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# Introduction

This document is capturing the following discussion:

* [AT118-e][079][QoE] 38300 (China Unicom)

 Scope: Take into account online progress, address offline FFSes and non-treated proposals. Consider CR proposals, Review Rapporteur CR resolutions. Determine agreeable parts. Update CR to reflect agreeable parts and agree CR. Can consider LS out if agreed to be needed.

 Consider: R2-2204591, R2-2204848, R2-2204847, R2-2205440, R2-2205943

 Intended outcome: Report, Agreed CR (in the end)

 Deadline: CB W2 Wed (if needed), CR can be finally agreed in a post-meeting disc.

**This email discussion is divided into two phases:**

**Phase 1: Collect comments for proposals in companies’ contributions. Deadline: Tuesday May 17, 2022, 12:00 UTC. And then the rapp will provide a summary, and if needed, some CB online discussions may be needed.**

**Phase 2: Discuss the 38.300 CR and then agree on the final version. Dealine: Friday May 20, 2022, 10:00 UTC. As indicated above, if the CR still needs more time to be checked, it will be finally agreed in a post-meeting disc.**

**This discussion document only includes potential controversial changes proposal. Other minor editorial and potentially less controversial changes have been captured in R2-22xxxxx draft 38.300 CR with minor changes for QoE.**

# Phase 1: Discussion

## On section: 21.2 QoE Measurement Configuration

Proposals from R2-2204847 on QoE Measurement Collection Activation and Reporting:

|  |
| --- |
| 21.2.1 QoE Measurement Collection Activation and Reporting…The QoE measurement collection is handled by application layer measurement configuration and measurement reporting, supported in RRC\_CONNECTED state only. Application layer measurement configuration received by the gNB from OAM or CN is encapsulated in a transparent container, which is forwarded to a UE as Application layer configuration in the *RRCReconfiguration* message (there can be multiple configurations in the same message). Application layer measurement reports received from UE's application layer are encapsulated in a transparent container and sent to the network in the *MeasurementReportAppLayer* message, as specified in TS 38.331 [12]. The UE can send multiple application layer measurement reports to the gNB in one *MeasurementReportAppLayer* message. In order to allow the transmission of application layer measurement reports which exceed the maximum PDCP SDU size, segmentation of the *MeasurementReportAppLayer* message may be enabled by the gNB. AnRRC identifier conveyed in the RRC signalling is used to identify the application layer measurement configuration and report between the gNB and the UE. The RRC identifier is mapped to the QoE Reference in the gNB. The application layer measurement report is forwarded to OAM together with the QoE Reference. gNB can release one or multiple application layer measurement configurations from the UE in one *RRCReconfiguration* message at any time. The UE may additionally be configured by the gNB to report when a QoE measurement session starts or stops for a certain application layer measurement configuration. |

**Q1: Do you agree to** **replacing the term “higher layer” with “application layer” and replaced the “measConfigAppLayerId” with “RRC identifier” based QoE proposed by R2-2204847 from section 21.2 on QoE Measurement Collection Activation and Reporting?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Apple | No for “application layer”Yes for “RRC identifier” | On whether we change “higher layer” to “application layer”, we do not think this is needed. It is already clear that we are talking about “Application Layer Measurement Reports”.On the “RRC identifier”, we support this change to have better consistency (currently the word “RRC identifier” is already used in the subsequent sentence).  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## On section: 21.2 QoE Measurement Configuration

Proposals from R2-2205943:

|  |
| --- |
| 21.2.3 Handling of QMC during RAN OverloadQoE Measurement Collection pause/resume procedure is used to pause/resume reporting of one or multiple QoE configurations in a UE in RAN overload situation.gNB can use the *RRCReconfiguration* message to temporarily stop application layer measurement reports associated to one or multiple application layer measurement configurations from being sent from the UE to the gNB. When the UE receives the QoE pause indication, UE temporarily stores application layer measurement reports in AS layer except for RAN visible QoE metrics. When the UE receives the QoE resume indication, UE sends the stored application layer measurement reports to the gNB. |

**Q2: Do you agree on the simplified text and the addition to clarify that UE does not store RAN visible QoE metrics proposed by R2-2204994?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Apple | Yes | We are fine with these changes |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## On section: 21.4 RAN Visible QoE Measurements

Proposals from R2-2205943 and R2-2204847 to remove the undefined words and reword the text.

|  |
| --- |
| 21.4 RAN Visible QoE MeasurementsRAN visible QoE measurements are configured by the NG-RAN node, where a subset of QoE metrics is reported from the UE as an explicit IE readable by the NG-RAN node. RAN visible QoE measurements (e.g., RAN visible QoE metrics) could be utilized by the NG-RAN node for network optimization. RAN visible QoE measurements are supported for the DASH streaming and VR services. The NG-RAN node configures the RAN visible QoE measurement to collect all or some of the available RAN visible QoE metrics, where the indication of metric availability is received from the OAM or CN. The set of available RAN visible QoE metrics is a subset of the metrics which are already configured as part of QoE measurement configuration encapsulated in the transparent container. The PDU session ID(s) corresponding to the service that is subject to QoE measurements can also be reported by the UE along with the RAN visible QoE measurement results.…RAN visible QoE measurements can only be configured if there is an associated QoE measurement for the same service type configured at the UE. Multiple RAN visible QoE measurements can be configured simultaneously to a UE, and each RAN visible QoE measurement configuration is identified by the same RRC identifier as the associated QoE measurement configuration. gNB can release one or multiple RAN visible QoE measurement configurations from the UE in one *RRCReconfiguration* message at any time.After receiving the RAN visible QoE measurement configuration, the UE AS layer forwards the configuration to the application layer, indicating the service type, the RRC identifier and the periodicity (if configured). The application layer sends the collected RAN visible QoE measurements associated with the RRC identifier to the UE's AS layer.… |

**Q3a: Do you agree to remove the undefined “RAN visible QoE values” proposed by R2-2205943?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Apple | Yes and also … | We tend to think the whole bracket can be removed actually, as these examples do not provide too much more information and seem to be redundant from our perspective:RAN visible QoE measurements ~~(e.g., RAN visible QoE metrics)~~ could be utilized by the NG-RAN node |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q3b: Do you agree to remove the rewording text proposed by R2-2204847?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Apple | No | We do not see much critical issues with the original text and how the TP is R2-2204847 is better, so it is questionable if we really need to have such a major overhaul of text in the maintenance phase. Having said that, we agree the original text may not be perfect, and RAN2 could try to refine it later on. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Proposals from R2-2205943 on the rewording of the none-paused RAN visible reports:

|  |
| --- |
| RAN visible application layer measurements are not subject to QoE pause/resume indications, i.e. if configured, they are reported by the UE even though the corresponding non RAN visible application layer measurement reporting is paused |

**Q3c: Do you agree on the rewording text proposed by R2-2205943?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Apple | No | We think the text proposal in the Rapporteur CR (R2-2204591) sounds better:The UE continues to report the configured RAN visible application layer measurements, when the corresponding non RAN visible application layer measurement reporting is paused. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## On the naming of “regular” QoE

R2-2205440 proposed to rename the Application layer measurements configured by OAM to “OAM-QoE measurements”, and add the definitions of “OAM-QoE measurements”, “OAM-QoE report”, “RAN visible QoE measurements” and “RAN visible QoE measurements” in both stage-2 and stage-3 CR.

|  |
| --- |
| 3.2 DefinitionsFor the purposes of the present document, the terms and definitions given in TR 21.905 [1], in TS 36.300 [2] and the following apply. A term defined in the present document takes precedence over the definition of the same term, if any, in TR 21.905 [1] and TS 36.300 [2].[..]**Numerology**: corresponds to one subcarrier spacing in the frequency domain. By scaling a reference subcarrier spacing by an integer *N*, different numerologies can be defined.**OAM-QoE measurements:** UE application layermeasurements configured by the OAM for different service types.**OAM-QoE report:** the result of OAM-QoE measurements.**Parent node**: IAB-MT's next hop neighbour node; the parent node can be IAB-node or IAB-donor-DU**PC5 Relay RLC channel**: an RLC channel between L2 U2N Remote UE and L2 U2N Relay UE, which is used to transport packets over PC5 for L2 UE-to-Network Relay**.****PLMN Cell**: a cell of the PLMN.**RAN visible QoE measurements:** a subset of OAM-QoE measurements configured by the gNB and reported to the NG-RAN node.**RAN visible QoE report:** the results of RAN Visible QoE measurements, reported from the UE the gNB in RRC format.**RedCap UE:** A UE with reduced capabilities as specified in clause 4.2.21.1. in TS 38.306 [11].[..]21.1 OverviewThe QoE Measurement Collection function enables collection of application layer measurements from the UE. The supported service types are:- QoE Measurement Collection for streaming services;- QoE Measurement Collection for MTSI services;- QoE Measurement Collection for VR services.Both signalling based and management based QoE measurement collection are supported.NOTE: The naming QoE Measurement is used in NG, Xn, and interfaces between the OAM and the gNB. In the Uu interface, the naming application layer measurement is used and it is equal to QoE Measurement.The QoE Measurement Collection function can support two types of QoE measurements:- OAM-QoE measurements;- RAN Visible QoE measurements.The QoE Measurement Collection function can support two types of QoE reports:- OAM-QoE reports, for reporting OAM-QoE measurements from the UE to the MCE;- RAN Visible QoE reports, for reporting RAN Visible QoE measurements from the UE to the gNB. |

**From Rapporteur’s view, it’s clear to use QoE Measurement/report and RAN visible QoE measurement/report in NR NG, Xn interface, and use application layer measurement/report and RAN visible application layer measurement/report in the Uu interface. Besides, RAN3 are discussing the same issue in RAN3#116-e meeting now, so it’s suggested to wait for RAN3’s decision if there are needs to rename the “regular” QoE.**

**Q4: Do you agree with the Rapporteur’s view or has any other suggestions?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Apple | Yes (with some suggestions on the definitions) | We acknowledge the importance of terminology alignment, and we can wait for RAN3 to clarify.If any definition is to be introduced at this stage, we have some suggestions on rewordings:**OAM-QoE measurements:** ~~UE~~ the application layermeasurements configured by the OAM for different service types.**OAM-QoE report:** the result of OAM-QoE measurements, which is to be reported to the MCE.**RAN visible QoE measurements:** a subset of OAM-QoE measurements configured by the gNB ~~and reported to the NG-RAN node~~.**RAN visible QoE report:** the results of RAN Visible QoE measurements, which is to be reported to the NG-RAN node.~~, reported from the UE the gNB in RRC format~~. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## On the transmitting RVQoE reports with QoE reports

R2-2204848 proposed some proposals on the requirements for transmitting RVQoE reports together with QoE reports, which leave the following FFSs:

* FFS if RAN2 to confirm that it is left to UE implementation how to send QoE and RVQoE reports to the gNB.
* FFS if RAN2 to agree to replace the RAN3 requirement in stage 2 saying “If there is no reporting periodicity defined in the RAN visible QoE configuration, RAN visible QoE reports should be sent together with the legacy QoE reports” by “If there is no reporting periodicity defined in the RAN visible QoE configuration, the reporting periodicity of the associated QoE measurement configuration shall be applied”.

Proposal by R2-2204847 on the replacement of RAN3 requirement in stage 2 as below:

|  |
| --- |
| RAN visible QoE measurements can be reported with a reporting periodicity different from the one of regular QoE measurements. If there is no reporting periodicity defined in the RAN visible QoE configuration, the reporting periodicity of the associated QoE measurement configuration shall be applied. The UE can send multiple RAN visible QoE reports to the gNB in the same MeasurementReportAppLayer message. |

**Q5: Do you agree that it’s based on UE implementation on how to send QoE and RVQoE reports to the gNB and the rewording text“If there is no reporting periodicity defined in the RAN visible QoE configuration, the reporting periodicity of the associated QoE measurement configuration shall be applied. The UE can send multiple RAN visible QoE reports to the gNB in the same MeasurementReportAppLayer message” in stage-2 CR?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Apple | This depends on the outcome of [078] | We agree it can be up to UE implementation on how to send QoE and RVQoE to the gNB.However, on the CR per se, we can wait until the outcome of [078] (Q5 in [078]) is concluded, where it has been suggested that we may assume reporting periodicity for RVQoE is always configured, such that the UE behaviour in this case does not have to be defined. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## On further changes captured in draft CR

**Various minor changes proposed by various contributions have been captured in** **R2-22xxxxx draft 38.300 CR with minor changes for QoE.**

**Q6: Do you agree with the changes proposed in this draft? Did you add comments into this draft?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Apple | We are concerned with the highlighted parts of the following sentence in 21.4:… The RAN visible QoE measurements (e.g., RAN visible QoE metrics, RAN visible QoE values) can be utilized by the gNB for the purpose of RRM network optimization. …From our perspective, “network optimization” is a generalized term that already covers RRM, not sure why we need to mention RRM explicitly – this sounds redundant. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 3 Conclusion

…
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