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# Introduction

Companies’ contributions [3] – [21] were summarized in [22]. The summary [22] was treated online and we continue identifying agreeable parts and impacts based on online discussion progress.

This document is for the following offline email discussion:

* [AT119-e][004][ePowSav] Subgrouping/PEI (MediaTek)

 Scope: Based on online progress, discussion, R2-2208909 and referenced input, continue identify agreeable parts and impacts. No Need to include Stage-2 etc.

 Intended outcome: Report (with agreements), offline if possible.

 Deadline: W2 Wednesday (can CB W2 Thu if required)

# Contact Points

Respondents to the email discussion are kindly asked to fill in the following table.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email Address |
| MediaTek (Rapp) | Mutai Morton Lin | morton.lin@mediatek.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Discussion

## UE\_ID based subgrouping

It was agreed we go for solution in the contribution [18] in the Subgrouping/PEI online discussion of RAN2#119-e:

|  |
| --- |
| [R2-2208609](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Cmtk65284%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2_RL2%5CTSGR2_119-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2208609.zip) 38.304 Clarifications on SubgroupID for UE-ID based subgrouping Xiaomi, ZTE Corporation,Vivo, Ericsson, CATT draftCR Rel-17 38.304 17.1.0 NR\_UE\_pow\_sav\_enh-Core* Chair wonder if we can agree this.
* Huawei think we should align solution with PO solution already in the TS. Nokia agrees with Huawei and think this proposal is better.
* Xiaomi think HW way can also work, but may need to change the 38300 then.
* Vivo think that we should not depend on UE capability, and just specify in the TS.
* Solution in this doc is agreed
 |

Contribution [18] propose to explicitly clarify the same DRX cycle value is used for the subgroupID calculation in both RRC\_IDLE and RRC\_INACTIVE states.

**Q1: Companies are invited to provide other comment (if any) for agreeing TP change in the CR [18].**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## PEI monitoring

PEI monitoring for RedCap

In RAN2#118-e, the **Proposal 4** is discussed in [2] and all companies (10 out of 10) agreed that we need to move *pei-SearchSpace-r17*, *firstPDCCH-MonitoringOccasionOfPEI-O-r17* to *PDCCH-ConfigCommon* of *initialDownlinkBWP-RedCap-r17* and *initialDownlinkBWP* (already implemented in TS 38.331 v17.1.0).

Contribution [9] proposed to further clarify in description of *pei-Config* that this configuration is for PEI monitoring on *initialDownlinkBWP* and/or on *initialDownlinkBWP-RedCap*.

**Q2: Do companies agree with the intention and TP of the CR [9]?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes or No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Also in RAN2#118-e, the aspects of paging and PEI monitoring for RedCap and TP were discussed in [2], most companies (7 out of 10) thought field description update could be continued in ePowSav WI and agreed considering the TP as baseline. (i.e. **Proposal 5** in [2])

Contributions [11] and the 2nd change of [21] proposed almost identical TP for updating field description of *InitialBWP-Paging* thus rapporteur suggests treating them together.

Besides, in consideration of a notification from proponent to postpone discussion and to monitor the progress of relevant topic in RadCap WI discussion, rapporteur suggests companies can still gave view here meanwhile please the proponent to bring up-to-date info. from RedCap WI, because our agreement and the conclusion in RedCap session should harmonize. (In any case rapporteur do not want companies to have double effort on the same topic)

**Q3: Do companies agree with the intention and TP of the CR [11] and the 2nd change of [21]?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes or No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

PEI reception during emergency session

Contribution [17] proposed to add a precondition emergency service is not ongoing (same wording as 38.331) to clarify PEI reception.

**Q4: Do companies agree with the intention and TP of the CR [17]?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes or No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Other issues

### General clarification for subgrouping

In contributions [8][14], general UE subgrouping specification clarifications are proposed for subclause 7.3.0 of TS 38.304, especially for the wording “otherwise” in the paragraph. Since both TPs are for the same paragraph but with different approaches so rapporteur suggests treating them together and let’s come out a final version for agreement if consensus is reached.

**Option 1**: Agree to use TP in [8] as baseline, provide further comment if any.

**Option 2**: Agree to use TP in [14] as baseline, provide further comment if any.

**Option 3**: Others. Provide a different view (included Do Not Agree) or comment.

**Q5: With which option do companies agree and any further comment?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option 1, 2 or 3** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

The 2nd change of contribution [20] indicated *noLastCellUpdate* is applied to PEI-capable UEs only. The condition needs to be added in the field description, as it currently is for the field description of *lastUsedCellOnly*.

**Q6: Do companies agree with the intention and the 2nd change of the CR [20]?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes or No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### Capabilities

Contribution [13] proposed to rephrase field description to avoid possible misleading in TS 38.306.

**Q7: Do companies agree with the intention and the 2nd change of the CR [13]?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes or No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusion

(TBD)
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