Interface for Lawful Interception	SA WG3-LI	5.1	Information	
S3-010501	Draft 33.108 version 0.1.0	SA WG3-LI	5.1	Information	
S3-010502	Proposed response on LS S3z0100109 from SA2 on the usage of public user identifiers and the assignment of S-CSCFs	Siemens	5.3	Discussion / Decision	S3-010550
S3-010503	Proposed response to LS S3z010105 from CN4 on signalling for user authentication	Siemens	5.3	Discussion / Decision	S3-010522
S3-010504	Requested changes to TS 33.203 v060 concerning security mode set-up	Siemens	7.3	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010505	OSA spec 29.198-03 version 4.2.0				
S3-010506	Resubmission of TD S3-010317: Review of OSA Security - some issues for SA3 to consider	BT	7.9	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010507	Resubmission of TD S3-010368: Local Security Association Distribution	Alcatel	7.1	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010508	Reply to comments on MAPSec-DOI		7.1		
S3-010509	Integrity Protection: Mechanism for SIP-level solution	Nortel Networks, Ericsson, Nokia	7.3	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010510	Resubmission of TD S3z010072: Draft of 33.800 with MAPsec Rel5 material	NDS Rapporteur	7.1		
S3-010511	LS sent to CN WG1 from SA WG3 after e-mail approval.	IMS ad-hoc	7.3	Information	
S3-010512	Resubmission of TD S3z010118: Confidentiality of SIP signalling between UE and P-CSCF	Hutchison 3G UK	7.3	Discussion / Decision	S3-010536
S3-010513	Proposed CR to 33.107: Alignment of TS 33.107 for Release 5 to previous Releases (Rel-5)	SA WG3-LI	5.1	Approval	
S3-010514	Proposed CR to 33.107: Correct the MO-SMS and MT-SMS events (R99)	SA WG3-LI	5.1	Approval	
S3-010515	Proposed CR to 33.107: Correct the MO-SMS and MT-SMS events (Rel-4)	SA WG3-LI	5.1	Approval	
S3-010516	Proposed CR to 33.107: Correct the MO-SMS and MT-SMS events (Rel-5)	SA WG3-LI	5.1	Approval	
S3-010517	Proposed CR to 33.107: Source of PDP context initiation (Rel-4)	SA WG3-LI	5.1	Approval	
S3-010518	Proposed CR to 33.107: Source of PDP context initiation (Rel-)	SA WG3-LI	5.1	Approval	
S3-010519	Response to LS from CN1 (N1-011052) on using a generic authentication scheme for SIP	SA WG3	5.3	Approval	
S3-010520	Requested changes to TS 33.203 v060 concerning network initiated authenticated re-registrations	Siemens	7.3	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010521	Draft report of TSG SA meeting #13: version 0.0.5	Secretary	5.2	Information	
S3-010522	Response to LS S3z010105 from CN4 on signalling for user authentication	Siemens	5.3	Discussion / Decision	S3-010540
S3-010523	Reply LS on the rejection of 2G AKA by 3G ME with USIM in UTRAN	SA WG3	5.3	Approval	
S3-010524	Response to LS from CN1 (N1-011430/S3-010452) Liaison Statement on Usage of Private ID	SA WG3	5.3	Approval	S3-010539

TD number	Title	Source	Agenda	Document for	Replaced by
S3-010525	Response to LS from RAN2 (R2-011763) on Guidance Needed Concerning Security Mode Reconfiguration	SA WG3	5.3	Approval	S3-010555
S3-010526	Response to SA2 LS on Cell ID in SIP messages (S3-010420)	SA WG3	5.6/7.1	Approval	
S3-010527	32.215 version 4.0.0	SA WG5	5.3	Review	
S3-010528	Proposed Updates to Structures of SADB and SPD on MAPSec	Huawei Technologies CO., LTD/CWTS	7.1	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010529	Update Proposal on Security Domain	Huawei Technologies CO., LTD/CWTS	7.2	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010530	Application Level Security Framework for Terminals	Ericsson	5.1	Information	
S3-010531	Reply to S3-010451: LS to T WG3 on USIM/ISIM functional independence issues	G. Rose	5.3	Approval	
S3-010532	Reply to S3-010419 - LS to SA WG1 on DRM issues	P. Howard	5.3		
S3-010533	Extract of e-mail from C Brookson on GSMA and 33.900	Secretary	5.5	Information	
S3-010534	Rev of CR in S3-010459			Approval	
S3-010535	Rev of CR in S3-010460			Approval	
S3-010536	WITHDRAWN - Duplicated				S3-010546
S3-010537	LS to RAN WG2 on HFN Reset and THRESHOLD	SA WG3	6.1	Approval	S3-010556
S3-010538	CR012 to 33.200: MEA encryption algorithm update	SA WG3	7.1	Approval	
S3-010539	Response to LS from CN1 (N1-011430/S3-010452) Liaison Statement on Usage of Private ID	SA WG3	5.3	Approval	
S3-010540	Response to LS from CN4 on signalling for user authentication	SA WG3	5.3	Approval	
S3-010541	CR to 33.200 v4.1.0: Protection Profiles correction	SA WG3	7.1	Approval	
S3-010542	CR to 33.200 v4.1.0: Policy configuration clarification	SA WG3	7.1	Approval	
S3-010543	WITHDRAWN				
S3-010544	Security Association Management in the IMS	Siemens	7.3	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010545	aSIP-Access Security for IP-Based Services	Ericsson		Presentation	
S3-010546	Confidentiality of SIP signalling between UE and P-CSCF	Hutchison 3G UK	7.3		
S3-010547	Response to LS S2-012311, LS N1-011332 on the use of Network Domain Security for protection of SIP signalling messages.	SA WG3	7.3	Approval	S3-010557
S3-010548	Reply to S3-010451: LS to T WG3 on USIM/ISIM functional independence issues	SA WG3	5.3	Approval	
S3-010549	Proposed CR to 33.200 v 4.1.0: The Soft Lifetime for the MAPsec SA (revised after drafting session)	Drafting Group	7.1	Approval	S3-010560
S3-010550	Response to LS S2-012456 from SA2 on Security aspects for IMS related to Authentication	Siemens	5.3	Discussion / Decision	S3-010551
S3-010551	Response to LS S2-012456 from SA2 on Security aspects for IMS related to Authentication	Siemens	6.3	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010552	CR to 21.133: Definition of UICC	Telia	7.3	Approval	S3-010558
S3-010553	Revision of S3-010520				
S3-010554	Reply to S3-010451: LS to T WG3 and SA WG1 on USIM/ISIM functional independence issues	SA WG3	5.3	Approval	

TD number	Title	Source	Agenda	Document for	Replaced by
S3-010555	Response to LS from RAN2 (R2-011763) on Security Mode Reconfiguration	SA WG3	5.3	Approval	
S3-010556	LS to RAN WG2 on HFN Reset and THRESHOLD	SA WG3	6.1	Approval	
S3-010557	Response to LS S2-012311, LS N1-011332 on the use of Network Domain Security for protection of SIP signalling messages.	SA WG3	7.3	Approval	
S3-010558	CR to 21.133: Definition of UICC (R99)	SA WG3	7.3	Approval	
S3-010559	CR to 21.133: Definition of UICC (Rel-4)	SA WG3	7.3	Approval	
S3-010560	Proposed CR to 33.200 v 4.1.0: The Soft Lifetime for the MAPsec SA (revised after drafting session)	SA WG3	7.1	Approval	
S3-010561	LS to CN WG5 : Comments on inconsistencies concerning cryptographic algorithms	SA WG3 (P. Howard)	–	e-mail Approval	S3-010574 (meeting#21 for info)

Annex C: Status of specifications under SA WG3 responsibility**NOTE: The Editors are not all accurate - please provide the secretary with an update with the correct Editors.**

Specification			Title	Editor	Rel
TR	01.31	7.0.1	Fraud Information Gathering System (FIGS); Service requirements; Stage 0	Wright, Tim	R98
TR	01.31	8.0.0	Fraud Information Gathering System (FIGS); Service requirements; Stage 0	Wright, Tim	R99
TR	01.33	7.0.0	Lawful Interception requirements for GSM	McKibben, Bernie	R98
TR	01.33	8.0.0	Lawful Interception requirements for GSM	McKibben, Bernie	R99
TS	01.61	6.0.1	General Packet Radio Service (GPRS); GPRS ciphering algorithm requirements	Walker, Michael	R97
TS	01.61	7.0.0	General Packet Radio Service (GPRS); GPRS ciphering algorithm requirements	Walker, Michael	R98
TS	01.61	8.0.0	General Packet Radio Service (GPRS); GPRS ciphering algorithm requirements	Walker, Michael	R99
TS	02.09	3.1.0	Security Aspects	Christoffersson, Per	Ph1
TS	02.09	4.5.1	Security Aspects	Christoffersson, Per	Ph2
TS	02.09	5.2.1	Security Aspects	Christoffersson, Per	R96
TS	02.09	6.1.1	Security Aspects	Christoffersson, Per	R97
TS	02.09	7.1.1	Security Aspects	Christoffersson, Per	R98
TS	02.09	8.0.1	Security Aspects	Christoffersson, Per	R99
TS	02.31	7.1.1	Fraud Information Gathering System (FIGS) Service description; Stage 1	Wright, Tim	R98
TS	02.31	8.0.1	Fraud Information Gathering System (FIGS) Service description; Stage 1	Wright, Tim	R99
TS	02.32	7.1.1	Immediate Service Termination (IST); Service description; Stage 1	Wright, Tim	R98
TS	02.32	8.0.1	Immediate Service Termination (IST); Service description; Stage 1	Wright, Tim	R99
TS	02.33	7.3.0	Lawful Interception; Stage 1	McKibben, Bernie	R98
TS	02.33	8.0.1	Lawful Interception; Stage 1	McKibben, Bernie	R99
TS	03.20	3.3.2	Security-related Network Functions	Nguyen Ngoc, Sebastien	Ph1
TS	03.20	3.0.0	Security-related Network Functions	Nguyen Ngoc, Sebastien	Ph1-EXT
TS	03.20	4.4.1	Security-related Network Functions	Nguyen Ngoc, Sebastien	Ph2
TS	03.20	5.2.1	Security-related Network Functions	Nguyen Ngoc, Sebastien	R96

TS	03.20	6.1.0	Security-related Network Functions	Nguyen Ngoc, Sebastien	R97
TS	03.20	7.2.0	Security-related Network Functions	Nguyen Ngoc, Sebastien	R98
TS	03.20	8.1.0	Security-related Network Functions	Nguyen Ngoc, Sebastien	R99
TS	03.31	7.0.0	Fraud Information Gathering System (FIGS); Service description; Stage 2	Wright, Tim	R98
TS	03.31	8.0.0	Fraud Information Gathering System (FIGS); Service description; Stage 2	Wright, Tim	R99
TS	03.33	7.2.0	Lawful Interception; Stage 2	McKibben, Bernie	R98
TS	03.33	8.1.0	Lawful Interception; Stage 2	McKibben, Bernie	R99
TS	03.35	7.0.0	Immediate Service Termination (IST); Stage 2	Wright, Tim	R98
TS	03.35	8.1.0	Immediate Service Termination (IST); Stage 2	Wright, Tim	R99
TS	21.133	3.1.0	Security threats and requirements	Christoffersson, Per	R99
TS	21.133	4.0.0	Security threats and requirements	Christoffersson, Per	Rel-4
TS	22.022	3.1.0	Personalisation of Mobile Equipment (ME); Mobile functionality specification	Nguyen Ngoc, Sebastien	R99
TS	22.022	4.0.0	Personalisation of Mobile Equipment (ME); Mobile functionality specification	Nguyen Ngoc, Sebastien	Rel-4
TS	33.102	3.9.0	3G security; Security architecture	Vinck, Bart	R99
TS	33.102	4.2.0	3G security; Security architecture	Vinck, Bart	Rel-4
TS	33.103	3.7.0	3G security; Integration guidelines	Blanchard, Colin	R99
TS	33.103	4.2.0	3G security; Integration guidelines	Blanchard, Colin	Rel-4
TS	33.105	3.8.0	Cryptographic Algorithm requirements	Chikazawa, Takeshi	R99
TS	33.105	4.1.0	Cryptographic Algorithm requirements	Chikazawa, Takeshi	Rel-4
TS	33.106	3.1.0	Lawful interception requirements	Wilhelm, Berthold	R99
TS	33.106	4.0.0	Lawful interception requirements	Wilhelm, Berthold	Rel-4
TS	33.106	5.0.0	Lawful interception requirements	Wilhelm, Berthold	Rel-5
TS	33.107	3.3.0	3G security; Lawful interception architecture and functions	Wilhelm, Berthold	R99
TS	33.107	4.1.0	3G security; Lawful interception architecture and functions	Wilhelm, Berthold	Rel-4
TS	33.107	5.0.0	3G security; Lawful interception architecture and functions	Wilhelm, Berthold	Rel-5
TS	33.108	none	Lawful Interception; Interface between core network and law agency equipment	Wilhelm, Berthold	Rel-5
TS	33.120	3.0.0	Security Objectives and Principles	Wright, Tim	R99
TS	33.120	4.0.0	Security Objectives and Principles	Wright, Tim	Rel-4
TS	33.200	4.1.0	Network Domain Security - MAP	Escott, Adrian	Rel-4

TS	33.201	none	Access domain security	Pope, Maurice	Rel-5
TS	33.203	0.4.0	Access Security for IP based services	Boman, Krister	Rel-5
TS	33.210	none	Network Domain Security - IP	Koien, Geir	Rel-5
TR	33.800	0.3.5	Principles for Network Domain Security	Escott, Adrian	Rel-4
TR	33.800	none	Principles for Network Domain Security	VACANT,	Rel-5
TR	33.900	0.4.1	Guide to 3G security	Brookson, Charles	Rel-5
TR	33.901	3.0.0	Criteria for cryptographic Algorithm design process	Blom, Rolf	R99
TR	33.901	4.0.0	Criteria for cryptographic Algorithm design process	Blom, Rolf	Rel-4
TR	33.902	3.1.0	Formal Analysis of the 3G Authentication Protocol	Horn, Guenther	R99
TR	33.902	4.0.0	Formal Analysis of the 3G Authentication Protocol	Horn, Guenther	Rel-4
TR	33.903	none	Access Security for IP based services	VACANT,	Rel-4
TR	33.903	none	Access Security for IP based services	VACANT,	Rel-5
TR	33.904	none	Report on the Evaluation of 3GPP Standard Confidentiality and Integrity Algorithms	VACANT,	Rel-4
TR	33.908	3.0.0	3G Security; General report on the design, specification and evaluation of 3GPP standard confidentiality and integrity algorithms	Walker, Michael	R99
TR	33.908	4.0.0	3G Security; General report on the design, specification and evaluation of 3GPP standard confidentiality and integrity algorithms	Walker, Michael	Rel-4
TR	33.909	4.0.1	3G Security; Report on the design and evaluation of the MILENAGE algorithm set; Deliverable 5: An example algorithm for the 3GPP authentication and key generation functions	Walker, Michael	Rel-4
TS	35.201	3.1.2	Specification of the 3GPP confidentiality and integrity algorithms; Document 1: f8 and f9 specifications	Walker, Michael	R99
TS	35.201	4.0.0	Specification of the 3GPP confidentiality and integrity algorithms; Document 1: f8 and f9 specifications	Walker, Michael	Rel-4
TS	35.202	3.1.2	Specification of the 3GPP confidentiality and integrity algorithms; Document 2: Kasumi algorithm specification	Walker, Michael	R99
TS	35.202	4.0.0	Specification of the 3GPP confidentiality and integrity algorithms; Document 2: Kasumi algorithm specification	Walker, Michael	Rel-4
TS	35.203	3.1.2	Specification of the 3GPP confidentiality and integrity algorithms; Document 3: Implementors' test data	Walker, Michael	R99
TS	35.203	4.0.0	Specification of the 3GPP confidentiality and integrity algorithms; Document 3: Implementors' test data	Walker, Michael	Rel-4
TS	35.204	3.1.2	Specification of the 3GPP confidentiality and integrity algorithms; Document 4: Design conformance test data	Walker, Michael	R99
TS	35.204	4.0.0	Specification of the 3GPP confidentiality and integrity algorithms; Document 4: Design conformance test data	Walker, Michael	Rel-4
TR	35.205	4.0.0	3G Security; Specification of the MILENAGE Algorithm Set: An example algorithm set for the 3GPP authentication and key generation functions f1, f1*, f2, f3, f4, f5 and f5*; Document 1: General	Walker, Michael	Rel-4
TS	35.206	4.0.0	3G Security; Specification of the MILENAGE algorithm set: An example algorithm Set for the 3GPP Authentication and Key Generation functions f1, f1*, f2, f3, f4, f5 and f5*; Document 2: Algorithm specification	Walker, Michael	Rel-4
TS	35.207	4.0.0	3G Security; Specification of the MILENAGE algorithm set: An example algorithm Set for the 3GPP Authentication and Key Generation functions f1, f1*, f2, f3, f4, f5 and f5*; Document 3: Implementors' test data	Walker, Michael	Rel-4

TS	35.208	4.0.0	3G Security; Specification of the MILENAGE algorithm set: An example algorithm Set for the 3GPP Authentication and Key Generation functions f1, f1*, f2, f3, f4, f5 and f5*; Document 4: Design conformance test data	Walker, Michael	Rel-4
TR	35.909	4.0.0	3G Security; Specification of the MILENAGE algorithm set: an example algorithm set for the 3GPP authentication and key generation functions f1, f1*, f2, f3, f4, f5 and f5*; Document 5: Summary and results of design and evaluation	Walker, Michael	Rel-4
TR	41.031	4.0.1	Fraud Information Gathering System (FIGS); Service requirements; Stage 0	Wright, Tim	Rel-4
TR	41.033	4.0.1	Lawful Interception requirements for GSM	McKibben, Bernie	Rel-4
TS	41.061	4.0.0	General Packet Radio Service (GPRS); GPRS ciphering algorithm requirements	Walker, Michael	Rel-4
TS	42.009	4.0.0	Security Aspects	Christoffersson, Per	Rel-4
TS	42.031	4.0.0	Fraud Information Gathering System (FIGS) Service description; Stage 1	Wright, Tim	Rel-4
TS	42.032	4.0.0	Immediate Service Termination (IST); Service description; Stage 1	Wright, Tim	Rel-4
TS	42.033	4.0.0	Lawful Interception; Stage 1	McKibben, Bernie	Rel-4
TS	43.020	4.0.0	Security-related Network Functions	Gilbert, Henri	Rel-4
TS	43.031	4.0.0	Fraud Information Gathering System (FIGS); Service description; Stage 2	Wright, Tim	Rel-4
TS	43.033	4.0.0	Lawful Interception; Stage 2	McKibben, Bernie	Rel-4
TS	43.035	4.0.0	Immediate Service Termination (IST); Stage 2	Wright, Tim	Rel-4

Annex D: List of CRs to specifications under SA WG3 responsibility agreed at this meeting

Spec	CR	Rev	Phase	Subject	Cat	Cur Vers	WG meeting	WG TD	WG status
21.133	002		R99	Definition of UICC	F	3.1.0	S3-20	S3-010558	agreed
21.133	003		Rel-4	Definition of UICC	A	4.0.0	S3-20	S3-010559	agreed
33.102	156		R99	Annex F.2 (changing list parameters) modification	F	3.9.0	S3-20	S3-010455	agreed
33.102	157		Rel-4	Annex F.2 (changing list parameters) modification	A	4.2.0	S3-20	S3-010456	agreed
33.102	158		R99	Sequence Number Management Corrections	F	3.9.0	S3-20	S3-010534	agreed
33.102	159		Rel-4	Sequence Number Management Corrections	A	4.2.0	S3-20	S3-010535	agreed
33.102	160		R99	SQNMS retrieval in AuC during resynchronisation.	F	3.9.0	S3-20	S3-010457	agreed
33.102	161		Rel-4	SQNMS retrieval in AuC during resynchronisation.	A	3.9.0	S3-20	S3-010458	agreed
33.107	009		Rel-4	Start of secondary interception of an active PDP context	F	4.1.0	S3-20	S3-010485	agreed
33.107	010		Rel-5	Start of secondary interception of an active PDP context	A	5.0.0	S3-20	S3-010486	agreed
33.107	011		Rel-5	Alignment of TS 33.107 for Release 5 Network Architecture	C	5.0.0	S3-20	S3-010513	agreed
33.107	012		R99	Correct the MO-SMS and MT-SMS events	F	3.3.0	S3-20	S3-010514	agreed
33.107	013		Rel-4	Correct the MO-SMS and MT-SMS events	A	4.1.0	S3-20	S3-010515	agreed
33.107	014		Rel-5	Correct the MO-SMS and MT-SMS events	A	5.0.0	S3-20	S3-010516	agreed
33.107	015		Rel-4	Source of PDP context initiation	F	4.1.0	S3-20	S3-010517	agreed
33.107	016		Rel-5	Source of PDP context initiation	A	5.0.0	S3-20	S3-010518	agreed
33.200	012		Rel-4	MEA encryption algorithm update	F	4.1.0	S3-20	S3-010538	agreed
33.200	013		Rel-4	Use of 'Original component identifier' during MAPsec processing	F	4.1.0	S3-20	S3-010471	agreed
33.200	014		Rel-4	Protection Profiles correction	F	4.1.0	S3-20	S3-010541	agreed
33.200	015		Rel-4	Policy configuration clarification	F	4.1.0	S3-20	S3-010542	agreed
33.200	016		Rel-4	The Soft Expiry Time for the MAPsec SA	F	4.1.0	S3-20	S3-010560	agreed

Annex E: List of Liaisons

E.1 Liaisons to the meeting

TD number	Title	Source TD	Comment/Status
S3-010410	Reply LS on "Using a generic authentication scheme for SIP"	N1-011052	Response in TD S3-010519 (approved)
S3-010411	Liaison Statement on 3GPP User Profiles	N4-010917	Noted
S3-010412	LS to SA3 on Signalling for user authentication	N4-010969	Response in TD S3-010540 (approved)
S3-010413	LS on Guidance Needed Concerning Security Mode Reconfiguration	R2-011763	Response in TD S3-010525 (approved)
S3-010414	Reply to LS on New feature for SAT originated SMS	S1-010773	Noted
S3-010415	Liaison Statement on "IMS security and UE functionality split"	S1-010863	Covered after consideration of related contributions (TD S3-010548)
S3-010416	IP Based Multimedia Services Framework Report	S1-010869	Forwarded to LI group for consideration of LI parts. Relevant sections should also be reviewed by SA WG3 delegates
S3-010417	Reply to SA2 LS on Cell ID in SIP messages	S1-010872	Noted . Requires further study in SA WG3
S3-010418	LS on Multimedia Broadcast/Multicast Service (MBMS)	S1-010876	Noted
S3-010419	LS on "Digital Rights Management"	S1-010877	Response in TD S3-010532 (approved)
S3-010420	LS on Cell ID in SIP messages	S2-011697	Noted . See also TD S3-010417.
S3-010421	LS in reply to SA2 Liaison "WI on the End-to-End QoS Architecture for Release 5" (S2-011098)	S5-010412	Noted
S3-010422	Reply to LS on basic and advanced services examples (S1-010271/ S5-010302)	S5-010413	Noted
S3-010423	Use of the phrase "X interface"	S5-010416	Noted
S3-010424	MMS digital rights management	T2-010634	Noted
S3-010425	Transmission of user identity from a GGSN to MMS Relay/Server	T2-010606	Noted
S3-010428	LS on stage 1 for Extended Streaming Service	S1-010837	Noted . Should be reviewed by SA WG3 delegates and comments input to SA WG1 via SA WG1 colleagues.
S3-010431	Liaison statement on requirements on Multimedia Broadcast/Multicast Service	GP-011913	Noted
S3-010432	LS response to SA3 on "Using a generic authentication scheme for SIP"	N4-010968	Noted
S3-010433	LS from SA WG2: Response to LS S3-010403 on the use of Network Domain Security for protection of SIP signalling messages from SA WG3.	S2-012311	Discussed and noted.
S3-010434	LS on Security aspects of the 3GPP push service	S2-012423	Noted . Delegates to provide contribution to the next meeting concerning Push architectures using document embedded in this LS as a basis

TD number	Title	Source TD	Comment/Status
S3-010435	LS from SA WG2: Security aspects for IMS related to Authentication	S2-012456	Response in TD S3-010551 (approved)
S3-010436	LS on Digital Rights Management (DRM) requirements for PSS Rel-5	S4-010534	Noted
S3-010437	Reply to LS on stage 1 for Extended Streaming Service	S4-010535	Noted
S3-010438	LS on "Access Point Name" usage	S5-010555	Noted . Delegates to review TS 32.215 and provide comments to the next meeting
S3-010439	Liaison Statement on "Flows related to Authenticated Registrations and Re-Registrations"	N1-011250	Noted
S3-010440	Reply LS on rejection of 2G AKA by 3G ME with USIM in UTRAN	N1-011264	Response in TD S3-010523 (approved)
S3-010441	Liaison Statement on privacy of IPv6 addresses allocated to terminals using the IM CN subsystem	N1-011313	Noted
S3-010442	Response to LS "On the use of Network Domain Security for protection of SIP signalling messages" (N1-011041 or S3-010403)	N1-011332	Discussed and noted .
S3-010443	Response to Liaison Statement on "Progressing the work in SA3 and CN1 on the IP Multimedia core network subsystem"	N1-011344	Noted
S3-010444	LS from T WG2 to SyncML Requesting DevMan Update	T2-010722	Noted
S3-010445	LS from T WG2: Response to T2-010617 (LS from SA WG2 on Cell ID in SIP messages)	T2-010823	Noted
S3-010446	LS from T WG2 to S3 cc S5, S1, T3 on Security Needs for Terminal Applications	T2-010831	Noted . Further study needed on SyncML: Any delegate with material for discussion should also send it to the SA WG3 e-mail list
S3-010447	LS from T WG2: Response to SA5 on Multiple Aspects of Device Management	T2-010856	Noted
S3-010448	Liaison Statement from T WG2 on Extended Streaming Service	T2-010859	Noted
S3-010449	Liaison statement in response to LS S3-010226 regarding revision of MExE security analysis activity WID proposed in S3-010228	T2-010690	Noted . See also TD S3-010530
S3-010451	Liaison Statement on IMS identifiers and ISIM or TSIM	T3-010613	Response in TD S3-010554 (approved)
S3-010452	Liaison Statement on Usage of Private ID	N1-011430	Response in TD S3-010539 (approved)
S3-010453	LS on the WID: AMR-WB Speech Service - Core Network Aspects	NP-010540	Forwarded to LI group.
S3-010454	PKCS#15 support for MExE in the USIM	T2-010692	Noted

E.2 Liaisons from the meeting

TD number	Title	Comment/Status	TO	CC
S3-010416	IP Based Multimedia Services Framework Report	Forwarded to LI group for consideration of LI text. 16/10/2001	LI	
S3-010453	LS on the WID: AMR-WB Speech Service - Core Network Aspects	Forwarded to LI group 16/10/2001	LI	
S3-010519	Response to LS from CN1 (N1-011052) on using a generic authentication scheme for SIP	Approved. Sent to CN1 17/10/2001	CN1	
S3-010523	Reply LS on the rejection of 2G AKA by 3G ME with USIM in UTRAN	Approved. Sent to CN1 17/10/2001	CN1	SA1, T2, T3, GSMA- SG
S3-010526	Response to SA2 LS on Cell ID in SIP messages	Approved.	SA1, SA2	CN1, CN4, T2, R2, GERAN2
S3-010532	Initial comments on digital rights management	Approved. TD419, 424, 436 and SP-010577 attached	SA1, SA4, T2	SA2
S3-010539	Response to LS from CN1 (N1-011430/S3-010452) Liaison Statement on Usage of Private ID	Approved. Sent to CN1 17/10/2001	CN1	CN4, SA1, SA2, SA5
S3-010540	Response to LS from CN4 on signalling for user authentication	Approved. Sent to CN1 17/10/2001	CN4	SA2, CN1
S3-010554	Reply to T3-010613 and S1-010863: LS to T WG3 and SA WG1 on USIM/ISIM functional independence issues	Approved.	T3, S1	EP SCP, T2, SA2
S3-010551	Response to LS S2-012456 from SA2 on Security aspects for IMS related to Authentication	Approved.	SA2	CN1, CN4
S3-010555	Response to LS from RAN2 (R2-011763) on Security Mode Reconfiguration	Approved.	RAN2	
S3-010556	LS to RAN WG2 on HFN Reset and THRESHOLD	Approved. TD474, 476 attached	RAN2	
S3-010557	Response to LS S2-012311, LS N1-011332 on the use of Network Domain Security for protection of SIP signalling messages.	Approved.	SA2, CN1	CN4

27-30 November, 2001

Sophia Antipolis, France

Source: Secretary

Title: Draft report of joint SA WG3/T WG3 meeting on ISIM 26th November 2001

Information

Opening of the meeting

The meeting was opened by Mr. V Niemi, Nokia, who chaired the meeting.

2 Roll call of delegates

The delegates present introduced themselves to the rest of the group.

3 Agreement of meeting objectives and agenda

This is a joint meeting that SA3 has arranged with T3 to discuss issues around the ISIM concept. The objective is to find a common view on the way forward in ISIM specification work. The draft agenda, provided in [TD S3-010639](#) was introduced by the Chairman and approved.

4 Assignment of input documents

The Chairman introduced the identified documents for the meeting and their respective agenda items and some additional documents were provided to the meeting.

5 Presentation of the role of ISIM in the IMS security architecture (SA3)

[TD S3-010640](#): aSIP-Access Security for IP-Based Services. This was presented by Krister Boman, who clarified that this was a personal presentation, and had not been fully reviewed by SA WG3, SA WG3 delegates were asked to review it and provide comments to the author.

It was agreed that the CK is always provided to the UE, independent of the need for authentication.

6 UICC support for ISIM (T3) 570,584

[TD S3-010570](#): Liaison Statement on IMS identifiers and ISIM. This LS and attached WI description had been approved by T WG3 and was to be forwarded to the TSG T Plenary in December 2001 for approval. The attached document (WID) was considered. It was noted that the finalisation dates for the deliverables was rather late for Rel-5.

[TD S3-010584](#): T3 ISIM working assumptions. This liaison was presented by the rapporteur, J. Norris, Vodafone and described the UICC architecture alternatives identified. It was noted that SA WG3 had not considered case 1 (USIM only) but had looked at cases similar to cases 2 and 3. Further contributions were provided under other agenda items and this presentation was taken into account for further discussion. It was clarified that in the final slide, first bullet point should read “**ISIM specification TS 31.XXX**” (Typographical error). It was generally agreed that more than 1 use case would be needed in order to provide an acceptable solution. Use case 3 was considered necessary for Access Independence. It was also mentioned that from T WG3 perspective, use cases 2 and 3 are equivalent.

7 Relevant LSs

576,585,595,599

TD S3-010576: LS on IMS identifiers and ISIM and USIM. This was presented by Vodafone and discussed along with the attachment in TD S3-012818. After some discussion it was realised that other contributions should be considered on this subject and the LS revisited – the LS was noted for the moment.

It was agreed that some of the assumptions provided by CN WG1 would need to be removed if Use case 1a is to be supported.

TD S3-010585: LS from CN WG1 on IMS identifiers: Response to: LS (S2-013067) on IMS identifiers and ISIM and USIM. This was presented by Vodafone, and provides the CN WG1 "guess" of the place to obtain fields for SIP inputs, until the actual UICC contents are specified. After some discussion it was realised that other contributions should be considered on this subject and the LS revisited and the CN WG1 assumptions revisited in light of these. **<RETURN AFTER OTHER CONTRIBS>**

TD S3-010595: Liaison Statement on UE functionality split. The addressed groups were asked to review the draft on UE functional split. It was agreed that this should be dealt with by T WG3 and SA WG3 main meetings, rather than this joint session, and was therefore noted and postponed to other groups.

TD S3-010596: RE: LS on IMS identifiers and ISIM and USIM (S2 Tdoc S2-013067). This was provided for information and was noted. The information had already been provided by T WG3 delegates at the joint session.

TD S3-010599: Definition of the UICC. This was presented by Gemplus. It was agreed that this should be reviewed in the SA WG3 meeting in order to check alignment of CRs already agreed by SA WG3 and the proposals provided by T WG3. The contribution was therefore noted and postponed to SA WG3.

8 ISIM functionality and parameters

580, 641, 624, 625

TD S3-010580: ISIM Application. This was presented by Gemplus. The attached ISIM draft was briefly presented, it was produced by modifying the USIM specification to cover ISIM requirements. Delegates were asked to consider this document after the meeting.

Agreements upon the bullet points:

- 1 *In TS 33.203 the ISIM is responsible for handling the keys etc. tailored to the IM CN SS. In TS 23.228 and TS 24.228 however, the USIM seems to be given this role. In S2, there are discussions going on about access independence for IMS and thus defining an ISIM independent from the USIM.*

It is most likely that this latter option will be chosen.

The meeting agreed that this should be ISIM - i.e. 23.228 and 24.228 should be updated.

- 2 *A Service profile is attached to one or more public ID's and to one Private ID. In the case of access independence, i.e. obtaining access to the same service via different terminals, each with an ISIM, these ISIMs should bare the same private Identity. Is this allowed?*

The meeting agreed that this should be allowed (for each Private Identity there should be only 1 ISIM).

- 3 *It is not defined yet if the algorithms and keys used for IMS are different than the ones defined in the USIM*

There is no requirement that the algorithms and master keys shall be different.

- 4 *Are there other functions that can be allocated to the ISIM, like phonebook, 'call control', operator preferences, ISIM Application Toolkit, generation of Call-ID, etc.?*

From the SA WG3 point of view, there is no position on this. This should be raised in other groups to see if there are any requirements for this.

TD S3-010624: Parameters stored on a UICC card for IMS services. This was presented by Ericsson and discussed the different parameters specified in TS 33.203 and TS 33.102.

ISIM "plastic roaming", where the authentication would not be required for an ISIM terminal change (as for the USIM) - where the same security association is continued was questioned as to whether this would be a requirement. It was thought that SA WG1 should decide whether this is required, and the security aspects of this re-considered.

The assumption that the same functions (f1, f1*, f2, ...) would be used should be made more explicit to avoid confusion in other groups.

The issue of storing the Home Domain Name in the UICC needs further consideration, depending on its intended use. (Draft TS 24.228 was consulted, which currently stated that HDN was stored in the USIM). It was considered that SA WG2 and CN WG1 should be consulted if any change or clarification is needed over this requirement as no security requirements for this have so far been identified. It was agreed to include this into a LS to SA WG2 / CN WG1. The user should not be able to enter the Private ID or the HDN. It was concluded after a long discussion that the parameters which shall be stored on the ISIM for identified security reasons and those parameters which should be stored there for other reasons (e.g. practicality for changing terminals and denial of service attack reduction) should be identified to ensure other groups can see the implications of where these parameters are stored and make a decision based on services and architecture. It was agreed to write a liaison on this to SA WG1, SA WG2 and CN WG1 (cc: T WG3) which was provided to the SA WG3 meeting in [TD S3-010xxx](#) **<RETURN >**

[TD S3-010625](#) Use of a R99 or Rel-4 USIM application on a UICC card for IMS services. This was presented by Ericsson and contained an analysis based upon the proposal to re-use SIM cards for the introduction of GPRS, in order to avoid the re-distribution of SIM cards - similar to the re-use of UICCs to include IMS application functionality. The assumption 4) that the IMS will de-register on power off was questioned and discussed. It was considered for further study in SA WG3.

[TD S3-010641](#) On the use of R99/Rel-4 USIMs for IMS access. This was presented by Vodafone and discusses whether it would be possible to re-use the USIM to provide IMS security and associated issues.

IMS Private ID and HDN: The production of Private identities by use of, e.g. the IMSI and whether a reversible or one-way function could be used to derive this. It was concluded that the issue of using the IMSI, and therefore exposing it more to eavesdropping, required further security analysis.

IMS public Identity: It was reported that there was no requirement to store the MSISDN on the USIM at present, and that it in some cases may be input by the user.

Storage of IMS-specific integrity keys: It was noted that this requirement would require further study for the impacts of storing IMS keys on the ME.

Re-use of security functions for UMTS and IMS: Different Authentication Keys would be used for UMTS and IMS as the algorithms are run independently and result in different keys.

After some further discussion, it was generally agreed that the requirements from other groups on the need for this needed to be sought before actual solutions are developed. It was also **agreed** that only **option 1a) OR 1b), or neither**, (see Use case 1, [TD S3-010584](#)) should be adopted, in order to minimise the number of options to work on. An LS to CN WG1 and SA WG2 should be created to ask for justification of the requirements.

9 AOB 600

[TD S3-010600](#) General Purpose Authenticator via Mobile Phone. There was no time to deal with this document during the joint session and was forwarded to the SA WG3 meeting.

10 Closing of meeting (estimated 16:00)

The Chairman summarised the agreements of the meeting for inclusion in the Liaison to other groups to be created during the SA WG3 meeting for transmission to the other groups during the week. The agreements were summarised as follows:

- 1 Related to S3-010584: Use Cases 2 and 3 were agreed as necessary (these cases are equivalent from a T WG3 viewpoint. Use Case 1b was considered by T WG3 viewpoint to be very close to Use Case 2.**

"Middle case" using OTA to update pre-Rel-5 cards.

either Use Case 1a OR the "Middle Case", or neither of these two, should be supported. Some of CN WG1 assumptions need to be removed if Use Case 1a is adopted.

- 2 Agreements shown to Bullet Points in TD S3-010580 (See agenda Item 8).**

- 3 User should not be able to modify/enter the IMPI or Home Domain Name due to user-friendliness, erroneous entry of IDs and DoS attack potential.
- 4 The Parameters that SHALL be included in the ISIM application (Security reasons) and those which may be best included in the ISIM application for other reasons to be identified.

SHALL be in ISIM application: IMPI; Home Network Domain Name; Support for SQN used in the context of IMS domain; Algorithms and Authentication Key (K).

FOR FURTHER STUDY (Depends on the final mechanisms for protecting SIP signalling): Security Keys (CK, IK); KSI, equivalent to the START parameter; AMF related data.

- 5 Identified Issues with Use Case 1a: Potential increased signalling due to re-synchronisations; Derivation of Private ID from the IMSI / Protection of IMSI from eavesdropping; Increased potential for DoS attacks; Lack of Public Identity - MSISDN not compulsory in the USIM so cannot always derive IMPU from this. Some initial solutions were proposed and discussed.
- 6 NON-SECURITY RELATED ISSUES: "plastic" roaming, i.e. support for changing the terminal; Cost of supported features in terminals; Cost of OTA provisioning; Cost of re-issue of cards and management of card distribution; restrictions on further developments of IMS Security Architecture and IMS in general; Number of options to be supported in general.

The Chairman thanked the SA WG3 and T WG3 delegates who attended the meeting for their good spirit of discussion and closed the meeting.

27-30 November 2001

Sophia Antipolis, France

Source: Secretary 3GPP TSG-SA WG3

Title: Draft Report of meeting #21

Document for: Comment

Contents

1	Opening of the meeting.....	3
2	Meeting objectives and approval of the agenda	3
3	Assignment of input documents.....	3
4	Reports from 3GPP SA3 meetings	3
4.1	S3#20, 16-19 October 2001, Sydney	3
4.2	Joint meeting with T3, 26 November 2001, Sophia Antipolis	3
5	Reports and liaisons from other groups.....	3
5.1	3GPP SA3 lawful interception sub-group	3
5.2	3GPP SA plenary.....	4
5.3	3GPP working groups.....	4
5.4	Others (e.g. ETSI SAGE, ETSI MSG, GSMA, TIA TR-45).....	6
6	Technical specifications and reports.....	7
6.1	Security architecture (TS 33.102).....	7
6.2	f8 and f9 specification (TS 35.201).....	7
6.3	MAP security Rel-4 (TS 33.200).....	7
7	Work items	7
7.1	MAP security Rel-5 (draft TR 33.800, MAPsec DoI)	7
7.2	IP network layer security (draft TS 33.210)	8
7.3	IP multimedia subsystem security (draft TS 33.203).....	9
7.4	Security aspects of network configuration hiding	12
7.5	Visibility and configurability of security	13
7.6	Guide to 3G security (TR 33.900).....	13
7.7	GERAN security.....	13
7.8	MExE security.....	13
7.9	OSA security.....	13
7.10	UE functionality split	13
7.11	Presence Service	13
8	Proposed work items.....	13
8.1	Support for subscriber certificates	13
9	Review and update of work programme	14
10	Future meeting dates and venues	14
11	Any other business.....	14

12 Close of meeting 14

Annex A: List of attendees at the SA WG3#20 meeting and Voting List..... 15

A.1 List of attendees 15

A.2 SA WG3 Voting list 16

Annex B: List of documents 17

Annex C: Status of specifications under SA WG3 responsibility 21

Annex D: List of CRs to specifications under SA WG3 responsibility agreed at this meeting 24

Annex E: List of Liaisons..... 25

E.1 Liaisons to the meeting..... 25

E.2 Liaisons from the meeting..... 25

Annex F: List of Actions from the meeting..... 27

1 Opening of the meeting

Michael Walker, SA WG3 Chairman opened the meeting and welcomed delegates to Sophia Antipolis, France. Due to other commitments of the Chairman, the meeting was Chaired by M. Walker from 27-28 November, and by the Vice Chairman, V. Niemi from 29-30 November.

2 Meeting objectives and approval of the agenda

The objectives and priorities for the meeting were outlined by the Chairman:

To complete all necessary work for the December 2001 TSG SA meeting #14:

- To complete IMS Security Architecture document TS 33.203, to be presented to TSG SA#14 for information;
- To complete the NDS/IP security document TS 33.210, to be presented to TSG SA#14 for information
- To agree CRs to Rel-4 of MAP security TS 33.200 and to stabilise the Rel-5 version to be presented to TSG SA#14 as a document showing the expected content of Rel-5 for information (CRs to be created for approval at TSG SA#15).

Therefore the priorities were to start the meeting with the approval of the report from SA WG3#20, then to extract the relevant LSs on the above Specifications and deal with these first (sections 7.1 to 7.3).

[TD S3-010562](#) Draft Agenda for meeting #21. The draft agenda was introduced by the Chairman and **approved**. (Note, some additional agenda items were included later, as documents were found to need a separate item, this is reflected in the section numbering of this report).

3 Assignment of input documents

The available documents were assigned to their respective agenda items, taking into account the urgent items to be dealt with early in the meeting.

4 Reports from 3GPP SA3 meetings

4.1 S3#20, 16-19 October 2001, Sydney

[TD S3-010563](#) Draft report of meeting #20. The report was reviewed and minor changes were made to the report and the actions in the report reviewed. The final version will be placed on the FTP server as version 1.0.0.

4.2 Joint meeting with T3, 26 November 2001, Sophia Antipolis

V. Niemi, the Chairman of the joint session with T WG3, provided a verbal report in advance of the written report being made available (still in need of editing). He introduced the output LS from the joint session, which needed speedy transmission to the groups meeting the same week in Cancun. This was provided in [TD S3-010642](#) "Draft Response LS on IMS identifiers and ISIM and USIM". It was stressed that this was a result of the joint session, and had not been discussed fully by SA WG3. This was discussed and modified in [TD S3-010647](#) which was **approved** and distributed during the meeting.

5 Reports and liaisons from other groups

5.1 3GPP SA3 lawful interception sub-group

[TD S3-010613](#) Report of the 3GPP TSG SA WG3-LI (S3-LI) meeting #5/01 on lawful interception Aspen, Colorado 30 October – 1 November 2001. This was presented by B. Wilhelm and outlined the important issues and **reported that the LI group no longer had a Chairman** due to the current Chairman resigning at the last meeting and asked **companies to consider providing candidates** for this important position.

[TD S3-010609](#) 3GPP TS 33.108 (Version 0.2.1). This was provided for information and **noted**. Delegates were asked to check the draft TS and provide comments and contribution to the LI group.

[TD S3-010612](#) Proposed CR to 33.107: Source of PDP context initiation (Rel-5). This CR was **approved**. Note: It was discovered after the meeting that this CR had already been approved at meeting#20, [TD S3-010518](#) (corresponding to the Rel-4 CR in [TD S3-010517](#)).

[TD S3-010610](#) Proposed CR to 33.107: Inter-SGSN RA update with active PDP context (Rel-5). This was **withdrawn** by LI group pending production of corresponding Rel-4 and Release 1999 CRs.

[TD S3-010611](#) Revised Work Item Description (revision of SP-000309). This Rel-4 WI was **approved**.

[TD S3-010614](#) Overview of differences and gaps of Lawful Interception between legacy telecommunication and multimedia call scenarios (presentation). This was presented by B. Wilhelm. It provided a good overview of the differences between legacy systems and multimedia systems and current problems with intercepting in multimedia scenarios. These issues were brought to the attention of SA WG3 in order to consider that changes may be required to meet lawful interception requirements in the future. Delegates were invited to study the issues and consider directions to provide solutions. The presentation was then **noted**.

5.2 3GPP SA plenary

There had been no meeting of TSG SA since the last SA WG3 meeting.

5.3 3GPP working groups

[TD S3-010573](#) Liaison Statement on Security of Rel5 IP Transport in UTRAN. This was presented by Nokia and asked SA WG3 to confirm the working assumption of RAN WG3 that the Rel-5 IP UTRAN transport networks can be seen as closed environments. A contribution related to this was provided by Nokia in [TD S3-010618](#) "*Proposed Changes to 33.210 about the scope*" which was considered. It implied that the Rel-5 IP UTRAN was not a closed environment and that SA WG3 will work on providing the necessary security. It was suggested that as the protection of the lu interface had been out of the scope for Rel-5 until now, that there was not time to include IP UTRAN protection for Rel-5 at this late date. The proposal in [TD S3-010618](#) was discussed and comments included in an updated version provided in [TD S3-010656](#) which was **agreed**. Nokia agreed to draft a LS in response to RAN WG3 was provided in [TD S3-010657](#) which was updated in [TD S3-010662](#) and was **approved** (transmitted immediately for RAN WG3 consideration at their meeting the same week).

[TD S3-010564](#) Liaison Statement on AMR-WB and Legal Interception. This LS was intended for the LI group and was **forwarded to the LI group for handling at their next meeting**.

[TD S3-010565](#) LS to GSM-A TWG/SERG "regarding User Profile". This was presented by the Chairman and discussed to check the security requirements on the GUP draft. It was agreed that more information on the GUP should be sought:

Action 21/1: Colin Blanchard to contact the editor of the GUP draft to determine the background and the rationale for the requirements in the security section (section 6)

Action 21/2: Steward Ward to invite Paul Henry to give SA WG3 a briefing on GUP work.

[TD S3-010568](#) LS on Message size limitation for f9 algorithm. It was clarified that SA WG3 had specified the upper limit of bits for f9 processing after consultation with RAN WG3/RAN WG2 on the maximum message size. There is no reason for this limitation from a cryptographic point of view. It had been verified by SAGE that removing this limit did not adversely affect the cryptographic aspects of f9.

The SAGE representative, Per Christoffersson, confirmed that removal of the upper limit of the number of bits had been checked in ETSI SAGE and there was no problem found.

The CR to 33.105 ([TD S3-010187](#)) was endorsed by ETSI SAGE earlier and the ETSI SAGE Chairman had sent a message to SA WG3 Secretary and the SAGE Representative, stating that there was no foreseen problem with this CR. The LS was noted and a response LS confirming this was provided in [TD S3-010682](#) "LS to RAN WG2: Response to S3-010568 confirming changes requested". This LS reviewed and **approved**.

[TD S3-010680](#) (replacement of [TD S3-010601](#)) Proposed CR to 35.201: Correct the maximum input message length for f8 and f9 (Rel-99). This CR was updated in [TD S3-010689](#) and was **approved**.

[TD S3-010681](#) (replacement of [TD S3-010602](#)) Proposed CR to 35.201: Correct the maximum input message length for f8 and f9 (Rel-4). This CR was updated in [TD S3-010690](#) and was **approved**.

[TD S3-010571](#) LS from T WG2: VASP MMS Connectivity. This was presented by the Chairman and requested guidance and information about the existence for plans for end-to-end encryption of traffic between terminals and external applications or encryption of links between MMS relay/Server and a VASP or Gateway. The reply would depend on the type of traffic, and if NDS/IP traffic, then this may be covered by the NDS/IP security but other traffic types could not be guaranteed to be secured by the normal 3GPP operator-operator security, which is based on a known trust model. Lawful interception issues were also identified with the introduction of external application providers using encryption for protection. The LI group were asked to consider this and the LS was forwarded to them for their next meeting (Berthold Wilhelm to take this to the meeting). A reply LS with the requested guidance from SA WG3 was provided in [TD S3-010683](#) which was updated editorially in [TD S3-010698](#) which was **approved**.

[TD S3-010572](#) LS from RAN WG3: WID: AMR-WB Speech Service – Core Network Aspects. This was presented by Vodafone, and was provided for information to SA WG3. The LS was **noted**.

[TD S3-010574](#) LS to CN WG5: Comments on TS 29.198. This had been approved by e-mail after meeting#20 and sent to CN WG5 and was **noted**. A response was received in [TD S3-010661](#) which was introduced by the Chairman. CN WG5 informed SA WG3 that they intend to enhance the encryption algorithm data type, to include more recent encryption algorithms. CN WG5 asked SA WG3 to review and approve the proposed updates in the attached CR. The CR was reviewed and it was considered that a note should be added after the data type definition table stating that the P_DES_56 and P_DES_128 algorithms are no longer considered adequate for use. Other problems were also recognised, and it was considered that the should be updated to include the requirements intended by SA WG3 in their original LS. A response LS was produced in [TD S3-010685](#) informing CN WG5 that the CR is not acceptable to SA WG3 as it is incomplete and does not fully reflect the requirements intended in SA WG3 LS to them. Companies who are in the list of supporting companies for the related WI were requested to ensure that this is progressed before the next SA WG3 meeting, by communicating with CN WG5 colleagues. C. Blanchard and B. Owen agreed to brief the CN WG5 delegates from their companies. This LS was updated in [TD S3-010696](#) and was **approved**.

P. Howard agreed to set up an e-mail discussion on this in order to produce a proposal for a CR to 29.198 for CN WG5. The discussion should take [TD S3-010506](#) from meeting #20 as background material. It was agreed that the e-mail discussion closed on 18 January 2002, final comments on the output proposed CR by 25 January 2002.

Action 21/3: P. Howard to set up an e-mail discussion on this in order to produce a proposal for a CR to 29.198 for CN WG5.

[TD S3-010575](#) LS from SA WG2 on Enhanced user privacy for location services. This was presented by Nokia and asked SA Wg3 to study the Enhanced user privacy for location services Draft and provide comments and feedback to SA WG1 and SA WG2. It was **agreed** that this would need some time to study and an e-mail discussion group should be set up.

Action 21/4: Steward Ward to start off an e-mail discussion on Location Services Privacy and report back to SA WG3 meeting #22.

[TD S3-010587](#) Liaison Statement from SA WG1 on 3GPP Generic User Profile Stage 1. This was presented by the Chairman and was **noted**.

[TD S3-010590](#) Liaison Statement from SA WG1 on Revised Push Service Stage 1. This was presented by the Chairman and asked SA WG3 to review the attached updates to the draft specification and provide comments and updates to the security parts. It was proposed that the stage 1 should be concentrated on before looking at the stage 2 requirements to ensure that the basis is correct for the requirements. A response LS to SA WG1 and SA WG2 was provided in [TD S3-010686](#) which was updated in [TD S3-010700](#) and **approved**.

[TD S3-010591](#) Reply from SA WG1 to LS SA WG2 on "Privacy Override Indicator". This was presented by Nokia and asks SA WG3 to consider the potential security aspects if Privacy Override is applied between countries. It was decided to forward this LS to the LI group as it is also applicable to Lawful Interception. From the Emergency Services viewpoint, further discussion in the e-mail debate run by Steward Ward in the action 21/4 above (re: [TD S3-010575](#)). A response LS was provided to inform SA WG1 that the issue required further discussion was provided in [TD S3-010687](#) which was updated in [TD S3-010697](#) and was **approved**.

[TD S3-010592](#) Liaison Statement from SA WG1 on DRM. This was presented by the Chairman and informs SA WG3 that SA WG1 are working on DRM and would like to work with SA WG2, SA WG3 and SA WG4 on DRM requirements. The LS was [noted](#).

[TD S3-010594](#) Answer to LS on requirements on Multimedia Broadcast/Multicast Service. This was presented by the Chairman and was copied to SA WG3 for information. It was commented that the use of ciphering and integrity protection on broadcast messages would need consideration by SA WG3. The draft of TS 22.146 was considered in the previous meeting in [TD S3-010418](#) where it was noted.

Action 21/5: A. Escott agreed to check the draft TS 22.146 and determine if any input is needed and report back to the next SA WG3 meeting.

The LS was then [noted](#).

[TD S3-010598](#) Mail received from TSG CN Chairman on IETF Dependencies table. This was presented by Ericsson and informed 3GPP members of a table to track 3GPP dependencies on IETF documents. The table was attached and briefly checked. Item 32 was marked as "Nice to have" which was taken to mean that the Rel-5 work could continue without the finalised document. Delegates were asked to review the document and contact Stephen Hayes (TSG CN Chairman) with any errors or omissions. The contribution was then [noted](#).

5.4 Others (e.g. ETSI SAGE, ETSI MSG, GSMA, TIA TR-45)

SAGE: Per Christoffersson reported no developments in ETSI SAGE for 3GPP related work since the previous meeting.

GSMA: C Brookson the Chairman of the GSMA SG gave a verbal report. The SG is discussing items including security for GPRS, Wireless LANs and M-Commerce. It was [noted](#) that the GSMA SG had supported the encryption indicator for 3GPP (see [TD S3-010597](#)).

COMP128 now exists in three forms:

- COMP128-1 is the original version, subject to the well-known attacks;
- COMP128-2 is the variant introduced which overcomes the problems of COMP128-1;
- COMP128-3 is the variant that produces a 64-bit key. No known infrastructure issues now exist for the support of a 64-bit key.

It is hoped that COMP128-4 will be introduced sometime next year, and it will be similar to 3GPP MILENAGE.

A5/3: [TD S3-010677](#) Approval of A5/3 formally by SA WG3. 3GPP coordination committee and 3GPP and GSMA lawyers had come to agreement and the design of A5/3 can now go ahead. SA WG3 were asked to approve the development of A5/3 and record it in the minutes of the meeting to allow the development to be formally carried out. It was clarified that KASUMI would be a wrapper of the A5/3 algorithm, so A5/3 is a variant based on KASUMI. **SA WG3 formally approved the development of A5/3 by ETSI SAGE.**

It was noted that A5/3 should be an open process, should be based on KASUMI with as little change as possible, and the intention was that it should support GPRS and EDGE.

The expected timescale was reported as 6 months from start of development, which is set for February 2002.

[TD S3-010597](#) Cipher indicators and selection options in UMTS. This was presented by C. Brookson and provided the GSMA view on rejection of non-ciphered connections as default operation. This was in line with the SA WG3 approved CR in [TD S3-010679](#), and the document was [noted](#).

SCP: [TD S3-010569](#) Liaison Statement on Technical Solution for Prepaid Cards Using Smart Cards with Real-Time Clock. SCP asked GSMA SCAG for views on charging capabilities in UICC. This was provided to SA WG3 for information, discussed briefly and [noted](#).

[TD S3-010621](#) Response to liaison from IP Cablecom on LI. **This was intended for the LI group for information and was forwarded to them for their next meeting.**

AHAG: G Rose gave a verbal report on developments of relevance to SA WG3 in AHAG. It had been decided to create a new 3GPP S-WG4. AHAG have decided to keep 3GPP S-WG2 in the loop but not to hand over the control of the Joint Control documents between AHAG and SA WG3.

Joint meetings with AHAG were hoped for and the next S-WG4 meeting is being held in Newport, CA and cannot be moved to the location of the AHAG/SA WG3 meeting in Victoria. Low attendance from AHAG is therefore expected at the joint meeting.

6 Technical specifications and reports

6.1 Security architecture (TS 33.102)

6.2 f8 and f9 specification (TS 35.201)

6.3 MAP security Rel-4 (TS 33.200)

[TD S3-010658](#) (revision of [TD S3-010606](#)) Proposed CR to 33.200: Removing the Sending PLMN-Id from Security Header (Rel-4). This was presented by Hutchison 3G UK, and had been postponed from meeting#20 ([TD S3-010471](#)). This CR was **approved**. A LS to CN WG4 was produced to inform them of this in [TD S3-010671](#) which was **approved**.

[TD S3-010643](#) Use of Push vs Pull Mechanisms in local SA distribution. This was presented by Alcatel and elaborates on the pros and cons of each possible approach for Push/Pull mechanisms, to show that the best solution is to adopt a default Push mechanism, supplemented by extensions for exceptional cases. **The proposal was adopted as a SA WG3 working assumption.**

[TD S3-010637](#) SA distribution mechanism for the Ze interface. This was presented by Siemens and proposed an "extended" push model for MAPsec SA distribution.

General requirements related to SA distribution over Ze: The general requirements given in the document were generally agreed by SA WG3. These requirements should be transmitted to TSG CN, with the remark that the security protocols have already been developed (assuming it is IP-based). It was agreed to include these requirements in the specification and attach the specification to the LS. The LS was provided in [TD S3-010672](#) which was reviewed and clarified in [TD S3-010692](#) which was **approved**.

NOTE: If the updated MAPsec Rel-5 draft is available in time, then M Pope to input to TSG CN#14 to support this LS.

Proposed SA distribution procedures: 'Extended' Push mechanism: There was some concern on the performance aspects of SA distribution using the mechanism. This was outside the scope of security requirements, and should be considered by other groups. It was **agreed** that the mechanism would be included in the MAPsec document and other groups could comment on the performance aspects if necessary.

[TD S3-010648](#) Comments on TS 33.200 R5 v0.1.0. This was provided by Alcatel. It was noted that the comments had been written to an earlier version of the draft and some had already been addressed in the present version. The changes were reviewed and explained and the relevant modifications should be included in the MAPsec document by the rapporteur.

It was clarified that the Rapporteur will provide an updated document for presentation to TSG SA#14 to provide information of the expected content of Rel-5, and official Rel-5 CR(s) would be approved in time for TSG SA#15 (March 2002).

[TD S3-010607](#) Proposed CR to 33.200: Completing the specification of a MAPsec SA (Rel-4). This was presented by Hutchinson 3G UK, was modified in [TD S3-010693](#) to clarify the "consequences if not approved" and the CR was **approved**.

7 Work items

7.1 MAP security Rel-5 (draft TR 33.800, MAPsec DoI)

[TD S3-010608](#) Update on changes to MAPsec Release 5. This was presented by the MAPsec Rapporteur (Hutchinson 3G) and described the changes made to the Rel-5 specification. It was commented that the text under section 7 was redundant and that some MAP DoI specifications had

been lost in the editing. This will be corrected by the Rapporteur. The contributions on MAP Security were then dealt with and the Rapporteur **agreed** to update the specification with agreed changes.

[TD S3-010615](#) draft-arkko-map-doi-04: The MAP Security Domain of Interpretation for ISAKMP. This was presented by the IETF liaison Rapporteur (Ericsson). SHA-256 had been chosen for the AES encryption and SA WG3 were asked to confirm the acceptance of this. The contribution from Siemens in [TD S3-010635](#) also needed decision for input to the MAP DoI (see below). The Port number needed to be fixed at some time, it was reported that receiving port numbers did not appear to present any problems.

[TD S3-010635](#) Protection Profiles Version Identification. This was presented by Siemens Atea and proposes the addition of a new identifier for Protection Profiles. The addition of a PPVI was proposed to allow Protection Groups (PGs) to be changed in different Releases in an Application Context way. Each Release may require different PGs to be added, which would be difficult without this identifier. Clarification on the meaning of MAP-NE versions was requested and the author agreed to do this in an associated proposed CR, for further discussion. This proposed CR was provided in [TD S3-010688](#). It was noted that this proposed a Rel-4 (Category F) change to add the new Identifier. This was modified slightly to PPRI and provided in [TD S3-010691](#) which was **approved**.

SHA-256: It was reported that this is only Draft at present and only has 96 bits. The IETF Rapporteur clarified that the SHA-256 is defined in the same internet draft as AES encryption, but that SHA-1 would also be acceptable. It was also clarified that this is only used for HMAC and SHA-256 has no advantage over SHA-1. The meeting **agreed** that SHA-1 should be chosen. The Rapporteur agreed to update the document according to agreements made here (including any agreement on [TD S3-010635](#) proposal for PPVI) and submit the draft to the IETF.

[TD S3-010695](#) Mapping of Ze-interface information onto the Zd-Interface. This was presented by Siemens Atea and proposes text to be included in TS 33.200 Rel-5. An error was noted in the added text to section 5.6.1, which should read: "The KAC shall **not** use the Key Length Attribute of the SA for IKE phase 2 as this information is implicitly available for the Partner KAC via the used TransFormID".

This contribution was **agreed** and the rapporteur agreed to try to include this information under section 7 of TS 33.200 Rel-5.

7.2 IP network layer security (draft TS 33.210)

[TD S3-010582](#) NDS/IP suggestions. This was presented by the Telenor and provides comments based on the report of SA WG3 meeting#20 report and the current draft of 33.210. The suggestions were discussed as follows:

- 1) To keep TS 33.210 NDS/IP as a framework for use of IPsec in the UMTS core network. It was proposed that this should be designed as a building block but in such a way that SA WG3 can keep control of the security. An informative annex on the use of the security protocol could be added in order to keep the control over the protocol within SA WG3. GTP-C was identified as a protocol which should be moved in this way.
- 2) Support for GTP-U and GTP Release 97/98 ? Contribution [TD S3-010617](#) "*Proposed changes to 33.210 about protecting GTP-U*" related to this and was discussed. It was recognised that there is no requirement for SA WG3 to protect GTP-U and the proposal adds a recommendation to protect GTP-U over public hops (as an operator option). After some discussion over the implications of this to the NDS/IP draft, the changes proposed in this contribution were **not accepted**. It was suggested that the protection of GTP-Rel97/98 should be purely informative (as this could not be mandated and the protection would need to extend to GTP-U for these systems as the GTP-C is not discernable). The use of NDS/IP for GTP-U protection was considered possible and it was decided to return to this. This was raised again under the review of the updated draft [TD S3-010670](#) where the protection of GTP-U using NDS/IP was mentioned as possible and left as outside the scope of the specification in a note.
- 3) Clause 5.3.1: Potential protection of IP payload which is currently disallowed. This suggestion was **withdrawn** by the author.
- 4) SEG discovery function: This was for Rel-6 and should be included in the Rel-6 update.
- 5) Minor Clarification on IKE: This was **accepted**.
- 6) This was for Rel-6 and was postponed.

[TD S3-010616](#) Proposed Changes to 33.210 about the ESP Algorithms. This was presented by Nokia and proposed addition of text for the support of ESP authentication transforms (new section 5.3.5). It was reported that there was a contribution from Ericsson proposing not to use AES-MAC and whether SHA-1 should be the only transform used. It was pointed out that AES is mandatory for encryption. It was **agreed** that the support of AES-MAC would be considered when it is available. Therefore SHA-1 will be supported and support of AES-MAC would become the subject of an editors' note. It was **agreed** that the statements provided should be limited to those that are supported and not to comment on the relative strength of other transforms. **The NDS/IP rapporteur undertook to update the document taking these agreements into account.**

[TD S3-010619](#) Resubmitted S3-010489: Proposed changes to 33.210 about defining the BG element. This was presented by Nokia. The Border Gateway was also subject of a contribution from Ericsson in [TD S3-010627](#), section 2.3 which was considered. Ericsson proposed that as BG applies only to PLMNs supporting GPRS, that it is not needed to mention it in this part of the document and that BG and SEG should be defined as two separate logical entities. Ericsson also suggested that SA WG3 review the meaning of "*adequate security*" in the BG context in order to clarify this.

It was proposed that the definitions of BG in 5.6.2 are removed and the relationship between BG and SEG are included in an informative annex by further contribution. **The NDS/IP Rapporteur agreed to try to add something into the GTP annex of the NDS/IP draft.** These contributions ([TD S3-010619](#) and section 2.3 of [TD S3-010627](#)) were then **noted**.

[TD S3-010626](#) On Definition of Za/Zb/Zc Interfaces. This was presented by Ericsson and proposed that the new SEG entity introduced by NDS/IP implied that new interfaces were introduced (interfaces Za, Zb and Zc). It proposes Za is mandatory and Zb and Zc optional, as they may not be required in some implementations. There was some discussion over the formulation of the implementation of Zb and Zc interfaces in order to allow operators to be able to specify their requirements to manufacturers and in the use of IKE over the Zb interface for maintenance of SAs where IPsec is supported. It was suggested that the Zb and Zc interfaces should be mandatory for implementation and optional for use by the operator. An exception was identified when the NEs are physically co-located, where securing this with IPsec would be unnecessary. It was concluded that as the SEG is a NE, then there was no real need for defining distinct Zb and Zc interfaces (between NE and SEG and NE-NE respectively).

It was agreed that the Zb and Zc interfaces should be merged into a single interfaces (the NDS/IP Rapporteur agreed to attempt to do this), and that the implementation of the Za and "merged" interface should be mandatory, while use would be optional (depending on the implementation of IPsec in the NEs). The contribution was updated to reflect these decisions in [TD S3-010659](#) which was re-presented by Ericsson (Note, the "merged" interface was called "Zb" and "Zc" was removed), some modifications were suggested and agreed and the NDS/IP Rapporteur agreed to include the finally agreed text in the NDS/IP draft.

[TD S3-010628](#) On Protection of IMS using NDS-IP. This was presented by Ericsson and proposed changes necessary to introduce how NDS-IP procedures shall be applied in order to protect the IMS CN SS into TS 33.210 and introduces sections 7.1 and 7.2 of the draft. The Rapporteur reminded the group that the content of this section had already been agreed to be inserted as an annex, rather than in the main part of the document. It was clarified that the points in the table X were Reference Points (as defined by TS 23.002), which should be made clear in the draft. It was **decided** that the list in the table should be removed and replaced by a reference to the list in TS 23.002. It was also **agreed** that the specification should state that all messages are protected except those specifically identified. The document was updated with these agreements in [TD S3-010660](#) for use by the NDS/IP Rapporteur. Some minor modifications were noted by the NDS/IP Rapporteur for inclusion in the NDS/IP draft.

[TD S3-010649](#) Comments on TS 33.210 v0.6.0. This was presented by Alcatel and suggested changes to clarify various parts of the draft. Some proposals were already covered in other discussions and the NDS/IP Rapporteur agreed to revisit these during implementation of agreed changes to the draft.

7.3 IP multimedia subsystem security (draft TS 33.203)

[TD S3-010644](#) Presentation on TS 33.203. This was presented by K. Boman (Ericsson), the Rapporteur for this work as an introduction to the work done on TS 33.203. It was **agreed** that Visibility and Configurability and the editors' note in section 5.3, Network Topology Hiding should be removed from the TS, as suggested in the presentation (see slide 4). It was also **agreed** that the issue of Network-initiated re-authentication (section 11.4.1.5, see slide 5) should be solved by a LS on this for TS 24.229 (K. Boman to produce). Due to lack of contribution for Rel-5, it was **agreed** to delete

IP-address anonymity from the document if no input is received at this meeting (pending handling of an LS to this meeting - [TD S3-010588](#)). The status of IETF documents are included in [TD S3-010598](#) and will be taken into account for the discussion on stability for the TS. It was recognised that the availability of the draft for TSG SA plenary (for information) was a very short time before the meeting started, and the **Rapporteur was asked that the document be sent to Mr. Pope on the Friday 14 December at the latest**. The timing for stability dependent upon the IETF specifications should be raised by the SA WG3 Chairman at the TSG SA plenary.

It was verified later in the meeting which of the issues given in the presentation had been covered by contributions and discussions, so that the stability of the draft for information to TSG SA#14 could be assessed. Not addressed: UE functional split, Hiding mechanisms (contributions under these agenda items had not been dealt with at the time of this review). It was concluded that the specification was suitably stable for sending to TSG SA for information in December 2001.

[TD S3-010566](#) Reply Liaison Statement On the use of Network Domain Security for protection of SIP signalling messages. This was provided to SA WG3 for information and was **noted**.

[TD S3-010570](#) This had been dealt with at the joint T WG3 session and was **noted**.

[TD S3-010577](#) This was briefly introduced by Ericsson and had been copied to SA WG3 for information, as SA WG3 had already responded on this issue. The LS was then **noted**.

[TD S3-010576](#) LS on IMS identifiers and ISIM and USIM. This had been dealt with at the joint T WG3 session and the response LS from this session (see [TD S3-010647](#)). It was recognised that further discussion would be necessary in SA WG3 itself, to consider the issues not relevant to the joint session. This was allocated under a new agenda item 7.10 "*UE functionality split*".

[TD S3-010578](#) Response to the LS S2-012896 from SA3 on Security Aspects related to the IMS Authentication. It was decided that this could be revisited when the requirements for Rel-6 are elaborated and the LS was **noted**.

[TD S3-010579](#) Draft TS 33.203 version 0.7.0: Access security for IP-based services (Rel-5). This was provided for information supporting the presentation given in [TD S3-010644](#), and not for particular review at the meeting. The TS was therefore **noted**.

[TD S3-010588](#) LS from SA WG1: RE: Liaison Statement on privacy of IPv6 addresses allocated to terminals using the IM CN subsystem. This was copied to SA WG3 and the response was reviewed. It was agreed that this is not a Rel-5 issue and the LS was **noted**.

[TD S3-010589](#) Response to: Liaison Statement on Usage of Private ID. This was provided for information and was briefly reviewed and **noted**.

[TD S3-010569](#) Liaison Statement from SCP on Technical Solution for Prepaid Cards Using Smart Cards with Real-Time Clock. This was provided for information and was **noted**

[TD S3-010593](#) LS from SA WG1: Presence Service requirements. This was reviewed to ascertain any impact on IMS security. It was decided that this is a separate WI and although it may have an impact on IMS, was not directly related to it. A separate Agenda item was created to deal with this: 7.11 "*Presence*".

[TD S3-010599](#) Definition of UICC. This had been briefly discussed at the joint T WG3 session and it was decided that SA WG3 should verify the definition of UICC and the alignment with the T WG3 request. This was considered a Rel-5 impact and was **noted**. (CRs for Release 1999 and Rel-4 were approved in SA WG3 meeting #20).

[TD S3-010629](#) P-CSCF resides in the home network. This was presented by Ericsson and proposed an update to 33.203 to align with SA WG2 text on the position of the P-CSCF. The contribution was discussed and it was recognised that some editorial modifications would be needed. The principles were **agreed** to be included in draft TS 33.203.

[TD S3-010630](#) P-CSCF initiated authentication. This was presented by Ericsson and discussed P-CSCF initiated authentication in relation to the SA WG2 Stage 2 documents. It proposes that this functionality is not well-developed at this time and that it is not included in the Rel-5 timeframe and that the editors' note related to this in 33.203 is removed. It was proposed that an LS should be sent to SA WG2 in order to confirm that there would be no impact due to charging events, etc. before finally deciding to remove this functionality from Rel-5. **SA WG3 therefore agreed a working assumption that P-CSCF triggered re-authentication can be removed from Rel-5, pending confirmation from**

SA WG2. The LS to SA WG2 was provided in [TD S3-010654](#) which was presented by P. Howard which was **approved** and distributed during the meeting.

[TD S3-010631](#) Lifetime of SA between UE and P-CSCF. This was presented by Ericsson and discussed the need for a separate timer to control the SA lifetime between UE and P-CSCF. It proposed that there should be no need for an additional SA lifetime timer in UE or P-CSCF and that the decision to initiate authentication can be done internally in the initiating entity and TS 33.203 should be updated to reflect this. The trigger to delete the SA in the P-CSCF was questioned. It was clarified that this should be standard SIP behaviour for complete registration cancellation (to be verified that this exists). The proposal was **accepted in principle**, and it needs to be added to the specification that the P-CSCF deletes the SA with the UE when the SA expires in the S-CSCF.

[TD S3-010632](#) Implicit registration of IMS User Public Identities, IMPU(s). This was presented by Ericsson and discussed the implications of the SA WG2 agreement (in S2-012997) that the service Profile can perform implicit registrations of IMS User Public IDs (IMPUs). TS 33.203 should reflect the need for the S-CSCF to receive all IMPU(s) that are implicitly registered. It was suggested that a similar contribution to the information is provided to the P-CSCF (to be discussed in [TD S3-010633](#)). It was agreed that an LS to CN WG2 should be provided to inform them of the implications of this change. The proposal was **provisionally accepted** pending discussions of [TD S3-010633](#) (later discussion of [TD S3-010633](#) did not change the status of this). The LS to CN WG2 was provided in [TD S3-010655](#) which was presented by K. Boman, modified slightly in [TD S3-010668](#) which was **approved** and distributed during the meeting.

[TD S3-010636](#) SIP application required to check IP address. This was presented by Siemens and proposed some text to be added to TS 33.203 regarding the processing of incoming messages. It was clarified that by use of IPsec, ESP tunnel-mode would be needed (Siemens needed to verify that this was the intention). The proposal was **provisionally accepted**, pending discussion of [TD S3-010633](#) (later discussion of [TD S3-010633](#) did not change the status of this). The implication that IPsec is being used and another mechanism would need to be provided for this in the annex of the mechanism at the SIP layer.

[TD S3-010603](#) EAP extension drafts – new versions. This was presented by Nokia, and detailed the latest changes to the IETF drafts for EAP extensions. The Public Key authentication was considered unacceptable security for the wider range of applications that the internet drafts will be used for. It was agreed that the IETF should be informed of the needs of 3GPP in order to use these internet drafts for UMTS security at the SIP layer.

It was reported that the drafts should be accepted in the December meeting of the IETF. SA WG3 should be able to take the relevant parts of the drafts even before the complete IETF specifications are completed. If any draft is not accepted, then the next opportunity would be the March 2002 meeting of IETF. 6 companies represented at the meeting indicated that there will be representation from their companies at the next IETF meeting in December.

It was clarified that the drafts go to the PPP and the SIP/SIPing working groups of the IETF.

[TD S3-010620](#) Extensible Authentication Protocol (EAP) progress in IETF. This was presented by Nokia and detailed the work on extensions to EAP that is progressing in the IETF.

The Key distribution as part of the authentication procedure was questioned, it was clarified that this is a technique to combine 2 (Kc) keys in order to produce a stronger authentication and generate longer keys - there was some reservation on the strength obtained from this technique. G. Rose **agreed** to analyse the draft to determine the validity of the approach.

Action 21/6: G. Rose to evaluate the EAP/SIM authentication technique to determine its validity for increased authentication strength.

SA WG3 delegates were asked to analyse the EAP/SIM work and documentation and provide comments to the next meeting - the IETF draft was provided for this purpose in [TD S3-010663](#). Nokia were thanked for bringing this information to the attention of SA WG3.

[TD S3-010604](#) Security Mechanism Agreement for SIP Connections. This was presented by the IETF liaison rapporteur for SA WG3 (Ericsson). The relationship with draft TS 33.203 was questioned, in particular whether the SA WG3 specifications will conform to the IETF standards. It was clarified that all the mechanisms use the option tag, which is a fully qualified domain name and this will allow anyone to negotiate their required security mechanisms (i.e. 3GPP systems can add the required mechanisms). Error cases are for further study in the IETF. Delegates were asked to provide comments to the rapporteur for progression of the document.

[TD S3-010605](#) draft-garcia-sipping-3gpp-reqs-02: 3GPP requirements on SIP. This was presented by the IETF liaison rapporteur for SA WG3 (Ericsson). Delegates were asked to provide comments to the rapporteur for progression of the document.

It was requested that the IETF members are made aware that these documents are so far still drafts.

[TD S3-010634](#) SIP Message Integrity Protection Work in IETF. This was presented by Nortel and detailed the internet draft that Nortel Networks have provided for submission to the IETF following a request from SA WG3 meeting#20. The draft was submitted to SA WG3 in advance and presented, detailing the issues, for discussion. It was commented that the replay mechanism given in this draft would need enhancement to be suitable and complete for use in the 3GPP specifications. Siemens saw a problem with the single counter replay protection scheme and provided an input explaining this in [TD S3-010664](#) "*Problems with the replay protection scheme in the SIP level integrity solution in Annex C of TS 33.203, v070*". The scenario explained the call loss problem and Nortel agreed to take this into consideration and provide a more robust solution to overcome the problem.

P. Howard also agreed to provide input on synchronisation problems he had identified in the draft.

[TD S3-010633](#) The "Fraudulent User" Attack Against the IMS. This was presented by Ericsson and described an attack scenario identified in current specifications. It was clarified that any authentication needs to be done with the Private ID, and cannot be done using a Public ID. The solutions provided in the contribution were discussed. **Delegates were invited to consider this attack scenario and possible solutions and contribute to the next meeting.** In addition, it was agreed to produce a LS to CN WG1 to outline the problem with implicitly registered Public IDs and some potential solutions being considered by SA WG3, which was provided in [TD S3-010667](#) which was modified to clarify the problem, and provided in [TD S3-010673](#). A draft version of this was displayed for discussion. It was decided to check the LSs related to this from other groups before deciding on the approval of this LS, as follows:

[TD S3-010567](#) Reply to Liaison Statement on Usage of Private ID. This was presented by Siemens and gave the CN WG4 questions on provision of the Public IDs in the P-CSCF. The LS was **noted**.

The final version of [TD S3-010673](#) was elaborated in a drafting group, and was presented by G. Horn. The LS was **approved**.

[TD S3-010665](#) LS to CN WG1: IMS Security. This was discussed and modified editorially and updated in [TD S3-010669](#) which was **approved** and distributed during the meeting.

[TD S3-010627](#) On defining NDS/IP traffic. This was presented by Ericsson, noting that section 2.3 on BG had been dealt with in conjunction with [TD S3-010619](#) under agenda item 7.2. The proposed changes were accepted and the NDS/IP Rapporteur was asked to include them in the draft. The updated draft was provided in [TD S3-010670](#) for review and was briefly introduced by the Rapporteur to provide an overview of the updates agreed and included in the draft and other issues that should be considered. This will be circulated by e-mail for final comment before forwarding to the TSG SA#14 for information. It was noted that Sections 6 and 7 will become Annexes B and C in the version distributed for e-mail. The updated draft was agreed for information to TSG SA#14 except for section 6.2, section 6.3 and section 7 which were left open are subject to change on the e-mail discussion.

[TD S3-010684](#) Discussion on EAP unsolicited response packets. This was presented by Qualcomm and discusses the view from a Qualcomm IETF delegate. It was recognised that there could be a problem and this and it needs further consideration. Ericsson and Nokia agreed to check the implications on time scales for 3GPP work. Qualcomm were thanked for inputting this and the contribution was then **noted**.

A proposal to hold an interim ad-hoc meeting on IMS was considered to progress the work in this area in time for finalisation for Rel-5. was agreed: 31 January - 1 February 2002.

7.4 Security aspects of network configuration hiding

[TD S3-010653](#) Mechanism to Hide Network Configuration. This was presented by Alcatel and discussed possible solutions for hiding network configuration. It was suggested that the required changes and extension to SIP implied here, that CN WG1 should be informed before taking action in order to get their analysis too. It was stated that the length of the header would grow as encryption is overlaid due to the MAC additions, it was clarified that the length grows as you pass more and more

nodes in any case, which reduces this problem. Also the normal cases would be peer-peer direct roaming and would not cause multiple encryption to occur. [TD S3-010586](#) which was also considered.

[TD S3-010586](#) (Pseudo) CR to 33.203: Network Hiding Mechanism. This was presented by AT&T Wireless and proposed a modification to 33.203 for network hiding. It was agreed to use this contribution as a basis for further elaboration, in order to have some indication in the draft to be presented to TSG SA for information. An editors note with the outstanding issues to be solved should be added, this list of issues was prepared by a drafting group and provided in [TD S3-010701](#) which was modified slightly and provided in [TD S3-010702](#) which was **agreed** for inclusion in the NDS/IP draft.

7.5 Visibility and configurability of security

[TD S3-010581](#) Proposed CR to 33.102: Configurability of cipher use (Rel-5). This was presented by Telia and had been submitted to meeting#20 and discussed over e-mail between meetings. The CR was updated in [TD S3-010674](#), which was modified slightly in [TD S3-010679](#) and **approved**. It was decided to send it to CN WG1 for comment on any impact to their specifications (copied to T WG2), and a LS was provided in [TD S3-010675](#) which was **approved**.

7.6 Guide to 3G security (TR 33.900)

7.7 GERAN security

7.8 MExE security

7.9 OSA security

7.10 UE functionality split

7.11 Presence Service

[TD S3-010593](#) Presence Service requirements. This was presented by the Chairman and requests SA WG3 to update their specifications in order to include security requirements for the Presence service. There was some concern expressed over this Stage 1 had been approved for Rel-5, when the service had not been considered before in SA WG3. It was agreed to start a security analysis on this service and an e-mail discussion would be set-up to study this, by D. Castellanos. A reply LS to SA WG1 was produced to inform them of this study group was provided in [TD S3-010699](#) which was **approved**.

Nokia requested that SA WG3 should start work on the Stage 2 security aspects in advance of the decision on whether the feature is Rel-5 or Rel-6 (to be decided by TSG SA). Delegates were encouraged to contribute on this work in order to complete the work in good time.

Action 21/7: D. Castellanos to set up an e-mail discussion on Presence service, with support from Nokia, Telenor and Vodafone.

[TD S3-010576](#) The ISIM issues were dealt with in the joint session with T WG3, and this meeting considered the other issues included in the attachment. The LS was then **noted**.

[TD S3-010595](#) Liaison Statement on UE functionality split. This was considered and there were concerns over the meaning of much of the document, as to whether there will be any termination of call control on the TE. The document does state that the call control is on the MT, and much discussion ensued as to whether this disallowed the TE access to the network directly. The majority of delegates expressing a view assumed that the call control is wholly contained in the MT, and the functions in the TE shall have no impact on the IMS security (i.e. the TE does not access the ISIM). The MT must be GERAN, UTRAN or GSM. It was agreed to produce a LS response to SA WG1 which was provided in [TD S3-010703](#) which was **approved**.

8 Proposed work items

8.1 Support for subscriber certificates

[TD S3-010623](#) Proposed Work Item description: Support for subscriber certificates. This was presented by Nokia. There were various comments on the timescales and whether this should be

done by CRs to 33.102 or by creating a new specification. It was decided to leave this until further development of the work and leave a note in the WI sheet stating that a new TS may be used if needed instead of CRs. The WI description sheet was updated in [TD S3-010704](#) and was **approved**.

[TD S3-010600](#) General Purpose Authenticator via Mobile Phone. This was provided for information and was **noted**.

[TD S3-010622](#) Using PKI to provide network domain security. This was presented by Nokia and was **noted**.

9 Review and update of work programme

10 Future meeting dates and venues

Ad-hocs to progress the work for Rel-5 were agreed as follows:

NDS/IP ad-hoc 31 Jan 2002, Antwerp, Belgium.

MAPsec ad-hoc 31 Jan 2002, Antwerp, Belgium.

IMS security (aSIP) 1.5 days afternoon 31 Jan - 1 Feb 2002 (16.00 finish), Antwerp, Belgium.

(M Pope to make the invitation in conjunction with Olivier Paradiens, Alcatel).

G. Horn reported a potential problem in availability of Hotel rooms during the Munich meeting in October 2002. He agreed to check availability on the intended week and surrounding weeks and make a suggestion on the e-mail if a change is found desirable.

Meeting	Date	Location	Host
NDS/IP ad-hoc (Rel-5)	31 Jan 2001	Antwerp, Belgium	Alcatel
MAPsec ad-hoc (Rel-5)	31 Jan 2001	Antwerp, Belgium	Alcatel
IMS security (aSIP) ad-hoc	31 Jan (pm) - 01 Feb 2001	Antwerp, Belgium	Alcatel
S3#22	26 Feb - 1 March 2002	Bristol, UK	Orange
S3#23 + AHAG	14 - 17 May 2002	Victoria, Canada	AT&T Wireless
S3#24	9 - 12 July 2002	Helsinki, Finland (TBC)	Nokia
S3#25	15 - 18 October 2002	Munich, Germany (TBC)	Siemens (TBC)

11 Any other business

There were no items discussed under this agenda item.

12 Close of meeting

The Chairman (V. Niemi was Chairman for the second half of the meeting) thanked the delegates for their hard work and good co-operation during the meeting and the host, ETSI, for the meeting venue and closed the meeting.

Annex A: List of attendees at the SA WG3#20 meeting and Voting List

A.1 List of attendees

Name	Company	e-mail	3GPP ORG	
Mr. Nigel Barnes	MOTOROLA Ltd	Nigel.Barnes@motorola.com	GB	ETSI
Mr. Colin Blanchard	BT Group Plc	colin.blanchard@bt.com	GB	ETSI
Mr. Marc Blommaert	SIEMENS ATEA NV	marc.blommaert@siemens.atea.be	BE	ETSI
Mr. Krister Boman	ERICSSON L.M.	krister.boman@emw.ericsson.se	SE	ETSI
Mr. Charles Brookson	DTI	cbrookson@iee.org	GB	ETSI
Mr. Daniel Brown	Motorola Inc.	adb002@email.mot.com	US	T1
Mr. Steve Canning	CESG	steve.canning@CESG.GSI.GOV.UK	GB	ETSI
Mr. David Castellanos	ERICSSON L.M.	david.castellanos-zamon@ece.ericsson.se	SE	ETSI
Mr. Takeshi Chikazawa	Mitsubishi Electric Co.	chika@isl.melco.co.jp	JP	ARIB
Mr. Per Christoffersson	TELIA AB	per.e.christoffersson@telia.se	SE	ETSI
Mr. Stephen Duttall	AT&T Wireless Services, Inc.	steve.duttall@northstream.se	US	T1
Dr. Adrian Escott	Hutchison 3G UK Limited	adrian.escott@hutchison3G.com	GB	ETSI
Mr. Jean-Bernard Fischer	OBERTHUR CARD SYSTEMS S.A.	jb.fischer@oberthurcs.com	FR	ETSI
Ms. Tao Haukka	NOKIA Corporation	tao.haukka@nokia.com	FI	ETSI
Mr. Guenther Horn	SIEMENS AG	guenther.horn@mchp.siemens.de	DE	ETSI
Mr. Peter Howard	VODAFONE Group Plc	peter.howard@vodafone.com	GB	ETSI
Mr. Rafal Jaczynski	POLKOMTEL S.A.	rafal.jaczynski@polkomtel.com.pl	PL	ETSI
Mr. Geir Koién	TELENOR AS	geir-myrdahl.koién@telenor.com	NO	ETSI
Mrs. Tiina Koskinen	NOKIA Corporation	tiina.s.koskinen@nokia.com	FI	ETSI
Mr. Alexander Leadbeater	BT Group Plc	alex.leadbeater@bt.com	GB	ETSI
Mr. Tomi Mikkonen	SSH Communications Security	tomi.mikkonen@ssh.com	FI	ETSI
Mr. Sebastien Nguyen Ngoc	France Telecom	sebastien.nguyennhoc@rd.francetelecom.com	FR	ETSI
Mr. Valteri Niemi	NOKIA Corporation	valteri.niemi@nokia.com	FI	ETSI
Mr. Petri Nyberg	SONERA Corporation	petri.nyberg@sonera.com	FI	ETSI
Mr. Bradley Owen	Lucent Technologies N. S. UK	bvowen@lucent.com	GB	ETSI
Mr. Olivier Paridaens	ALCATEL S.A.	Olivier.Paridaens@ALCATEL.BE	FR	ETSI
Miss Mireille Pauliac	GEMPLUS Card International	mireille.pauliac@gemplus.com	FR	ETSI
Mrs. Beatrice Peirani	GEMPLUS Card International	beatrice.peirani@gemplus.com	FR	ETSI
Mr. Maurice Pope	ETSI Secretariat	maurice.pope@etsi.fr	FR	ETSI
Mr. Greg Rose	QUALCOMM EUROPE S.A.R.L.	ggr@qualcomm.com	FR	ETSI
Mr. Teruharu Serada	NEC Corporation	serada@aj.jp.nec.com	JP	ARIB
Mr. Benno Tietz	MANNESMANN Mobilfunk GmbH	benno.tietz@d2vodafone.de	DE	ETSI
Mr. Lee Valerius	NORTEL NETWORKS (EUROPE)		GB	ETSI
Prof. Michael Walker	VODAFONE Group Plc	mike.walker@vodafone.com	GB	ETSI
Mr. Stuart Ward	ORANGE PCS LTD	stuart.ward@orange.co.uk	GB	ETSI
Ms. Monica Wifvesson	ERICSSON L.M.	Monica.Wifvesson@ecs.ericsson.se	SE	ETSI
Mr. Berthold Wilhelm	Brand Communications Ltd	berthold.wilhelm@regtp.de	GB	ETSI

A.2 SA WG3 Voting list

Based on the attendees lists for meetings #19, #20 and #21, the following companies are eligible to vote at SA WG3 meeting #22:

Company	Country	Status	Partner Org
ALCATEL S.A.	FR	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
AT&T Wireless Services, Inc.	US	3GPPMEMBER	T1
BUNDESMINISTERIUM FUR WIRTSCHAFT	DE	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
Brand Communications Ltd	GB	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
BT Group Plc	GB	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
Communications-Electronics Security Group	GB	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
Cingular Wireless LLC	US	3GPPMEMBER	T1
DTI - Department of Trade and Industry	GB	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
Telefon AB LM Ericsson	SE	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
France Telecom	FR	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
GEMPLUS Card International	FR	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
Hutchison 3G UK Limited	GB	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
KPN - Koninklijke PTT Nederland NV	NL	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
Lucent Technologies	US	3GPPMEMBER	T1
Lucent Technologies Network Systems UK	GB	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
MANNESMANN Mobilfunk GmbH	DE	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
Mitsubishi Electric Co.	JP	3GPPMEMBER	ARIB
Motorola Inc.	US	3GPPMEMBER	T1
MOTOROLA Ltd	GB	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
NEC Corporation	JP	3GPPMEMBER	ARIB
NOKIA Corporation	FI	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
NORTEL NETWORKS (EUROPE)	GB	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
NTT DoCoMo Inc.	JP	3GPPMEMBER	ARIB
OBERTHUR CARD SYSTEMS S.A.	FR	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
ORANGE PCS LTD	GB	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
POLKOMTEL S.A.	PL	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
QUALCOMM EUROPE S.A.R.L.	FR	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
SAMSUNG Electronics Research Institute	GB	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
SIEMENS AG	DE	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
SIEMENS ATEA NV	BE	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
SONERA Corporation	FI	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
SSH Communications Security Corp	FI	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
Telenor AS	NO	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
TELIA AB	SE	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI
VODAFONE Group Plc	GB	3GPPMEMBER	ETSI

Annex B: List of documents

TD number	Title	Source	Agenda	Document for	Replaced by
S3-010562	Draft Agenda for meeting #21	Chairman	2	Approval	
S3-010563	Draft report of meeting #20	Secretary	3	Approval	
S3-010564	Liaison Statement on AMR-WB and Legal Interception	CN WG4	5.3	Information	
S3-010565	LS to GSM-A TWG/SERG "regarding User Profile"	3GPP Joint ad-hoc on Generic User Profile (GUP)	5.3	Information	
S3-010566	Reply Liaison Statement On the use of Network Domain Security for protection of SIP signalling messages	CN WG4	7.3	Action	
S3-010567	Reply to Liaison Statement on Usage of Private ID	CN WG4	5.3	Information	
S3-010568	LS on Message size limitation for f9 algorithm	RAN WG2	5.3	Action	
S3-010569	Liaison Statement on Technical Solution for Prepaid Cards Using Smart Cards with Real-Time Clock	ETSI EP SCP	7.3	Information	
S3-010570	Liaison Statement on IMS identifiers and ISIM	T WG3	6 / 7.3	Discussion	
S3-010571	VASP MMS Connectivity	T WG2	5.3	Discussion / Guidance	
S3-010572	LS from RAN WG3: WID: AMR-WB Speech Service – Core Network Aspects	RAN WG3	5.3	Information	
S3-010573	Liaison Statement on Security of Rel5 IP Transport in UTRAN	RAN WG3	5.3	Action	
S3-010574	LS to CN WG5: Comments on TS 29.198	SA WG3	- / 5.3	Information	
S3-010575	LS on Enhanced user privacy for location services	SA WG2	5.3	Action	
S3-010576	LS on IMS identifiers and ISIM and USIM	SA WG2	7 / 7.10	Action	
S3-010577	Reply to Liaison Statement on Usage of Private ID	SA WG2	7.3	Information	
S3-010578	Response to the LS S2-012896 from SA3 on Security Aspects related to the IMS Authentication	SA WG2	7.3	Action	
S3-010579	Draft TS 33.203 version 0.7.0: Access security for IP-based services (Rel-5)	Rapporteur			
S3-010580	ISIM Application	Gemplus	8	Discussion	
S3-010581	Proposed CR to 33.102: Configurability of cipher use (Rel-5)	Telia		Approval	S3-010674
S3-010582	NDS/IP suggestions	Telenor		Discussion	S3-010670
S3-010583	Update information on 33.210-060	Geir M Køien, rapporteur		Presentation / Discussion	
S3-010584	T3 ISIM working assumptions	Jeremy Norris (Vodafone Ltd) USIM rapporteur	6	Discussion	
S3-010585	LS from CN WG1 on IMS identifiers: Response to: LS (S2-013067) on IMS identifiers and ISIM and USIM	CN WG1	7	Discussion	
S3-010586	(Pseudo) CR to 33.203: Network Hiding Mechanism	AT&T Wireless		Approval	
S3-010587	Liaison Statement on 3GPP Generic User Profile Stage 1	SA WG1	5.3	Information	
S3-010588	RE: Liaison Statement on privacy of IPv6 addresses allocated to terminals using the IM CN subsystem	SA WG1	7.3	Information	
S3-010589	Response to: Liaison Statement on Usage of Private ID	SA WG1	7.3	Information	
S3-010590	Liaison Statement on Revised Push Service Stage 1	SA WG1	5.3	Discussion	
S3-010591	Reply to LS on "Privacy Override Indicator"	SA WG1	5.3	Action	
S3-010592	Liaison Statement on DRM	SA WG1	5.3	Action	
S3-010593	Presence Service requirements	SA WG1	7.3	Action	
S3-010594	Answer to LS on requirements on Multimedia Broadcast/Multicast Service	SA WG1	5.3	Information	
S3-010595	Liaison Statement on UE functionality split	SA WG1	7 / 7.10	Action	
S3-010596	RE: LS on IMS identifiers and ISIM and USIM (S2 Tdoc S2-013067)	T WG2	7	Information	
S3-010597	Cipher indicators and selection options in UMTS	GSM Association SG		Information	
S3-010598	Mail received from TSG CN Chairman on IETF Dependancies table	Secretary SA WG3 (TSG CN Chairman)		Review / Comment	
S3-010599	Definition of the UICC	SA WG1	7	Action	
S3-010600	General Purpose Authenticator via Mobile Phone	Orange		Discussion	

TD number	Title	Source	Agenda	Document for	Replaced by
S3-010601	Proposed CR to 35.201: Correct the maximum input message length for f8 and f9 (Rel-99)	Siemens Atea		Approval	S3-010680
S3-010602	Proposed CR to 35.201: Correct the maximum input message length for f8 and f9 (Rel-4)	Siemens Atea		Approval	S3-010681
S3-010603	EAP extension drafts – new versions	Ericsson, Nokia		Information	
S3-010604	Security Mechanism Agreement for SIP Connections	Ericsson, Nokia, Nortel Networks		Information	
S3-010605	draft-garcia-sipping-3gpp-reqs-02: 3GPP requirements on SIP	Ericsson		Discussion	
S3-010606	Proposed CR to 33.200: Removing the Sending PLMN-Id from Security Header (Rel-4)	Hutchison 3G UK		Approval	S3-010658
S3-010607	Proposed CR to 33.200: Completing the specification of a MAPsec SA (Rel-4)	Hutchison 3G UK		Approval	S3-010693
S3-010608	Update on changes to MAPsec Release 5	Hutchison 3G UK		Information	
S3-010609	3GPP TS 33.108 (Version 0.2.1)	SA WG3-LI	5.1	Approval	
S3-010610	Proposed CR to 33.107: Inter-SGSN RA update with active PDP context (Rel-5). WITHDRAWN as Rel99,Rel4 CRs not available	SA WG3-LI	5.1	Approval	
S3-010611	Revised Work Item Description (revision of SP-000309)	SA WG3-LI		Approval	
S3-010612	Proposed CR to 33.107: Source of PDP context initiation (Rel-5)	SA WG3-LI		Approval	
S3-010613	Report of the 3GPP TSG SA WG3-LI (S3-LI) meeting #5/01 on lawful interception Aspen, Colorado 30 October – 1 November 2001	SA WG3-LI		Information	
S3-010614	Overview of differences and gaps of Lawful Interception between legacy telecommunication and multimedia call scenarios	SA WG3-LI		Presentation	
S3-010615	draft-arkko-map-doi-04: The MAP Security Domain of Interpretation for ISAKMP	Jari Arkko (Ericsson)		Discussion	
S3-010616	Proposed Changes to 33.210 about the ESP Algorithms	Nokia		Discussion / Decision	
S3-010617	Proposed changes to 33.210 about protecting GTP-U	Nokia		Discussion	
S3-010618	Proposed Changes to 33.210 about the scope	Nokia	5.3	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010619	Resubmitted S3-010489: Proposed changes to 33.210 about defining the BG element	Nokia		Discussion	
S3-010620	Extensible Authentication Protocol (EAP) progress in IETF	Nokia		Presentation	
S3-010621	Response to liaison from IPCablecom on LI	ETSI EP TIPHON		Action	
S3-010622	Using PKI to provide network domain security	Telenor, Nokia		Discussion	
S3-010623	Proposed Work Item description: Support for subscriber certificates	Nokia		Approval	S3-010704
S3-010624	Parameters stored on a UICC card for IMS services	Ericsson	8	Discussion	
S3-010625	Use of a R99 or REL-4 USIM application on a UICC card for IMS services	Ericsson	8	Discussion	
S3-010626	On Definition of Za/Zb/Zc Interfaces	Ericsson	7.2	Discussion / Decision	S3-010659
S3-010627	On defining NDS/IP traffic	Ericsson	7.2	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010628	On Protection of IMS using NDS-IP	Ericsson	7.2	Discussion / Decision	S3-010660
S3-010629	P-CSCF resides in the home network	Ericsson	7.3	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010630	P-CSCF initiated authentication	Ericsson	7.3	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010631	Lifetime of SA between UE and P-CSCF	Ericsson	7.3	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010632	Implicit registration of IMS User Public Identities, IMPU(s)	Ericsson	7.3	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010633	The “Fraudulent User” Attack Against the IMS	Dynamicsoft, Ericsson	7.3	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010634	SIP Message Integrity Protection Work in IETF	Nortel Networks	7.3	Discussion	
S3-010635	Protection Profiles Version Identification	Siemens Atea	6.3	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010636	SIP application required to check IP address	Siemens	7.3	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010637	SA distribution mechanism for the Ze interface	Siemens	7.1	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010638	Work Item Description: Support for subscriber certificates	Nokia	8.1	Approval	
S3-010639	Draft agenda for joint SA WG3/T WG3 session	Chairman	3	Approval	
S3-010640	aSIP-Access Security for IP-Based Services	Ericsson	5	Presentation	
S3-010641	On the use of R99/Rel-4 USIMs for IMS access	Vodafone	8	Discussion	

TD number	Title	Source	Agenda	Document for	Replaced by
S3-010642	Draft Response LS on IMS identifiers and ISIM and USIM	Joint Session / V. Niemi	4.2	Approval	S3-010647
S3-010643	Use of Push vs Pull Mechanisms in local SA distribution	Siemens / Alcatel	8.1	Discussion	
S3-010644	Presentation on TS 33.203	K. Boman, Rapporteur	7.3	Presentation	
S3-010645	WITHDRAWN - Reallocated : Reserved IMS doc	Alcatel	7.3		S3-010650
S3-010646	WITHDRAWN - Reallocated : Reserved IMS doc	Alcatel	7.3		S3-010651
S3-010647	Response LS on IMS identifiers and ISIM and USIM	SA WG3	4.2	Approval	
S3-010648	Comments on TS 33.200 R5 v0.1.0	Alcatel	6.3	Discussion	
S3-010649	Comments on TS 33.210 v0.6.0	Alcatel	7.2	Discussion	
S3-010650	Comments on draft-arkko-pppext-eap-aka-00	Alcatel		Discussion	
S3-010651	Comments on draft-arkko-pppext-eap-aka-01	Alcatel		Discussion	
S3-010652	Comments on draft-torvinen-http-eap-01	Alcatel		Discussion	
S3-010653	Mechanism to Hide Network Configuration	Alcatel	7.5	Discussion	
S3-010654	LS to SA WG1 on P-CSCF triggered re-authentication	SA WG3	7.3	Approval	
S3-010655	LS to CN WG2: Implicitly registered IMPU(s)	SA WG3	7.3	Approval	S3-010668
S3-010656	Changes to 33.210 about the scope	SA WG3	5.3	Approval	
S3-010657	Draft Response LS on Security of Rel5 IP Transport in UTRAN	SA WG3	5.3	Approval	S3-010662
S3-010658	Proposed CR to 33.200: Removing the Sending PLMN-Id from Security Header (Rel-4)	Hutchison 3G UK	6.3	Approval	
S3-010659	On Definition of Za/Zb/Zc Interfaces (revised S3-010626)	Ericsson	7.2	Discussion / Decision	
S3-010660	On Protection of IMS using NDS-IP (revised S3-010628)	Ericsson	7.2	Information	
S3-010661	Liaison Statement on the Support of Up to Date Encryption Algorithms in the OSA Framework	CN WG5	5.3	Action	
S3-010662	Response LS on Security of Rel5 IP Transport in UTRAN	SA WG3	5.3	Approval	
S3-010663	IETF draft EAP/SIM	G Rose	7.3	Information	
S3-010664	Problems with the replay protection scheme in the SIP level integrity solution in Annex C of TS 33.203, v070	Siemens	7.3	Discussion	
S3-010665	Proposed LS to CN WG1: IMS Security	Ericsson	7.3	Approval	S3-010669
S3-010666	WITHDRAWN				
S3-010667	LS to CN1: Identity spoofing attacks in the IMS	SA WG3	7.3	Approval	S3-010673
S3-010668	LS to CN WG2: Implicitly registered IMPU(s) (revision of S3-010655)	SA WG3	7.3	Approval	
S3-010669	LS to CN WG1: IMS Security	SA WG3	7.3	Approval	
S3-010670	33.210 draft NDS/IP document (revised S3-010582)	Rapporteur	7.3	Review	
S3-010671	LS to CN WG4 on approved CR	Hutchinson	6.3	Approval	
S3-010672	LS to TSG CN on General requirements for SA distribution over Ze interface	Marc Blommaert	6.3	Approval	S3-010692
S3-010673	LS to CN1: Identity spoofing attacks in the IMS	SA WG3	7.3	Approval	
S3-010674	CR to 33.102: Configurability of cipher use (Rel-5) (revision of S3-010581)	Telia	7.5	Approval	S3-010679
S3-010675	LS to CN WG1: Configurability of cipher use (CR in S3-010675 for info)	Telia	7.5	Approval	
S3-010676	LS to N1 / T2 for comment on Connection set-up procedures	P Howard/Per	7.5	Approval	
S3-010677	Approval of A5/3 formally by SA3	GSMA SG Chairman		Decision	
S3-010678	WITHDRAWN - Allocated in error				
S3-010679	CR to 33.102: Configurability of cipher use (Rel-5) (revision of S3-010674)	Telia	7.5	Approval	
S3-010680	Proposed CR to 35.201: Correct the maximum input message length for f8 and f9 (Rel-99)	Siemens Atea		Approval	S3-010689
S3-010681	Proposed CR to 35.201: Correct the maximum input message length for f8 and f9 (Rel-4)	Siemens Atea		Approval	S3-010690
S3-010682	LS to RAN2: Response to S3-010568 confirming changes requested	Marc Blommaert		Approval	
S3-010683	Response to LS T2-010905 (S3-010571) on VASP MMS connectivity	SA WG3		Approval	S3-010698

TD number	Title	Source	Agenda	Document for	Replaced by
S3-010684	Discussion on EAP unsolicited response packets	Qualcomm Europe S.A.R.L.		Discussion	
S3-010685	Response LS to CN WG5: Re S3-010661	Olivier P/Drafting group		Approval	S3-010696
S3-010686	LS to SA WG1, SA WG2: Response to: Liaison Statement on Revised Push Service Stage 1	SA WG3		Approval	S3-010700
S3-010687	Reply LS to SA WG1 on "Privacy Override Indicator"	SA WG3		Approval	S3-010697
S3-010688	CR to 33.200: Protection Profile Variant Identifier (Rel-4)	Siemens Atea		Approval	S3-010691
S3-010689	CR to 35.201: Correct the maximum input message length for f8 and f9 (Rel-99)	SA WG3		Approval	
S3-010690	CR to 35.201: Correct the maximum input message length for f8 and f9 (Rel-4)	SA WG3		Approval	
S3-010691	CR to 33.200: Protection Profile Revision Identifier (Rel-4)	Siemens Atea		Approval	
S3-010692	LS to TSG CN on General requirements for SA distribution over Ze interface	SA WG3	6.3	Approval	
S3-010693	Proposed CR to 33.200: Completing the specification of a MAPsec SA (Rel-4)	Hutchison 3G UK		Approval	
S3-010694	Provisional work plan for the design of the SAGE GSM A5/3 Task Force (SAGE GSM A5/3 TF)	SA WG3 Secretary		Information	
S3-010695	Mapping of Ze-interface information onto the Zd-Interface	Siemens Atea	7.1	Discussion	
S3-010696	Response LS to CN WG5: Re S3-010661	Olivier P/Drafting group		Approval	
S3-010697	Reply LS to SA WG1 on "Privacy Override Indicator"	SA WG3		Approval	
S3-010698	Response to LS T2-010905 (S3-010571) on VASP MMS connectivity	SA WG3		Approval	
S3-010699	LS to SA WG1 (CC S2, SA): Security and privacy requirements of presence	SA WG3		Approval	
S3-010700	LS to SA WG1, SA WG2: Response to: Liaison Statement on Revised Push Service Stage 1	SA WG3		Approval	
S3-010701	(pseudo) CR to 33.203: Network Hiding Mechanism	AT&T Wireless / Alcatel		Approval	S3-010702
S3-010702	(pseudo) CR to 33.203: Network Hiding Mechanism	AT&T Wireless / Alcatel		Approval	
S3-010703	LS response to SA WG1 (S1-011321): UE Functionality Split	G Horn drafting group		Approval	
S3-010704	Proposed Work Item description: Support for subscriber certificates	Nokia		Approval	

Annex C: Status of specifications under SA WG3 responsibility

NOTE: If the Editors are still not accurate - please provide the secretary with an update in order to update the main specifications database.

Specification			Title	Editor	Rel
TR	01.31	7.0.1	Fraud Information Gathering System (FIGS); Service requirements; Stage 0	WRIGHT, Tim	R98
TR	01.31	8.0.0	Fraud Information Gathering System (FIGS); Service requirements; Stage 0	WRIGHT, Tim	R99
TR	01.33	7.0.0	Lawful Interception requirements for GSM	MCKIBBEN, Bernie	R98
TR	01.33	8.0.0	Lawful Interception requirements for GSM	MCKIBBEN, Bernie	R99
TS	01.61	6.0.1	General Packet Radio Service (GPRS); GPRS ciphering algorithm requirements	WALKER, Michael	R97
TS	01.61	7.0.0	General Packet Radio Service (GPRS); GPRS ciphering algorithm requirements	WALKER, Michael	R98
TS	01.61	8.0.0	General Packet Radio Service (GPRS); GPRS ciphering algorithm requirements	WALKER, Michael	R99
TS	02.09	3.1.0	Security Aspects	CHRISTOFFER SSON, Per	Ph1
TS	02.09	4.5.1	Security Aspects	CHRISTOFFER SSON, Per	Ph2
TS	02.09	5.2.1	Security Aspects	CHRISTOFFER SSON, Per	R96
TS	02.09	6.1.1	Security Aspects	CHRISTOFFER SSON, Per	R97
TS	02.09	7.1.1	Security Aspects	CHRISTOFFER SSON, Per	R98
TS	02.09	8.0.1	Security Aspects	CHRISTOFFER SSON, Per	R99
TS	02.31	7.1.1	Fraud Information Gathering System (FIGS) Service description; Stage 1	WRIGHT, Tim	R98
TS	02.31	8.0.1	Fraud Information Gathering System (FIGS) Service description; Stage 1	WRIGHT, Tim	R99
TS	02.32	7.1.1	Immediate Service Termination (IST); Service description; Stage 1	WRIGHT, Tim	R98
TS	02.32	8.0.1	Immediate Service Termination (IST); Service description; Stage 1	WRIGHT, Tim	R99
TS	02.33	7.3.0	Lawful Interception; Stage 1	MCKIBBEN, Bernie	R98
TS	02.33	8.0.1	Lawful Interception; Stage 1	MCKIBBEN, Bernie	R99
TS	03.20	3.3.2	Security-related Network Functions	NGUYEN NGOC, Sebastien	Ph1
TS	03.20	3.0.0	Security-related Network Functions	NGUYEN NGOC, Sebastien	Ph1-EXT
TS	03.20	4.4.1	Security-related Network Functions	NGUYEN NGOC, Sebastien	Ph2
TS	03.20	5.2.1	Security-related Network Functions	NGUYEN NGOC, Sebastien	R96
TS	03.20	6.1.0	Security-related Network Functions	NGUYEN NGOC, Sebastien	R97
TS	03.20	7.2.0	Security-related Network Functions	NGUYEN NGOC, Sebastien	R98
TS	03.20	8.1.0	Security-related Network Functions	NGUYEN NGOC, Sebastien	R99
TS	03.31	7.0.0	Fraud Information Gathering System (FIGS); Service description; Stage 2	WRIGHT, Tim	R98
TS	03.31	8.0.0	Fraud Information Gathering System (FIGS); Service description; Stage 2	WRIGHT, Tim	R99
TS	03.33	7.2.0	Lawful Interception; Stage 2	MCKIBBEN, Bernie	R98
TS	03.33	8.1.0	Lawful Interception; Stage 2	MCKIBBEN, Bernie	R99
TS	03.35	7.0.1	Immediate Service Termination (IST); Stage 2	WRIGHT, Tim	R98
TS	03.35	8.1.0	Immediate Service Termination (IST); Stage 2	WRIGHT, Tim	R99
TS	21.133	3.1.0	Security threats and requirements	CHRISTOFFER SSON, Per	R99
TS	21.133	4.0.0	Security threats and requirements	CHRISTOFFER SSON, Per	Rel-4

TS	22.022	3.1.0	Personalisation of Mobile Equipment (ME); Mobile functionality specification	NGUYEN NGOC, Sebastien	R99
TS	22.022	4.0.0	Personalisation of Mobile Equipment (ME); Mobile functionality specification	NGUYEN NGOC, Sebastien	Rel-4
TS	33.102	3.9.0	3G security; Security architecture	BLOMMAERT, Marc	R99
TS	33.102	4.2.0	3G security; Security architecture	BLOMMAERT, Marc	Rel-4
TS	33.103	3.7.0	3G security; Integration guidelines	BLANCHARD, Colin	R99
TS	33.103	4.2.0	3G security; Integration guidelines	BLANCHARD, Colin	Rel-4
TS	33.105	3.8.0	Cryptographic Algorithm requirements	CHIKAZAWA, Takeshi	R99
TS	33.105	4.1.0	Cryptographic Algorithm requirements	CHIKAZAWA, Takeshi	Rel-4
TS	33.106	3.1.0	Lawful interception requirements	WILHELM, Berthold	R99
TS	33.106	4.0.0	Lawful interception requirements	WILHELM, Berthold	Rel-4
TS	33.106	5.0.0	Lawful interception requirements	WILHELM, Berthold	Rel-5
TS	33.107	3.3.0	3G security; Lawful interception architecture and functions	WILHELM, Berthold	R99
TS	33.107	4.1.0	3G security; Lawful interception architecture and functions	WILHELM, Berthold	Rel-4
TS	33.107	5.0.0	3G security; Lawful interception architecture and functions	WILHELM, Berthold	Rel-5
TS	33.108	none	Lawful Interception; Interface between core network and law agency equipment	WILHELM, Berthold	Rel-5
TS	33.120	3.0.0	Security Objectives and Principles	WRIGHT, Tim	R99
TS	33.120	4.0.0	Security Objectives and Principles	WRIGHT, Tim	Rel-4
TS	33.200	4.1.0	Network Domain Security - MAP	KOEN, Geir	Rel-4
TS	33.201	none	Access domain security	POPE, Maurice	Rel-5
TS	33.203	0.4.0	Access Security for IP based services	BOMAN, Krister	Rel-5
TS	33.210	none	Network Domain Security - IP	VACANT,	Rel-5
TR	33.800	0.3.5	Principles for Network Domain Security	VACANT,	Rel-4
TR	33.800	none	Principles for Network Domain Security	VACANT,	Rel-5
TR	33.900	0.4.1	Guide to 3G security	BROOKSON, Charles	Rel-5
TR	33.901	3.0.0	Criteria for cryptographic Algorithm design process	BLOM, Rolf	R99
TR	33.901	4.0.0	Criteria for cryptographic Algorithm design process	BLOM, Rolf	Rel-4
TR	33.902	3.1.0	Formal Analysis of the 3G Authentication Protocol	HORN, Guenther	R99
TR	33.902	4.0.0	Formal Analysis of the 3G Authentication Protocol	HORN, Guenther	Rel-4
TR	33.903	none	Access Security for IP based services	VACANT,	Rel-4
TR	33.903	none	Access Security for IP based services	VACANT,	Rel-5
TR	33.904	none	Report on the Evaluation of 3GPP Standard Confidentiality and Integrity Algorithms	VACANT,	Rel-4
TR	33.908	3.0.0	3G Security; General report on the design, specification and evaluation of 3GPP standard confidentiality and integrity algorithms	WALKER, Michael	R99
TR	33.908	4.0.0	3G Security; General report on the design, specification and evaluation of 3GPP standard confidentiality and integrity algorithms	WALKER, Michael	Rel-4
TR	33.909	4.0.1	3G Security; Report on the design and evaluation of the MILENAGE algorithm set; Deliverable 5: An example algorithm for the 3GPP authentication and key generation functions	WALKER, Michael	Rel-4
TS	35.201	3.1.2	Specification of the 3GPP confidentiality and integrity algorithms; Document 1: f8 and f9 specifications	WALKER, Michael	R99
TS	35.201	4.0.0	Specification of the 3GPP confidentiality and integrity algorithms; Document 1: f8 and f9 specifications	WALKER, Michael	Rel-4
TS	35.202	3.1.2	Specification of the 3GPP confidentiality and integrity algorithms; Document 2: Kasumi algorithm specification	WALKER, Michael	R99
TS	35.202	4.0.0	Specification of the 3GPP confidentiality and integrity algorithms; Document 2: Kasumi algorithm specification	WALKER, Michael	Rel-4
TS	35.203	3.1.2	Specification of the 3GPP confidentiality and integrity algorithms; Document 3: Implementors' test data	WALKER, Michael	R99
TS	35.203	4.0.0	Specification of the 3GPP confidentiality and integrity algorithms; Document 3: Implementors' test data	WALKER, Michael	Rel-4
TS	35.204	3.1.2	Specification of the 3GPP confidentiality and integrity algorithms; Document 4: Design conformance test data	WALKER, Michael	R99
TS	35.204	4.0.0	Specification of the 3GPP confidentiality and integrity algorithms; Document 4: Design conformance test data	WALKER, Michael	Rel-4

TR	35.205	4.0.0	3G Security; Specification of the MILENAGE Algorithm Set: An example algorithm set for the 3GPP authentication and key generation functions f1, f1*, f2, f3, f4, f5 and f5*; Document 1: General	WALKER, Michael	Rel-4
TS	35.206	4.0.0	3G Security; Specification of the MILENAGE algorithm set: An example algorithm Set for the 3GPP Authentication and Key Generation functions f1, f1*, f2, f3, f4, f5 and f5*; Document 2: Algorithm specification	WALKER, Michael	Rel-4
TS	35.207	4.0.0	3G Security; Specification of the MILENAGE algorithm set: An example algorithm Set for the 3GPP Authentication and Key Generation functions f1, f1*, f2, f3, f4, f5 and f5*; Document 3: Implementors' test data	WALKER, Michael	Rel-4
TS	35.208	4.0.0	3G Security; Specification of the MILENAGE algorithm set: An example algorithm Set for the 3GPP Authentication and Key Generation functions f1, f1*, f2, f3, f4, f5 and f5*; Document 4: Design conformance test data	WALKER, Michael	Rel-4
TR	35.909	4.0.0	3G Security; Specification of the MILENAGE algorithm set: an example algorithm set for the 3GPP authentication and key generation functions f1, f1*, f2, f3, f4, f5 and f5*; Document 5: Summary and results of design and evaluation	WALKER, Michael	Rel-4
TR	41.031	4.0.1	Fraud Information Gathering System (FIGS); Service requirements; Stage 0	WRIGHT, Tim	Rel-4
TR	41.033	4.0.1	Lawful Interception requirements for GSM	MCKIBBEN, Bernie	Rel-4
TS	41.061	4.0.0	General Packet Radio Service (GPRS); GPRS ciphering algorithm requirements	WALKER, Michael	Rel-4
TS	42.009	4.0.0	Security Aspects	CHRISTOFFERSSON, Per	Rel-4
TS	42.031	4.0.0	Fraud Information Gathering System (FIGS); Service description; Stage 1	WRIGHT, Tim	Rel-4
TS	42.032	4.0.0	Immediate Service Termination (IST); Service description; Stage 1	WRIGHT, Tim	Rel-4
TS	42.033	4.0.0	Lawful Interception; Stage 1	MCKIBBEN, Bernie	Rel-4
TS	43.020	4.0.0	Security-related network functions	GILBERT, Henri	Rel-4
TS	43.031	4.0.0	Fraud Information Gathering System (FIGS); Service description; Stage 2	WRIGHT, Tim	Rel-4
TS	43.033	4.0.0	Lawful Interception; Stage 2	MCKIBBEN, Bernie	Rel-4
TS	43.035	4.0.0	Immediate Service Termination (IST); Stage 2	WRIGHT, Tim	Rel-4

Annex D: List of CRs to specifications under SA WG3 responsibility agreed at this meeting

Spec	CR	Rev	Phase	Subject	Cat	Cur Vers	WG meeting	WG TD	WG status
33.200	017		Rel-4	Removing the Sending PLMN-Id from Security Header	F	4.1.0	S3-21	S3-010658	agreed
33.200	018		Rel-4	Protection Profile Revision Identifier	F	4.1.0	S3-21	S3-010691	agreed
33.200	019		Rel-4	Completing the specification of a MAPsec SA	F	4.1.0	S3-21	S3-010693	agreed
33.102	162		Rel-5	Configurability of cipher use	A	4.2.0	S3-21	S3-010679	agreed
35.201	001		R99	Correct the maximum input message length for f8 and f9	F	3.1.2	S3-21	S3-010689	agreed
35.201	002		Rel-4	Correct the maximum input message length for f8 and f9	A	4.0.0	S3-21	S3-010690	agreed

Note: The following CR was approved at this meeting (S3-010612), but had already been created and approved at meeting#20:

Spec	CR	Rev	Phase	Subject	Cat	Cur Vers	WG meeting	WG TD	WG status
33.107	016		Rel-5	Source of PDP context initiation	A	5.0.0	S3-20 / S3-21	S3-010518 / S3-010612	Agreed S3#20 / Agreed S3#21

Annex E: List of Liaisons

E.1 Liaisons to the meeting

TD number	Title	Source TD	Comment/Status
S3-010564	Liaison Statement on AMR-WB and Legal Interception	N4-011199	For LI group. Forwarded to LI group for action.
S3-010565	LS to GSM-A TWG/SERG "regarding User Profile"	UP-010046	More information on the GUP should be sought. Actions 21/1 and 21/2.
S3-010566	Reply Liaison Statement On the use of Network Domain Security for protection of SIP signalling messages	N4-011205	Noted
S3-010567	Reply to Liaison Statement on Usage of Private ID	N4-011206	Noted
S3-010568	LS on Message size limitation for f9 algorithm	R2-012400	Removal of upper limit checked OK by SAGE. Noted.
S3-010569	Liaison Statement on Technical Solution for Prepaid Cards Using Smart Cards with Real-Time Clock	SCP-010291	Noted
S3-010570	Liaison Statement on IMS identifiers and ISIM	T3-010721	Dealt with at Joint session T3. Noted.
S3-010571	VASP MMS Connectivity	T2-010905	Response LS in S3-010698
S3-010572	LS from RAN WG3: WID: AMR-WB Speech Service – Core Network Aspects	R3-013037	Noted
S3-010573	Liaison Statement on Security of Rel5 IP Transport in UTRAN	R3-013064	Urgent Response requested.
S3-010575	LS on Enhanced user privacy for location services	S2-013063	Response LS in S3-010662
S3-010576	LS on IMS identifiers and ISIM and USIM	S2-013067	Dealt with at Joint session T3. Noted.
S3-010577	Reply to Liaison Statement on Usage of Private ID	S2-013069	Noted
S3-010578	Response to the LS S2-012896 from SA3 on Security Aspects related to the IMS Authentication	S2-013079	Noted
S3-010583	Update information on 33.210-060	S3-010429	33.200v060 attached. Presented by NDS/IP rapporteur. Noted.
S3-010585	LS from CN WG1 on IMS identifiers: Response to: LS (S2-013067) on IMS identifiers and ISIM and USIM	N1-011768	Dealt with at Joint session T3 and noted at SA WG3 meeting. Noted.
S3-010587	Liaison Statement on 3GPP Generic User Profile Stage 1	S1-011176	Noted
S3-010588	RE: Liaison Statement on privacy of IPv6 addresses allocated to terminals using the IM CN subsystem	S1-011190	Noted
S3-010589	Response to: Liaison Statement on Usage of Private ID	S1-011191	Noted
S3-010590	Liaison Statement on Revised Push Service Stage 1	S1-011252	Response LS in S3-010700.
S3-010591	Reply to LS on "Privacy Override Indicator"	S1-011286	Response LS in S3-010697.
S3-010592	Liaison Statement on DRM	S1-011300	Noted
S3-010593	Presence Service requirements	S1-011301	Response LS in S3-010699.
S3-010594	Answer to LS on requirements on Multimedia Broadcast/Multicast Service	S1-011310	Noted. Action 21/5 resulted.
S3-010595	Liaison Statement on UE functionality split	S1-011321	Dealt with at Joint session T3. Noted
S3-010596	RE: LS on IMS identifiers and ISIM and USIM (S2 Tdoc S2-013067)	T2-010730	Dealt with at Joint session T3. Noted
S3-010597	Cipher indicators and selection options in UMTS	SG Doc 113/01	Noted
S3-010599	Definition of the UICC	T3-010716	Dealt with at Joint session T3. Noted
S3-010621	Response to liaison from IPCablecom on LI	24td154r2	Forwarded to LI group
S3-010661	Liaison Statement on the Support of Up to Date Encryption Algorithms in the OSA Framework	N5-011159	Response LS in S3-010696

E.2 Liaisons from the meeting

TD number	Title	Comment/Status	TO	CC
S3-010647	Response LS on IMS identifiers and ISIM and USIM	Approved	T3, SA2, SA1, CN1, T2	EP SCP
S3-010654	LS to SA WG1 on P-CSCF triggered re-authentication	Approved	SA2	SA5, CN1

TD number	Title	Comment/Status	TO	CC
S3-010662	Response LS on Security of Rel5 IP Transport in UTRAN	Approved	RAN3	
S3-010668	LS to CN WG2: Implicitly registered IMPU(s) (revision of S3-010655)	Approved	CN4	
S3-010669	LS to CN WG1: IMS Security	Approved	CN1	
S3-010671	LS to CN WG4 on approved CR	Approved	CN4	
S3-010673	LS to CN1: Identity spoofing attacks in the IMS	Approved	CN1, SA2	
S3-010675	LS to CN WG1: Configurability of cipher use (CR in S3-010675 for info)	Approved	CN1	T2
S3-010682	LS to RAN2: Response to S3-010568 confirming changes requested	Approved	RAN2	
S3-010696	Response LS to CN WG5: Re S3-010661	Approved	CN5	
S3-010697	Reply LS to SA WG1 on "Privacy Override Indicator"	Approved	SA1, SA2	
S3-010698	Response to LS T2-010905 (S3-010571) on VASP MMS connectivity	Approved	T2	CN5
S3-010699	LS to SA WG1 (CC S2, SA): Security and privacy requirements of presence	Approved	SA1	SA2, SA
S3-010700	LS to SA WG1, SA WG2: Response to: Liaison Statement on Revised Push Service Stage 1	Approved	SA1, SA2	
S3-010703	LS response to SA WG1 (S1-011321): UE Functionality Split	Approved	SA1, SA2	

Annex F: List of Actions from the meeting

- Action 21/1:** Colin Blanchard to contact the editor of the GUP draft to determine the background and the rationale for the requirements in the security section (section 6)
- Action 21/2:** Steward Ward to invite Paul Henry to give SA WG3 a briefing on GUP work.
- Action 21/3:** P. Howard to set up an e-mail discussion on this in order to produce a proposal for a CR to 29.198 for CN WG5.
- Action 21/4:** Steward Ward to start off an e-mail discussion on Location Services Privacy and report back to SA WG3 meeting #22.
- Action 21/5:** A. Escott agreed to check the draft TS 22.146 and determine if any input is needed and report back to the next SA WG3 meeting.
- Action 21/6:** G. Rose to evaluate the EAP/SIM authentication technique to determine it's validity for increased authentication strength.
- Action 21/7:** D. Castellanos to set up an e-mail discussion on Presence service, with support from Nokia, Telenor and Vodafone.