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**1. Overall Description:**

RAN2 would like to thank SA2 for the LS on AN-PDB and PER targets for satellite access and provide following answers.

**SA2 Question 1:** SA2 would like to ask RAN1, and RAN2 to indicate what is the expected “lower” and “higher” AN-PDB values when the different RAT types for satellite access is used?

**RAN2 answer:**

As documented in TR 38.821, the worst-case round-trip delay in NTN with transparent payload is 541.46 ms for GEO, 41.77 ms for LEO at 1200km, and 25.77 ms for LEO at 600km. RAN2 understands the round-trip delay in HAPS based NTN with transparent payload would be similar to that in terrestrial network. The RTD can be used to determine PDB based on assumed number of retransmissions and value of PER.

[Samsung] We are fine with the answer text. We would like SA2 to consider supporting different QoS for different NTN Types so that a given NTN Type is not constrained by the worst-case NTN Type.

**SA2 Question 2:** SA2 would like to ask RAN1, and RAN2 to indicate what is the expected upper bound of PER when the different RAT types for satellite access is used?

**RAN2 answer:**

RAN2 expects the same TN upper bound of PER to be applicable in NTN.

[Samsung] We are fine with the answer text. We would like SA2 to consider supporting different QoS for different NTN Types so that a given NTN Type is not constrained by the worst-case NTN Type. We further observe that a higher PER target will give more flexibility to NTN operators in offering diverse services. For example, instead of just 1% PER for voice services, 1% to 3% PER would provide more flexibility.

**2. Actions:**

**To** **SA2:**

**ACTION:** RAN2 respectfully asks SA2 to take the above information into account.

**3. Date of Next RAN2 Meetings:**
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