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# Introduction

This document is to kick off the following email discussion:

* [AT119-e][311][SDT-Positioning] Config Transfer (Google)

Discuss LS response on Config Transfer

Deadline: **Friday 2022-08-19 0200 UTC**

# Contact information

Respondents to the email discussion are kindly asked to fill in the following table.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Contact: Name (E-mail) |
| Intel | Marta Martinez Tarradell (marta.m.tarradell@intel.com) |
| CATT | Shijie(shijie@catt.cn) |
| OPPO | Xue Lin (linxue@oppo.com) |
| Huawei | Dawid Koziol (dawid.koziol@huawei.com) |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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# Discussion

RAN2 received the LS [1] from RAN3 and the following is from quoted from the RAN3 LS:

|  |
| --- |
| In case of CG-SDT, gNB-DU provides the CG-SDT related resource configuration to gNB-CU within the *DU to CU RRC Information* IE in F1AP.  According to TS 38.331 v17.0.0, there are two RRC IEs for the CG-SDT configuration:   * SDT-MAC-PHY-CG-Config-r17 (actual IE that defines CG-SDT configuration generated by DU) * SDT-CG-Config-r17 (OCTET STRING container for SDT-MAC-PHY-CG-Config-r17)   RAN3 would like to know which RRC IE should be carried within the *DU to CU RRC Information* IE in F1AP when the gNB-CU generates the *RRCRelease* message including suspend configuration.  In the same line of question, the SRS Positioning INACTIVE configuration which is generated by gNB-DU is associated with two RRC IEs according to the baseline (R2-2206384):   * SRS-PosRRC-InactiveConfig-r17 (actual IE) * SRS-PosRRC-Inactive-r17 (OCTET STRING container for SRS-PosRRC-InactiveConfig-r17)   RAN3 also would like to know which RRC IE should be carried in F1AP when the gNB-CU generates the *RRCRelease* message including suspend configuration. |

In [2] and [3], *CellGroupConfig* is used an example for explanation because *CellGroupConfig* is referred to in the *DU to CU RRC Information* IE in the RAN3 specification. It is pointed out in [2] and [3] that the CU can transparently include the DU-generated *CellGroupConfig* in the OCTET STRING defined in the current ASN.1. For the same reasoning and similarity, it is proposed in [2] and [3] to reply RAN3 that *SDT-MAC-PHY-CG-Config-r17* and *SRS-PosRRC-InactiveConfig-r17* are referred to in the RAN3 specification.

It is propos in [4] to reply RAN3 that both IEs for SRS Positioning INACTIVE configuration can work and if F1AP refers IE on CU side that would imply less overhead for CU.

**Question: Do you agree to indicate RAN3 that *SDT-MAC-PHY-CG-Config-r17* and *SRS-PosRRC-InactiveConfig-r17* are referred to in the RAN3 specification, as proposed in [2] and [3]? If the answer is “No”, please provide your comments.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Additional comments** |
| Intel | Yes (with comments) | RAN2 needs to also indicate that these IEs should be defined in F1-AP as an "OCTET STRING" that is set by DU and to be conveyed to UE via CU transparently (as part of *RRCRelease* message). |
| CATT | Yes | Support to reuse the same principle for *CellGroupConfig*. |
| OPPO | Yes |  |
| Huawei | Yes | We have the same understanding as Intel |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusions
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