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# 1 Introduction

The following email discussion was triggered at RAN2#113:

* [AT113-e][605][Relay] Continuation of L2 architecture issues (InterDigital)

Scope: Discuss the priority 2 proposals P6, P15-P19 from R2-2102091 and implement the agreements on the priority 1 proposals. Work towards conclusions if possible.

Intended outcome: Endorsable TP

Deadline: Tuesday 2021-02-02 1200 UTC (for TP availability)

The summary of this email discussion is discussed in this document.

# 2 Continuation of L2 Architecture Issues

Based on the scope of the email discussion, it is divided into three subsections:

* Discussion of priority 2 proposals
* Implementing the agreements on the priority 1 proposals
* Working towards conclusion

## 2.1 Discussion of Priority 2 Proposals

When a remote UE in RRC\_IDLE/RRC\_INACTIVE performs a connection establishment via the relay UE, the relay UE needs to initiate its own connection establishment if it is not in RRC\_CONNECTED. This occurs in step 2 of figure 4.5.5.1-1 of TR 38.836 (“If the relay UE had not started in RRC\_CONNECTED, it would need to do its own connection establishment as part of this step.”)

In [19], two options are provided for how the relay UE knows to initiate the connection establishment in this case. When referring to the TR, the first RRC message by the remote UE is handled using a L2 configuration defined in the specifications:

*“For both in-coverage and out-of-coverage cases, when the Remote UE initiates the first RRC message for its connection establishment with gNB, the PC5 L2 configuration for the transmission between the Remote UE and the UE-to-Network Relay UE can be based on the RLC/MAC configuration defined in specifications.”*

Reception of a message on the PC5-RLC channel defined for the first RRC message can therefore trigger connection establishment when the relay UE is in RRC\_IDLE/RRC\_INACTIVE.

**Proposal 6** from the initial summary document [29] was generated based on the above and is repeated below.

**Q1.1 Do you agree with the following proposal?**

**For L2 UE to NW relay, the relay UE in RRC\_IDLE/RRC\_INACTIVE triggers connection establishment when it receives the first message from the remote UE (RRCSetupRequest or RRCResumeRequest).**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Response (Y/N) | Comments |
| MediaTek | Yes |  |
| OPPO | Y with comment | Although the intention is agreeable, we would like to clarify that relay UE does not have to check the RRC message content, i.e., the as long as the relay UE receives some message from remote UE on the LCID/SRB dedicated for L2 forwarding, the connection establishment can be triggered if has not.  Actually, this point is also to extend the compatibility of the procedure, i.e., not only for RRC setup/resume, we need to consider other procedures triggering relay UE to establish connection, e.g., handover (i.e., *RRCReconfigurationComplete*), and re-establishment (i.e., *RRCReestablishmentRequest*) |
| Sharp | No | In TS 23.752 solution#7 is considered as a baseline for L2 based relay and it inclues that 6.7.2.5.2 Connection Management Connection Management for the UE-to-Network Relay UE follows at least the principles and procedures defined in TS 23.501 [6] and TS 23.502 [8].  Connection Management for the Remote UE follows the principles and procedures defined in TS 23.501 [6] and TS 23.502 [8].  The UE-to-Network Relay may only relay data/signalling for the Remote UE(s) when the UE-to-Network Relay is in CM-CONNECTED/RRC Connected states. If the UE-to-Network Relay in CM\_IDLE state receives the PC5 connection request from the Remote UE for relay, the UE-to-Network Relay shall trigger Service Request procedure to enter CM\_CONNECTED state before relaying the signalling.  And solution#28 which is considered as a baseline for L3 based relay includes that 6.28.1.2 PC5 connection establishment After UE-to-Network Relay discovery, the Remote UE may decide to establish a PC5 connection with a UE-to-Network Relay. The PC5 connection establishment reuses the Direct Communication procedure as described in clause 6.3.3 of TS 23.287 [5], with the following enhancements:  - The Remote UE determines the PDU session requirements (e.g. S-NSSAI, DNN, PDU Session Type, SSC mode) and includes the PDU session requirements in the Direct Communication Request message during the PC5 connection establishment procedure. How to determine the PDU session requirements is based on, e.g. URSP rules (pre-configured or received from PCF during previous Remote UE registration procedure as step 0 in Figure 6.6.2-1). The Remote UE only provides standardized S-NSSAI (as specified in clause 5.15.2 of TS 23.501 [6]) in the Direct Communication Request when the Remote UE and the UE-to-Network Relay belong to different HPLMNs.  So we think the solutions concluded in SA2 could be the way forward, i.e. the relay UE in RRC\_IDLE/RRC\_INACTIVE triggers connection establishment when receiving the PC5 connection request from the Remote UE for relay, such a PC5 connection request could be Direct Communication Request message |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

RAN2 agreed to support SI request/delivery for a remote UE in all RRC states. For an out of coverage remote UE, this necessarily means requesting/receiving system information via the relay UE. In [13], it is suggested to confirm this understanding (from the point of view of SI request).

**Proposal 15** from the initial summary document [29] was generated based on the above and is repeated below.

**Q1.2. Do you agree with the following proposal?**

RAN2 to confirm that on-demand SI request is supported via the relay UE for OOC remote UE.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Response (Y/N) | Comments |
| MediaTek | Yes |  |
| OPPO | Y |  |
| Sharp | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

For an IC UE, whether the remote UE requests SI directly from Uu or via the relay UE is further discussed in [6][13]. In both [6][13] it is indicated that one option would be to perform SI request via Uu when no PC5-RRC connection exists, and perform SI request via the relay UE when a PC5-RRC connection with the relay UE exists. This is inline with the assumptions already made for data transfer in the TR:

*For UE-to-Network Relay, relaying of unicast data between the Remote UE and the network can occur after a PC5-RRC connection is established between the Relay UE and the Remote UE.*

[13] also suggests that an alternative could be that the remote UE always triggers on-demand SI via direct Uu path and uses the indirect path only for exceptional cases. This seems to be a new topic/aspect which was not discussed in past meetings and seems unnecessary if we assume the baseline approach which is aligned with data transmission and for which the behaviour for the remote UE is the same for both IC and OOC. It is therefore suggested to not discuss such new approaches as part of the SI, in-line with the agreed way forward to address ENs/FFS and ignore new issues at this meeting.

**Proposal 16** from the initial summary document [29] was generated based on the above and is repeated below, while generalizing to both IC and OOC.

**Q1.3. Do you agree with the following proposal?**

**A remote UE (IC or OOC) requests/receives SI via the relay UE when PC5-RRC connected to a relay UE.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Response (Y/N) | Comments |
| MediaTek | Yes |  |
| OPPO | Y |  |
| Sharp | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

In the summary document, the following proposal was derived from company contributions:

Proposal 17 A remote UE can receive some system information from a relay UE (e.g. by broadcast/groupcast) before it initiates a PC5-RRC connection.

In email discussion Post111-e 627, the mechanism for delivery of system information, and what information needs to be delivered was already discussed and the conclusion was that such details could be left to the WI phase, as per the TR text:

*“Relay UE can forward the system information to Remote UE via broadcast, groupcast, or dedicated PC5-RRC signalling. The detailed mechanisms of broadcast, groupcast and PC5-RRC signalling design and what system information can be relayed to Remote UEs can be discussed in WI phase.”*

Rapporteur believes there is no need to discuss this issue again, and that the previous conclusion can be maintained.

**Q1.4. Do you agree that proposal 17 in from the summary document ([29]) does not need further discussion as it was already concluded in RAN2#112 that such details are to be finalized in the WI stage?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Response (Y/N) | Comments |
| MediaTek | Yes |  |
| OPPO | Y |  |
| Sharp | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Also discussed in Post111-e 627 was the SI request mechanism for a remote UE.

For a remote UE in RRC\_CONNECTED requesting SI via the relay UE, it was observed in [5], [6], and [13] that the dedicatedSIBRequest procedure can be re-used to request and forward SI transparently to the relay UE. This was also the basic understanding of all companies in Post111-e 627.

**Proposal 18** from the initial summary document [29] was generated based on the above and is repeated below.

**Q1.5 Do you agree with the following proposal?**

**DedicatedSIBRequest procedure is re-used for the remote UE in RRC\_CONNECTED to request SI via the relay UE.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Response (Y/N) | Comments |
| MediaTek | Yes |  |
| OPPO | Y |  |
| Sharp | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

For a remote UE in RRC\_INACTIVE/RRC\_IDLE, the common understanding from Post111-e 627 was that MSG1-based SI request is not supported. The issue which remained from the email discussion was whether the legacy Uu RRC procedure for MSG3-based SI request can be re-used. In the summary of this email discussion, only one company indicated that the legacy MSG3-based SI request procedure for IDLE/INACTIVE remote UE could not be re-used because the relay UE would have no way of knowing the SI to be forwarded to the requesting remote UE, which was re-iterated in [5].

However, in [6], it was noted that the relay UE being aware of the SI request was an enhancement which allows the relay UE to send only the requested SI(s) to the requesting UE (e.g. using unicast on PC5). If the relay UE is unaware of the SI requested, the relay UE can simply send all SI being broadcasted by the network at a given time. Rapporteur therefore thinks that a procedure to make the relay UE aware of the SI requested by the remote UE is an enhancement to the legacy procedure and can be further discussed in the WI stage.

**Q1.6: Do you agree that proposal 19 in the summary document [29] suggests an enhancement that can be discussed in the WI stage?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Response (Y/N) | Comments |
| MediaTek | Yes |  |
| OPPO |  | We do not think this enhancement is needed.  But is fine to leave it to WI phase. |
| Sharp | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.2 Agreements on Priority 1 Proposals

During the online session, the following agreements were made from priority 1 proposals.

Agreements:

Update the TR with the following changes:

- Remove “Editor’s note: Service continuity related CP procedure is captured in 4.5.4” from section 4.5.5

- Remove “Editor’s note: RAN2 needs to consider SA3 input” from section 5.5.3 and add the sentence “Security aspects require confirmation from SA3” to the text.

- Revise the following sentence as: “For the inter-gNB cases, compared to the intra-gNB cases, potential different parts on RAN2 Uu interface in details can be discussed in WI phase.” in section 4.5.4.

RAN2 confirm the decision of last meeting that L2 and L3 are both feasible for U2N and U2U, aligned with the LS sent to SA2 from RAN2#112-e (this is not a conclusion on the recommendation for normative work).

The first 3 agreements represent changes that can be made directly in the draft TP (in phase 2 of the email discussion) and does not require further discussion.

For the last agreement, the intent is to capture the decision for L2 and L3 relay (which was sent to SA2) into the RAN2 TR. In the LS to SA2 the following was indicated by RAN2:

*“RAN2 is studying Direct Discovery procedure, UE-to-Network Relay and UE-to-UE Relay solutions in the study on NR Sidelink Relay (FS\_NR\_SL\_Relay). In this study, both Layer-2 based Relay architecture and Layer-3 based Relay architecture are discussed in RAN2 and both have been found feasible, for which the latest study progress is summarized in TR 38.836 V0.1.1”*

It was suggested online to use the same wording as in the LS for the text captured in the conclusion section of the TR.

**Q2.1 Do you agree to the following wording for the text to be captured in the conclusions section of the TR?**

**“RAN2 has studied direct discovery procedure, UE-to-Network Relay, and UE-to-UE Relay solutions. In this study, both Layer-2 based Relay architecture and Layer-3 based Relay architecture have both been found feasible.”**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Response (Y/N) | Comments |
| MediaTek | Yes |  |
| OPPO | Y |  |
| Sharp | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.3 Working Towards Conclusions (Phase II)

A number of papers to RAN2#113 have presented conclusions relevant to L2 U2N and U2U relay [3][25][26][27][28]. These papers give concluding remarks on what was studied, technical evaluation/conclusion, and what is left for normative work.

Rapporteur intends to circulate a TP generated from the information in these papers as part of phase II discussion.
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