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1. Introduction

This contribution summarizes the following email discussion.

[105-e-NR-UEFeature-MRDCCA-01] Email discussion/approval on UE features regarding MR-DC/CA enhancement, till 5/24 (Hiroki, NTT DOCOMO)

* For FG18-1/1a/1b. following proposals are discussed in 7.2.11 if some high-level consensus is achieved based on the discussion in AI 7.2.10.
	+ Change FR1-FR2 differentiation from ‘No’ to ‘Yes’
	+ Add a note ‘If UE indicates support for a frequency range, this capability is applicable for power sharing between MCG and SCG cells with UL in that frequency range’
	+ Ask RAN2 to modify the descriptions in TS38.306 accordingly
1. Discussion on UE features for MR-DC/CA enhancement
	1. FG 18-1/1a/1b

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 18. MR-DC/CA enhancement | 18-1 | Basic UL power sharing for DC | Semi-static power sharing mode1 between MCG and SCG cells of same FR for NR dual connectivity. |  | Yes | N/A |  | Per BC | N/A | N/A | N/A | Absence means intra-FR DC is not supported.  | Optional with capability signalling |
| 18. MR-DC/CA enhancement | 18-1a | Semi-static UL power sharing mode 2 for DC | Semi-static power sharing mode 2 between MCG and SCG cells of same FR for NR dual connectivity. | 18-1 | Yes | N/A |  | Per BC | N/A | N/A | N/A | Semi-static power sharing mode 2 between MCG and SCG cells of same FR is applicable only for synchronous NR dual connectivity | Optional with capability signalling |
| 18. MR-DC/CA enhancement | 18-1b | Dynamic UL power sharing for DC | Dynamic power sharing between MCG and SCG cells of same FR for NR dual connectivity.1. T\_offset
 | 18-1 | Yes | N/A |  | Per BC | N/A | N/A | N/A | 1) {short, long} | Optional with capability signalling |

Following proposal is made in a contribution in AI 7.2.10.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [4] | In [1], an LS was received from RAN4 indicating that RAN4 does not introduce the parameter P-NR-FR2 in Rel-16. This parameter is related to NR-DC power control and used in RAN1 and RAN2 specs. In [2], an LS reply related to this was sent to RAN4, requesting further clarifications on how to handle power control for FR2-FR2 DC (i.e., DC where both MCG and SCG have cells with FR2 UL). UE capabilities related to NR-DC power control are currently captured in 38.306 as shown below. While the RRC configuration of power sharing made can be differentiated for FR1 and FR2 (i.e., using *nrdc-PCmode-FR1* or *nrdc-PCmode-FR2*), similar differentiation of UE capability is not present in current specs.**Current capability definitions from 38.306 vg40**

| ***Definitions for parameters*** | Per | M | FDD-TDDDIFF | FR1-FR2DIFF |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***intraFR-NR-DC-PwrSharingMode1-r16***Indicates whether the UE supports intra-FR NR DC with semi-static power sharing mode1 between MCG and SCG cells of same frequency range as defined in TS 38.213 [11]. If this field is absent, the UE does not support intra-FR NR DC.  | BC | No | No | No |
| ***intraFR-NR-DC-PwrSharingMode2-r16***Indicates whether the UE supports semi-static power sharing mode2 between MCG and SCG cells of same frequency range for synchronous intra-FR NR DC as defined in TS 38.213 [11]. The UE indicating the support of this also indicates the support of *intraFR-NR-DC-PwrSharingMode1-r16.* | BC | No | No | No |
| ***intraFR-NR-DC-DynamicPwrSharing-r16***Indicates the UE support of dynamic power sharing for intra-FR NR DC between MCG and SCG cells of same frequency range with long or short offset as specified in TS 38.213 [11]. The UE indicating the support of this also indicates the support of *intraFR-NR-DC-PwrSharingMode1-r16.* | BC | No | No | No |

Given current status with *p-NR-FR2* decision by RAN4, the UE capability definitions should be modified such that UE can indicate support for a particular power sharing mode for FR1 only (i.e., applicable only to cells with FR1 UL in MCG and SCG) without indicating it as supported for FR2.This can be achieved by enabling FR1-FR2 differentiation for these capabilities so that if UE indicates ‘yes’ for a FR, the capability is applicable for power sharing between MCG and SCG cells with UL in that FR. This separates the capability for FR1 NR-DC reporting and additionally provides forward compatibility if RAN4 continues discussion for p-NR-FR2 and p-UE-FR2 in Rel17. **Proposed modification**

| ***Definitions for parameters*** | Per | M | FDD-TDDDIFF | FR1-FR2DIFF |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***intraFR-NR-DC-PwrSharingMode1-r16***Indicates whether the UE supports intra-FR NR DC with semi-static power sharing mode1 between MCG and SCG cells of same frequency range as defined in TS 38.213 [11]. If this field is absent, the UE does not support intra-FR NR DC. If UE indicates support for a frequency range, this capability is applicable for power sharing between MCG and SCG cells with UL in that frequency range | BC | No | No | ~~No~~ Yes |
| ***intraFR-NR-DC-PwrSharingMode2-r16***Indicates whether the UE supports semi-static power sharing mode2 between MCG and SCG cells of same frequency range for synchronous intra-FR NR DC as defined in TS 38.213 [11]. The UE indicating the support of this also indicates the support of *intraFR-NR-DC-PwrSharingMode1-r16.*If UE indicates support for a frequency range, this capability is applicable for power sharing between MCG and SCG cells with UL in that frequency range | BC | No | No | ~~No~~Yes |
| ***intraFR-NR-DC-DynamicPwrSharing-r16***Indicates the UE support of dynamic power sharing for intra-FR NR DC between MCG and SCG cells of same frequency range with long or short offset as specified in TS 38.213 [11]. The UE indicating the support of this also indicates the support of *intraFR-NR-DC-PwrSharingMode1-r16.*If UE indicates support for a frequency range, this capability is applicable for power sharing between MCG and SCG cells with UL in that frequency range | BC | No | No | ~~No~~Yes |

Our understanding is that in RAN2 it was decided in last meeting to wait for RAN1 input regarding the above capabilities. * Wait for RAN1 input on the support of power sharing for FR2+FR2 NR-DC, including changes to UE capabilities.

Since it is unclear at this point how the power limits will be specified by RAN4 for Rel17, we propose to indicate to RAN2 to modify the UE capabilities as shown above. |

Since the proposal is about updates on UE features list descriptions and 38.306 descriptions, the proposal should be discussed in AI 7.2.11 once some high-level consensus is achieved based on the discussion in corresponding maintenance agenda (AI 7.2.10).

### **FL proposal #1**

* **For FG18-1/1a/1b. following proposals are discussed in 7.2.11 if some high-level consensus is achieved based on the discussion in AI 7.2.10.**
	+ **Change FR1-FR2 differentiation from ‘No’ to ‘Yes’**
	+ **Add a note ‘If UE indicates support for a frequency range, this capability is applicable for power sharing between MCG and SCG cells with UL in that frequency range’**
	+ **Ask RAN2 to modify the descriptions in TS38.306 accordingly**

Companies are encouraged to check above FL proposal and to provide feedback if any in below. If you cannot accept the FL proposals, please put your company name after “Cannot accept the proposals” below and please provide your alternative proposal (in your comment) which could be acceptable to all in your consideration.

 Cannot accept the proposal:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Moderator (NTT DOCOMO) | Although originally we planned to start the discussion on this proposal after some higher level discussion in AI 7.2.10 based on RAN4 response, it seems difficult to expect that we could have neither such higher level discussion in AI 7.2.10 nor RAN4 response in this e-meeting based on the current situation.However, we should fix the capability issue raised in R1-2105790 as early as possible, since it may cause further delay of implementing Rel-16 NR-DC.So, here we can discuss whether/how to solve the issue with considering uncertainty of RAN4 response, i.e., the solution should be flexible to any potential RAN4 response. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

1. Conclusion

TBD
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