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# 1 Introduction

This document is to kick-off the following email discussion:

* [AT113-e][032][eNPN] UE onboarding and provisioning for NPN (Ericsson)

Scope: Take into account documents submitted to this section, 1st pass: identify what is required to be supported by AS and determine the RAN2 impact, if possible. Identify common views / potential initial agreements, Identify points that need further discussion. Can also gather comments on the need to ask questions to other group.

Intended outcome: Report with agreeable proposals and discussion points (not too many, preferably < 10) for treatment on-line

Deadline: 1st Deadline for Comments: Friday Jan 29 1000 UTC. Other deadline if needed by rapporteur. Report Ready for treatment on-line Feb 3.

## Contact information

To make it easier to find the correct contact delegate in each company for potential follow-up questions, the rapporteur encourages the delegates to provide their contact information in the following table:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email address |
| Ericsson | Felipe Arraño Scharager | felipe.arrano.scharager@ericsson.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 2 Discussion

The following RAN2 centric objectives are captured in the NG\_RAN\_PRN\_enh WID (see [RP-202363](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/TSG_RAN/TSGR_90e/Docs/RP-202363.zip)), regarding the scope of the current email discussion:

* Support UE onboarding and provisioning for NPN including:
  + The UE onboarding relevant parameter broadcast from SIB [RAN2]
  + The associated cell selection/reselection, cell access control and the connected mode mobility support [RAN2/RAN3]

Therefore, as indicated above, the intention of the present document is to identify common views regarding what is required to be supported by AS and the related RAN2 impact.

The list of Tdocs considered for this email discussion is available in the Reference section below.

## 2.1 Relevant parameter broadcast in SIB

SA2’s eNPN study item resulting in TR 23.700-07 (see [SP-200967](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/TSG_SA/TSGs_90E_Electronic/Docs/SP-200967.zip)) concluded the following in clause 8.4.1:

- The NG-RAN of the Onboarding network includes an indication for Onboarding enabled in the SIB (per O-SNPN, considering that the NG-RAN can be shared) so that the UE can discover and select an appropriate O-SNPN. The UE may or may not be pre-configured with O-SNPN network selection information (e.g. O-SNPN network identifiers).

NOTE 2: Whether the indication for Onboarding is sufficient or more SIB information is needed can be further discussed in the normative phase.

**Q1.1.a: Do you agree that a 1-bit indication for onboarding is needed in the SIB?**

**Q1.1.b: Do you agree that this indication should broadcast per O-SNPN in shared-cell scenarios?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No (Q1.1**.**a)** | **Yes/No (Q1.1**.**b)** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Some companies argue that a 1-bit indication is enough for onboarding purposes, yet others point out that it would be beneficial to broadcast additional information, e.g. the Subscription Owner (SO) SNPN associated with the Onboarding SNPN (O-SNPN), or even the Group ID (GID) of the latter. However, it should be stressed, what is also mentioned in some contributions, that onboarding is a “one-shot” procedure which is not time-critical. Therefore, a 1-bit indication (e.g., in SIB1) could arguably be sufficient to signal whether the O-SNPN’s NG-RAN supports onboarding. In this same line, the amount of onboarding-related information may determine the SIB on which this should be broadcast, given the size constraints imposed by different SIBs. It is therefore important to find consensus on the above.

**Q1.2.a: Do you think any additional SIB information (e.g. SO-SNPN) besides the 1-bit indication is needed?**

**Q1.2.b: Which SIB should be used to indicate support for onboarding?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No (Q1.2.a)** | **SIB** preference **(Q1.2**.**b)** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Even though it may not be in RAN2 scope, in [R2-2101002](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2101002.zip) the question came up whether it is possible for the cells belonging to the same SNPN to broadcast different contents with regard to onboarding (e.g., some of them broadcast the “onboarding supported” indication while others don’t, or, the cells broadcast different SO/DCS IDs).

Thus, it remains to be clarified whether the support is to be homogeneous throughout the O-SNPN or if it may differ from one cell to another.

**Q1.3: Should the broadcast of onboarding-related information be homogenous throughout the O-SNPN (same onboarding related content broadcast in all cells belonging to the O-SNPN)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.2 Cell selection/reselection and mobility support

When addressed, some companies’ view is that the onboarding indication does not impact AS cell selection/reselection and that legacy procedure should be enough for a UE to decide whether it can select a cell given the available onboarding indication. However, there are others that do not agree with this or did not discuss it.

**Q2.1: Do you see any impacts on cell selection/reselection procedures (e.g. a need to change suitable cell criteria) to support UE onboarding in O-SNPNs?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Similarly, not all companies indicated their position on the impact of onboarding on connected mode mobility.

**Q2.2: Do you see any impact regarding connected mode mobility?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.3 Cell access and congestion control

Two trends became apparent with respect to the approach needed to provide congestion control, one is based on using the onboarding indication and the other by means of the Unified Access Control (UAC) mechanism. While the latter allows for higher granularity by allowing the RAN to configure a barring factor and a barring time for onboarding, it also requires additional complexity in terms of specification work since a new Access Class value needs to be introduced by SA1 for the onboarding procedure (which might eventually be a once-in-a-lifetime procedure).

Instead, with the first option, if a 1-bit indication is used to broadcast onboarding support, the O-SNPN’s RAN could toggle this bit in the SIB to control congestion due to UE onboarding requests and, thus, controlling the access.

**Q3: What approach is more suitable for RAN-level congestion control handling?   
Option A) Toggle the onboarding indication in the SIB  
Option B) Use the UAC approach  
Option C) Other mechanism is needed   
Option D) There is no need to control congestion due to onboarding at a RAN level**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preferred option** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.4 Onboarding request

From the conclusions of TR 23.700-07 (see clause 8.4.1), the following information is provided from the UE to the network for the purpose of onboarding.

- Upon registration to an SNPN for Onboarding, the UE provides an indication at RRC level that the RRC connectionis for onboarding. This information will be specified only for SNPN and allows NG-RAN to select an appropriate AMF that supports onboarding procedures.

Most companies agree that an onboarding request indication is needed, while [R2-2100278](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2100278.zip) states this is not necessary.

**Q4.1: Do you agree that UEs should signal an onboarding request indication?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Several companies' view is to add this indication in *RRCSetupComplete*, i.e., msg5. But some companies have proposed to signal this in the *RRCSetupRequest* message, i.e., msg3. The rapporteur understands that these options require as a minimum:

* For *RRCSetupRequest* (msg3), a new *EstablishmentCause* for onboarding purposes,
* For *RRCSetupComplete* (msg5), a new field indicating onboarding purpose.

**Q4.2: If an onboarding request needs to be signalled, where should it be added?**

**Option A) *RRCSetupComplete* (i.e., msg5)**

**Option B) *RRCSetupRequest* (i.e., msg3)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preferred option** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

[R2-2101516](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2101516.zip) pointed out the need to include this in other messages, e.g. *RRCResumeComplete*.

**Q4.3: Is the onboarding request information needed in other RRC messages, e.g. *RRCResumeComplete*?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Finally, [R2-2101616](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2101616.zip) proposes to optionally include more information than only the onboarding request indication.

**Q4.4: Is additional information needed from the UE to the network for onboarding purposes?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.5 Onboarding network types

The support of PLMNs acting as Onboarding Networks has been mentioned in certain contributions, e.g. [R2-2101002](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2101002.zip), [R2-2101616](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2101616.zip), and [R2-2101930](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2101930.zip) provides a draft LS, where SA2 is asked to confirm whether an SNPN capable UE operating in SNPN Access Mode could still register to a PLMN for onboarding and remote provisioning (i.e., to an O-PLMN).

According to the rapporteur’s understanding, discussions on onboarding PLMNs are ongoing in SA2 (see [SA2 email discussion](ftp://ftps.3gpp.org/tsg_sa/WG2_Arch/TSGS2_143e_Electronic/INBOX/CCs/Moderated_Email_Discussion/eNPN/S2-21xxxxx-eNPN-issues-normative_r03_FINAL.docx)). Therefore, it is sufficient to wait for SA2 to conclude and update the TR 23.700-07.

**Q5: Do you agree that we can focus on O-SNPNs and wait for SA2 to conclude on onboarding PLMNs?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.6 Other issues

**Q6: Are there any other related issues that are not addressed by the previous questions?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Other issue(s)** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 2.7 Proposed questions to other WGs

**Q7: Any other proposed questions to other WGs related to onboarding in SNPNs?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **WG(s)** | **Proposed question(s)** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3 Conclusion

To be added.

# References

[R2-2100491](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2100491.zip" \o "D:Documents3GPPtsg_ranWG2TSGR2_113-eDocsR2-2100491.zip) UE onboarding Ericsson discussion Rel-17 NG\_RAN\_PRN\_enh-Core

[R2-2101616](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2101616.zip) Discussion the issue to support UE onboarding and provisioning for NPN CMCC discussion Rel-17 NG\_RAN\_PRN\_enh

[R2-2101002](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2101002.zip) Discussion on RAN2 impact of UE onboarding and remote provisioning for SNPN and PNI-NPN Huawei, HiSilicon, China Telecom discussion Rel-17 NG\_RAN\_PRN\_enh-Core

[R2-2100242](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2100242.zip) Initial Discussion for Onboarding OPPO discussion Rel-17 NG\_RAN\_PRN\_enh-Core

[R2-2100243](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2100243.zip) Cell Access Control for Onboarding OPPO discussion Rel-17 NG\_RAN\_PRN\_enh-Core

[R2-2100278](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2100278.zip) Discussion on UE Onboarding and Provisioning for NPN CATT discussion Rel-17

[R2-2100432](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2100432.zip) Consideration on the Onboarding and Provisioning for NPN ZTE Corporation, Sanechips discussion Rel-17 NG\_RAN\_PRN\_enh-Core

[R2-2100442](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2100442.zip) UE onboarding and provisioning for NPN Qualcomm Incorporated discussion

[R2-2100544](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2100544.zip) Overview of RAN2 impacts to support UE onboarding and provisioning for NPN Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell discussion Rel-17 NG\_RAN\_PRN\_enh

[R2-2100635](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2100635.zip) RAN2 impact on support UE onboarding and provisioning for NPN Intel Corporation discussion Rel-17 NG\_RAN\_PRN\_enh-Core

[R2-2100839](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2100839.zip) Support UE onboarding and provisioning for NPN vivo discussion

[R2-2101516](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2101516.zip) Support of UE onboarding and provisioning for NPN LG Electronics discussion Rel-17

[R2-2101898](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2101898.zip) LS on UE onboarding and remote provisioning for SNPN CMCC LS out Rel-17 To:SA2 Cc:RAN3 Revised

[R2-2101930](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2101930.zip) draft LS on UE onboarding and remote provisioning for SNPN CMCC LS out Rel-17 [R2-2101898](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2101898.zip) To:SA2 Cc:RAN3