

**TSG-RAN Working Group 1 meeting No. 11**  
**February 29 – March 3, San Diego, USA**

***TSGR1-00-0459***

TSG-RAN Working Group 2 (Radio L2 and Radio L3)  
Torino, Italy, 28 February - 3 March 2000

R2-000637

**Title: Response (to TSG-RAN WG1) to LS (R1-000400) on CPCH channel assignment and emergency stop procedure**

**Source: TSG-RAN WG2**

**To: TSG-RAN WG1**

**Cc:**

**Contact:**

Jin-sung Choi  
LG Information and Communications, Ltd.  
[Jinsungc@lgic.co.kr](mailto:Jinsungc@lgic.co.kr)

---

RAN2 thanks RAN1 for informing us the current status of the discussions about CPCH related issues together with the answers to our previous LS on CSICH broadcast information. WG2 will keep consistency with WG1 on these issues.

Regarding CPCH emergency stop command and the start of message indicator, WG2 has noted the discussion status in WG1 and currently WG2 is in line with WG1 on these issues, and has approved related CRs already. Also, WG2 informs WG1 that WG2 has no problem with the emergency stop procedure framework being dealt in WG1. However, regarding on when to execute emergency stop on UE side, WG2 decided that UE RRC makes the decision first and have UE L1 execute it. For your information, the sequences agreed within WG2 this week on these schemes are attached to this LS.

Another informative thing that WG2 would like to inform to WG1 is that the emergency stop command is sent from Node B L1 to UE L1 upon the request from Node B RRC using CPHY-primitive sent through the control SAP (not through transport channel). On UE side, upon the reception of this command, UE L1 notifies this to UE RRC using CPHY-primitive sent also through control SAP. Therefore, the transport channel does not play any role for this case.

WG2 would like to confirm that the maximum data rate should be kept in CSICH as required in the CA mode as indicated in the previous LS.



R2-000564.doc



R2-000565.doc