**Proposal for email thread topics for Rel-16 5G V2X maintenance**

Thread #1

* Issue PS-1: PSSCH DMRS mapping

Thread #2

* Issue M1-1-1: SL HARQ-ACK reporting when SL FB is not used (considering LS in R1-2104559)

Thread #3

* Issue M1-2-1: Value of n\_CI

Thread #4

* Issue M2-5: HARQ RTT time gap capturing issue in MAC (considering LS in R1-2104559)

Separate threads for TP capturing agreements made in previous meetings and preparation of reply LS

Thread #A

* Issue M1-4: TPs corresponding to agreements in previous meetings (Agreement/LS from RAN1#104, reply LS received in R1-2104160)

Thread #B

* Issue M2-1: TP to implement the agreement from [104b-e-NR-5G\_V2X-03]

Thread #C

* Issue PP-1: TP for multiplexing SL HARQ-ACK reports on a PUSCH

Thread #D

* LS reply to R1-2104559 taking into account the outcome of Thread #2 and Thread #4.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| LG Electronics | From our perspective, it is doubtful that Issue PS-1 is really an essential one needed to be resolved at this late timing of maintenance phase. So, our preference is to remove it. In addition, when discussing Issue M1-1-1, we should limit the scope of email discussion to the contents (i.e., how to report ACK/NACK via PUCCH when using CG resources) mentioned in the RAN2 LS of R1-2104559. In other words, it should be avoided to discuss an optimization relevant to other aspects (e.g., how to report ACK/NACK via PUCCH when using DG resources) together. |
| ZTE, Sanechips | OK with the proposal |
| NTT DOCOMO | We agree with LGE that it seems that PS-1 is not an essential one. Even if some discussions are needed, it should be just an editorial one. No need to consume one valuable thread for this topic.  Instead of PS-1, we believe that M1-1-2 should be discussed. As presented in our contribution x5680, RAN1 spec does not have text corresponding to text in RAN2 spec that DG resource can be skipped. This is not an optimization, but essential one. (If RAN1 conclusion is no DG skip and to send an LS to RAN2, then it is also fine in our understanding. At least RAN1 work is necessary.)  We are OK with the remaining part. |
| Ericsson | We do not think an email thread is needed for PS-1. If there is wide support, it is probably enough to have a CR/TP thread.  We are supportive of discussing the other 3 threads along with the TP threads. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Summary of inputs**

* To be summarized

**Topics in each FL summary**

**Physical layer structure**

***Issue#PS-0: Whether/how to capture in the specifications will be discussed in Editor CR phase.***

* [1, ETRI]: Correct reference section numbers for CSI-RS/DM-RS transmissions in 214
* [4, Huawei, HiSilicon]: (PSSCH DMRS time domain OCC) Delete the last coulum of Table 8.4.1.1.2-2 in 211to make l^' for the time domain OCC of PSSCH DM-RS only equal to 0.
* [4, Huawei, HiSilicon]: (PSSCH DMRS parameter) λ in Table 8.4.1.1.2-2 in 211 is changed to Δ.

***Issue#PS-1***: PSSCH DMRS mapping

* Change from “allocate” to “available”
* [2, NEC]

***Issue#PS-2***: SCS offset in SL BWP and UL BWP

* Change the definition of sidelink offset used in OFDM baseband signal generation
* TP for Clause 5.3.1 for 211 is

is the largest value among the subcarrier spacing configurations by the higher-layer parameter *scs-SpecificCarrierList* for uplink or downlink, and by the higher-layer parameter *sl-SCS-SpecificCarrierList* for sidelink.

* [3, Sharp]

***Issue#PS-3***: Clarifying multiple PSFCH transmission

* It may need to fix the description in simultaneous PSFCH transmission/reception.
* [3, Sharp]
* This issue may be categorized as physical layer procedure.

**Synchronization**

Issue SY-1: NR SL-TDD-Config in the coverage of eNB

Issue SY-2: Indication of the non-TDD case in sl-TDD-Config

Issue SY-3: Clarification of the notation of “”

**Mode 1**

Issue M1-1: SL HARQ-ACK reports to gNB

* M1-1-1: SL HARQ-ACK reporting when SL FB is not used (see CATT (P1-P3), OPPO (Section 2), Ericsson)
  + This topic is related to Q1 in the LS from RAN2 (R1-2104559) which is discussed in some contributions (see LGE (P2))
* M1-1-2: SL HARQ-ACK reporting when the UE does not perform SL transmission on the resources provided by a DG (see Fujitsu (P1), DCM (TP1))
* M1-1-3: SL HARQ-ACK reporting when multiple pools are configured (see vivo (TP3), ZTE (P2), ASUSTeK (TP1))
* M1-1-4: SL HARQ-ACK reporting in an incomplete PSFCH period (see vivo (TP4), ZTE (P1))
* M1-1-5: Aspects related to PUCCH power control (see vivo (TP5))
* M1-1-6: k>0 in offset between PSFCH and HARQ-ACK reporting (see Sharp (TP3))

Issue M1-2: DCI-related aspects

* M1-2-1: Value of n\_CI (see vivo (TP1))
* M1-2-2: DCI size alignment (see vivo (TP2))
* M1-2-3: Configuration index in DCI format 3\_0 for SL-CS-RNTI for retransmissions (see ASUSTeK (TP5), Sharp (TP1))
* M1-2-4: Search space overlapping between SL and Uu in the same carrier (LGE (P1))

Issue M1-3: Editorial corrections

* 38.213
  + Clause 10.2A: clarification of the CG validated (ASUSTeK (TP4))
  + Clause 16.5: Correct “One HARQ-ACK information bit” (Sharp (TP4))
    - FL assessment: The correction seems reasonable but it was discussed earlier without consensus.
* 38.214
  + Clause 8.1.2: correct reference (ASUSTeK (TP3))
  + Clause 8.1.2.1:
    - Indicate how the “Configuration index” field is set (see ZTE (P5), ASUSTeK (TP3))
    - RRC parameter name alignment *timeGapFirstSidelinkTransmission* (ASUSTeK (TP3))
  + Clause 8.4.1.2.2 typo (see OPPO (TP3))

Issue M1-4: TPs corresponding to agreements in previous meetings

* TS 38.213 Clause 16.5: Agreement/LS from RAN1#104, reply LS received in R2-2104463 (see vivo (TP6), ZTE (P4), Nokia+NSB (P1), DCM (TP2))

**Mode 2**

Issue M2-1 – TP to implement the agreement from [104b-e-NR-5G\_V2X-03]

Issue M2-2 – Resource exclusion/selection for multiple transport blocks

Issue M2-3 – Correction to step 6) to include slots within Tproc0

Issue M2-4 – Introduce a dropping condition when HARQ RTT time gap is not met

Issue M2-5 – HARQ RTT time gap capturing issue in MAC – related to LS R1-2104559

Issue M2-6 – In TS 38.214, the subscripts of the notations and should be changed into and respectively

Issue M2-7 – Exclude the slots with PSFCH when sl-LengthSymbols≤9 in the identification of candidate resources in the sensing procedure

Issue M2-8 – Clarification on timing relation between re-evaluation moment and initial selection moment

**Physical layer procedure**

Issue PP-1: TP for multiplexing SL HARQ-ACK reports on a PUSCH

* Huawei [R1-2104235], CATT [R-12104478], vivo [R1-2105464], Ericsson [R1-2105895], LG [R1-2105291], Apple [R1-2105082], DCM [R1-2105681]

Issue PP-2: Prioritization rule between PUSCH carrying SL HARQ-ACK reports and SL TX and/or RX

* Fujitsu [R1-2102720], Apple [R1-2105082]

Issue PP-3: Editorial corrections

* Value of sl-PSFCH-RB-Set
  + Huawei [R1-2104235]
* Applicable condition of using sl-P0-PSSCH-PSCCH
  + Qualcomm [R1-2104649]
* Replacement of “a serving cell” with “a carrier”
  + DCM [R1-2105681]
* Reference correction
  + DCM [R1-2105681]

**QoS**

Issue QS-1: UE behaviour if highest CBR in CBR range configuration is less than 100 %