**3GPP TSG-RAN WG1 Meeting #105-e R1-210xxxx**

**e-Meeting, May 10th – 27th, 2021**

**Agenda Item: 5.2**

**Source: Moderator (ZTE)**

**Title: Summary on the physical layer aspects of small data transmission**

**Document for: Discussion**

# Introduction

[105-e-NR-R17-SDT-01] Email discussion on RAN1 Aspects for NR small data transmissions in INACTIVE state) – Li (ZTE)

* 1st check point: 5/21
* 2nd check point: 5/25
* Final check: 5/27

In RAN1#104-e and RAN1#104bis-e meeting, RAN1 has discussed the physical layer issues of small data transmission requested by RAN2 LS R1-2100025 and R1-2102286, and some agreement have been achieved for RA-SDT and CG-SDT respectively.

Two reply LSs containing the agreements on part of issues was sent to RAN2 (R1-2102125 and R1-2104012).

In this meeting, it is necessary to continue the discussion on the remaining physical layer issues, i.e. mainly on the mapping of SSB-to-PUSCH resource and TA validation.

This document contains the summary of remaining issues related to the physical layer aspects of small data transmission in RAN1#105-e meeting.

# Identified issues

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Issue # | Description | Related TDoc # |
| 1 | TA validation for CG-SDT | R1-2104227(Nokia)  [R1-2104282](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_105\Docs\R1-2104282.zip)(Huawei)  [R1-2104408](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_105\Docs\R1-2104408.zip)(Spreadtrum)  [R1-2104798](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_105\Docs\R1-2104798.zip)(OPPO)  [R1-2104840](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_105\Docs\R1-2104840.zip)(ZTE)  [R1-2105508](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_105\Docs\R1-2105508.zip)(Ericsson) |
| 2 | SSB to PUSCH mapping for CG-SDT | R1-2104227(Nokia),  [R1-2104282](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_105\Docs\R1-2104282.zip)(Huawei)  [R1-2104408](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_105\Docs\R1-2104408.zip)(Spreadtrum)  [R1-2104469](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_105\Docs\R1-2104469.zip)(CATT)  [R1-2104840](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_105\Docs\R1-2104840.zip)(ZTE)  [R1-2105073](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_105\Docs\R1-2105073.zip)(Apple)  R1-2104884(Intel)  [R1-2105283](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_105\Docs\R1-2105283.zip)(Samsung)  [R1-2105415](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_105\Docs\R1-2105415.zip)(LGE)  [R1-2105471](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_105\Docs\R1-2105471.zip)(InterDigital)  [R1-2105508](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_105\Docs\R1-2105508.zip)(Ericsson) |
| 3 | Others:   1. CORESET/SS for RA-SDT 2. Beam correspondence in RRC\_INACTIVE 3. BWP related issues | [R1-2104408](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_105\Docs\R1-2104408.zip)(Spreadtrum)  [R1-2104469](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_105\Docs\R1-2104469.zip)(CATT)  [R1-2105073](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_105\Docs\R1-2105073.zip)(Apple)  [R1-2105415](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_105\Docs\R1-2105415.zip)(LGE)  R1-2104227(Nokia)  [R1-2105073](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_105\Docs\R1-2105073.zip)(Apple) |

# TA validation for CG-SDT

**Reply LS on TA validation (R1-2104012)**

RAN1 discussed TA validation based on RSRP change criterion, and confirms that the change of RSRP could be taken as an optional criterion for determining the validity of the UL TA for CG-SDT considering the multi-beam operation. The criterion is valid only when the gNB configures RSRP change thresholds. RAN1 sees a few potential options on how the RSRP change thresholds are configured, e.g., cell level configured, or per set of SSBs configured, or configured per CG PUSCH configuration, etc. RAN1 understands this shall be studied in RAN2.

The RSRP in the criterion is a linear averaged RSRP of a subset of SSBs.The suitable mechanism for determining this subset of SSBs is still to be discussed further in RAN1. Candidates under study include e.g., determination based on an absolute RSRP threshold, or based on the SSB subset in configuration, etc. RAN1 will inform RAN2 if further progress is achieved in future.

Please note besides the RSRP change criterion and the TAT criterion (as agreed in RAN2), other criterions are under discussion in RAN1 to handle e.g., the potential issue of accuracy of TA validation from absolute RSRP. RAN1 does not reach consensus if the issue exists, and it is RAN1 understanding that this potential issue of accuracy of TA validation from absolute RSRP belongs to RAN4 expertise.

## Mechanism for determining the subset of SSBs

The following options to determine the subset of SSBs can be found in companies’ contributions.

***Discussion point 3.1:***

Down-select among the following options for the determination of the SSB subset for RSRP based TA validation.

* Option 1: Determination based on a configured absolute RSRP threshold [10][11][18]
* Option 2: The highest N beam measurement quantity values among the whole SSBs, where N shall not exceed *nrofSS-BlocksToAverage*. [7]
* Option 3: Explicitly indicated in RRC configuration [6][8]

Let us first collect more companies’ views on the pros and cons of each option, and then try to converge during the second round discussions.

### First round comments

Any comments on the above options?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| CATT | We prefer to Option 1 because it is simple way to determine for RSRP based TA validation. |
| Samsung | Option 1 seems suitable. |
| Nokia | Option 3. We don’t see option 1 as sufficient. It would be important for the gNB to have control over which SSBs are considered in validation in order to be able to have different TAT configurations for different sets of SSBs. |
|  |  |

### Second round comments

To be updated

## Other mechanisms for TA validation

Some other mechanisms are mentioned in [6][18], such as TDOA based criteria, time based schemes, multi-cell based RSRP measurements, multi-beam based RSRP measurements, in order to supplement the case when the RSRP based TA validation is not suitable.

Also one company [8] said it should be firstly studied in RAN4.

Since we have already included RAN4 in the reply LS, probably we can simply wait for RAN4 input or RAN2 requirement at this stage.

### First round comments

Any comments to the other potential mechanisms?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| CATT | We are fine with FL suggestion on waiting for RAN4 input or RAN2 requirement at this stage |
| Nokia | We are interested in considering additional mechanisms for TA validation and don’t really see the point in awaiting other WGs work when TA validation of this level would be something for RAN1 to study. The LS sent out in RAN1#104bis did not include any questions or requests that would be relevant for this discussion to continue in RAN1. |

# SSB to PUSCH mapping for CG-SDT

Agreement from the last meeting:

Agreement:

* It is RAN1’s common understanding that the CG configuration mechanism in licensed band can be reused for CG-SDT in principle.
* CG resources per CG configuration are associated with a set of SSB(s) configured by explicit signaling.
* FFS how to define an SSB-to-PUSCH resource mapping within the CG configuration.
* FFS specific changes to the CG configuration to support the additional SSB-to-PUSCH mapping, if any.

## SSB-to-PUSCH resource mapping within the CG configuration

For the first FFS, based on the contributions submitted to this meeting, three ways could be found to define the SSB-to-PUSCH mapping within the CG configuration.

***Discussion point #4.1:***

* Consider the following options for the SSB-to-PUSCH resource mapping within the CG configuration
  + Opt. 1: The mapping is explicitly included in the CG configuration. The indexing of the CG resources is captured in RAN2 spec. [11][12][13][17]
  + Opt. 2: The mapping is implicitly derived. The ordering of the SSB and CG resources are captured in RAN1 spec. [8][9][11][16][18][14]
    - Mapping ratio and association period could be explicitly signaled or implicitly derived
  + Opt. 3: SSB-to-PUSCH resource mapping is in relation to a RO associated with the SSB (e.g. based on a time and frequency offset in relation to the RO). [6]

Please first discuss the pros and cons of the above options. And a reply LS to RAN2 including the identified feasible solution(s) is expected to be prepared during the second round discussion.

### First round comments

Any comments on the mapping design?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| CATT | We are fine with Opt.2 because Opt.2 can save the signaling overhead compared with Opt.1. Regarding Opt.3, the benefit of SSB-to-PUSCH resource indirect mapping isn’t clear to us. |
| Samsung | We are supportive to option 2 as well (added our name in the summary).  Besides, we raised up two other related questions, but they seems not included in FL’s summary.  One is the using what SSB(s) to do the association if the explicit signaling is not provided. We think then all SSBs signaled in SIB1should be used. This is also a way for gNB to save signaling overhead;  Another is what will UE do if the selected SSB when triggers the CG-SDT is out of the indicated SSB sets? Which means it cannot have corresponding CG-PUSCH resource to use. We think it’s reasonable to allow it to switch to RA-SDT (even though it might be qualified from TA validation perspective). |
| Nokia | The motivation for option 3 is that in a hybrid BF cell the gNB doing Rx beam sweeping for RO would also need to do Rx beam sweeping for CG-SDT-PUSCH, so it would be important to be able to frequency mux RO and CG-SDT-PUSH transmission occasion. This property could be achieved with option 1 as well as with option 2, but it is a critical setup for the system to be able to achieve. In our view option 3 is a concrete solution of “option 2” on how to implicitly determine the time location. |

### Second round comments

To be added

## Specific changes to the CG configuration

The following specific changes to the CG configuration are mentioned in the submitted contributions.

1. Support of multiple DMRS resources [7][11][12][16]
2. Interpretation of the repetition [11][14]
3. Candidate values of CG periodicity [11][18]

***Discussion point 4.2***:

Consider the following changes to the CG configuration

* Support multiple DMRS resources per CG configurations, and each DMRS resource could be mapped to the same or different SSB(s).
* For the interpretation of repetition, down-select between:
  + Alt. 1: Re-interpret the configured repetition as the number of TDMed transmission occasions within a CG period. Each transmission occasion could be mapped to the same or different SSB(s).
  + Alt. 2: The repetitions are considered as a bundle of transmission occasions that are mapped to the same SSB(s).
* Ask RAN2 if value set of the CG periodicity should be limited (for implicit mapping in section 4.1)

### First round comments

Any comments?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| CATT | For multiple DMRS resources per CG configurations, it isn’t necessary because SSB-to-PUSCH resource mapping (1:1 and 1: N) within the CG configuration can be guaranteed and the case that SSB-to-PUSCH resource mapping N:1 doesn’t appear based on gNB configuration.  For PUSCH repetition, for Alt.1, because gNB can’t identify which SSB is selected by the UE if Each transmission occasion of PUSCH repetition could be mapped to different SSB(s), gNB can’t know to use which SSB for PUSCH retransmission.  So we prefer to Alt.2.  We are fine with Asking RAN2 if value set of the CG periodicity should be limited. |
| Samsung | Multiple DMRS resources per CG configurations could be allowed.  For second bullet, alt.1 should be supported, it just acts like number of PO configured in one CG-PUSCH periodicity. Then the association to one SSB will be derived accordingly. In the case of multiple SSB for one PO, we think here DMRS resources should be used to differentiate SSBs, otherwise, it’s a gNB mis-configuration.  For third bullet, is the motivation to shorten the value set to save signaling bit size? Otherwise, isn’t it the gNB scheduling issue to choose which value? |
| Nokia | Multiple DMRS resources per CG-PUSCH config doesn’t seem to be necessary.  Repetitions should be considered as a bundle  We don’t quite see the necessity to request RAN2 input, RAN1 should be able to determine the needs and design accordingly. |

### Second round comments

To be updated

# Others

There are some discussion points that are resubmitted

1. Beam correspondence in RRC\_INACTIVE [6]
2. CORESET/SS for RA-SDT [8][13][15]
3. BWP related issues [13]

Based on the comments in the last meeting, the majority view was that we should wait for RAN2 inputs before discussing those issues in RAN1.

Any further comments?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| CATT | We are fine with FL suggestion on wait for RAN2 inputs on above issues. |
| Nokia | Asking RAN4 to extend the beam correspondence requirement to apply to RRC\_Inactive has nothing to do with RAN2 ongoing work, but is a fundamental requirement for the SDT to work in FR2. On other two bullets we agree |

# Summary

The final proposals will be added later.
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# Appendix

List of proposals in the submitted contributions.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| TDoc | Proposals |
| R1-2104227  Nokia | **On CG resource association with SSB**  **Proposal 1:** The specific changes to the CG configuration to support the additional SSB-to-PUSCH mapping should be left to RAN2 to discuss, after the SSB-to-PUSCH mapping rule has been defined in RAN1.  **Proposal 2:** SSB-to-PUSCH resource mapping is in relation to a RO associated with the SSB (e.g. based on a time and frequency offset in relation to the RO).  **On TA validity within and across SSBs**  **Observation 1:** Cell-level RSRP is not suitable for RSRP based TA validation in multi-beam cells, because the observed RSRP variation does not necessarily increase as the UE moves closer to the cell centre nor necessarily decreases when the UE moves away from the cell centre.  **Proposal 3:** RAN1 to agree that Cell-level RSRP is not suitable for RSRP based TA validation in a multi-beam cell deployment.  **Proposal 4:** RAN1 to agree that the same subset of SSBs should be used for TA validation for obtaining the reference RSRP and the subsequent RSRP measurements to monitor the RSRP variation.  **Observation 2:** The determination at UE of the subset of SSBs to be used for TA validation based on absolute RSRP threshold does not guarantee that the set of selected SSBs avoids the behaviour where the averaged RSRP does not necessarily increase as the UE moves closer to the cell centre nor necessarily decreases when the UE moves away from the cell centre.  **Proposal 5:** RAN1 to agree that absolute RSRP threshold based determination of the subset of SSBs is not suitable for RSRP based TA validation in a multi-beam cell deployment.  **Observation 3:** The network should select the subset of SSBs for RSRP based TA validation based on the set of SSBs covering the location of the UE when the UE acquires a valid TA.  **Proposal 6:** RAN1 to agree that the RSRP for the TA validation should be based on the linear average of a set of configured SSBs and these can be provided as part of the CG-SDT configuration.  Error! No sequence specified.**Proposal 7:** The configuration of the RSRP change thresholds for RSRP based TA validation per subset of SSBs should be supported.  **Observation 4:** The RSRP change thresholds should be such that these are above the RSRP measurement error.  **Proposal 8:** Study additional TA validation methods in order to supplement the case when the RSRP based TA validation is not suitable.  **On PUSCH repetition with SDT-CG-PUSCH**  **Observation 5**: When SDT-CG-PUSCH configuration is associated to an SSB, there is no additional SSB mapping complication when repetitions are allowed.  **Proposal 9:** Allow using PUSCH repetition with SDT-CG-PUSCH. No spec changes needed.  **On beam correspondence in RRC\_Inactive**  **Observation 6:** The UE in RRC\_INACTIVE needs to support beam correspondence for the SDT-CG-PUSCH resource to SSB relation to be useful.  **Proposal 10:** Send an LS to RAN4 requesting the beam correspondence requirements to be applied to RRC\_INACTIVE |
| R1-2104282  Huawei | ***Proposal 1:*** *Multiple DMRSs per CG configuration is supported for CG-SDT.*  ***Proposal 2:*** *Confirm the repetition mechanism in CG configuration in licensed band is reused for CG-SDT. Do not support different repetitions within one CG period mapped to different SSBs in Rel-17.*  ***Proposal 3:*** *For the SSBs mapped to multiple DMRSs and CG periods within one CG configuration, reuse the preamble-to-PRU mapping rule in 2-step RACH MsgA.* *Either the association period or the number of SSBs per DMRS and CG period is explicitly configured per CG configuration.*  ***Proposal 4:*** *The RSRP is derived as the linear power scale average of the subset of SSBs with the highest N beam measurement quantity values among the whole SSBs, where N shall not exceed nrofSS-BlocksToAverage.* |
| R1-2104408  Spreadtrum | ***Proposal 1: The RSRP in the criterion for TA validation is a linear averaged RSRP of a subset of SSBs, where the subset of SSBs contains SSBs configured by gNB with explicit signalling.***  ***Proposal 2: The CORESET associated to the search space set for monitoring the PDCCH addressed to the C-RNTI after successful completion of the RACH procedure during RA-SDT is a common CORESET.***  ***Proposal 3: SSB-to-PUSCH resource units mapping within the CG configuration can be one-to-one mapping or many-to-one mapping.*** |
| R1-2104469  CATT | **Proposal 1: UE-specific CORESET or common CORESET for RA-SDT can be determined based on RAN2’s decision on the type of separate search space.**  **Proposal 2: Define the SSB-to-PUSCH resource mapping within the CG configuration based on the SSB-to-RO mapping rule.**  **Proposal 3: For CG-SDT, mapping ratio between SS/PBCH blocks and TOs of one Type1 CG configuration can be configured by RRC signaling within the association period.** **The association period is integer number of CG period starting from SFN0 and is configured by high layer signaling.**  **Proposal 4: PUSCH repetition should be supported for CG-SDT. When PUSCH repetition is applied for Type1 CG configuration during CG-SDT, SS/PBCH blocks should be associated with one TO bundle including K TOs corresponding to the K repetitions.** |
| R1-2104798  OPPO | ***Proposal 1: RSRP can be used as the criterion for determining the validity of the uplink timing alignment for CG-SDT.***  ***Proposal 2: Cell level RSRP shall be used for uplink timing alignment validation.*** |
| R1-2104840  ZTE | ***Proposal 1:***   * ***Either explicit mapping or implicit mapping can be considered for the SSB-to-PUSCH resource mapping within the CG configuration.***   + ***FFS if multiple DMRS needs to be configured***   + ***FFS if the repetition needs to be reinterpreted as the number of TDMed occasions per CG period***   + ***FFS if the value set of CG periodicity needs to be limited***   ***Proposal 2:***   * ***For TA validation based on RSRP change criterion, the absolute RSRP threshold used for deriving the serving cell RSRP which is used for cell reselection should be reused.*** |
| R1-2104884  InterDigital | **Proposal 1**   * *Association between SSBs and CG-PUSCH resources is configured by explicit signalling for CG-SDT.*    + *Multiple DMRS resources can be configured within a CG-PUSCH occasion.*   + *A DMRS resource is associated with an SSB from the configured set of SSBs.*   **Proposal 2**   * *CG-PUSCH occasion validation rule for CG-SDT follows that was defined for MsgA PUSCH occasion for 2-step RACH.* * *FFS: potential overlapping between CG-PUSCH occasions for CG-SDT and MsgA PUSCH occasions for 2-step RACH.* |
| R1-2105073  Apple | **Proposal 1: Explicit indication of association between SSB and CG-SDT PUSCH resource is supported.**  **Proposal 2: Time domain repetition can be supported for CG-SDT.**  **Proposal 3: For RA-SDT, the initial BWP is applied for UL and DL data transmission, where initial BWP is configured by SIB1. USS set is configured for SDT transmission.** |
| R1-2105283  Samsung | ***Proposal 1: in case of the SSB set indication is absent, the UE determines the SSB(s) associated with the CG-PUSCH by one of the following***   1. ***Associating to all the indicated SSB in the SIB1*** 2. ***Determine the SSB according to the sequential order of CG-PUSCH configuration lists***   ***Proposal 2: Configure the number of PUSCH transmission occasion (PO) in one CG-PUSCH period by new parameter or re-interpret the number of repetitions configured.***  ***Proposal 3: the valid PO is the PO in UL part in a slot, or at least Ngap symbols after the end of the DL part in a slot or after the end of the SSB in a slot.***  ***Proposal 4: the SSB-PUSCH mapping ratio is signalled to UE and if it’s absent, UE will calculate it based on the SSB number and PUSCH resource number in one CG-PUSCH resource.***  ***Proposal 5: if the selected SSB by UE is not within the indicated/determined SSB set, UE switch to RA-SDT.*** |
| R1-2105415  LGE | ***Proposal 1: A UE can be configured with multiple CG configuration indexes for CG-SDT. One or more SSBs are associated to a CG configuration index.***  ***Proposal 2: For a CG configuration index, a PUSCH resource in a CG periodicity can be associated to a set of SSB(s) for CG-SDT. UE selects one associated SSB to transmit on the PUSCH resource.***  ***Proposal 3: For PUSCH repetitions of a TB within a CG periodicity, if configured by gNB, UE can transmit multiple PUSCH resources associated to a same SSB or different SSBs of the set.***  ***Proposal 4: For a CG configuration index, different PUSCH transmissions in different CG periodicities of CG-SDT can be configured to be associated to the same set of SSB(s) or different SSB subsets of the set.***  ***Proposal 5: If one or multiple SSBs are associated with a CG PUSCH resource for CG-SDT and a measured quality of at least one SSB is above a threshold configured by gNB, UE can use the CG PUSCH resource for CG-SDT.***   * ***Even if the best SSB of a cell is not associated to any other CG PUSCH resource but if at least one SSB of which quality is above threshold is associated with a CG PUSCH resource for CG-SDT, UE can use the CG PUSCH resource for CG-SDT.***   ***Proposal 6: If measured quality of any SSB configured for CG-SDT is not above threshold for CG-SDT, UE triggers RACH e.g. for RA-SDT or for reconfiguring CG-SDT.***  ***Proposal 7: A separate SearchSpace that is different from the existing common SearchSpace should be supported for monitoring the PDCCH addressed to CS-RNTI for retransmission of CG-SDT.***  ***Proposal 8: CS-RNTI can be reused for retransmission of CG-SDT.***  ***Proposal 9: For detection of retransmission DCI in response to a CG PUSCH transmission, the UE can assume the PDCCH carrying the DCI has the same DM-RS antenna port quasi co-location properties as for a SSB associated to the CG PUSCH transmission.*** |
| R1-2105453  vivo | **Proposal 1: For CG-SDT, one or multiple DMRS resources per CG configuration are supported.**   * **The number of DMRS ports and/or DMRS sequences per CG configuration can be configured by gNB**   **Proposal 2: Support many-to-one or one-to-one mapping between SSBs and PUSCH resource units within a CG configuration**   * **Mapping ratio between SSBs and PUSCH resource units per CG configuration can be configured by higher layer, e.g. *N* SSB(s) is associated with a PUSCH resource unit.**   **Proposal 3: Each consecutive number of 𝑁 SSB indexes provided for a CG configurationare mapped to the CG PUSCH occasions within the CG configuration in the following order.**   * **first, in increasing order of DMRS resource indexes within a PUSCH occasion, where a DMRS resource index is determined first in an ascending order of a DMRS port index and second in an ascending order of a DMRS sequence index** * **second, in increasing order of time resource indexes for time multiplexed PUSCH occasions within a CG periodicity** * **third, in increasing order of indexes for PUSCH occasions across CG periodicities**   **Proposal 4: If CG-SDT PUSCH repetitions are supported, only PUSCH repetition type A can be configured for CG-SDT.**   * **All PUSCH repetitions are associated with the same SSB(s).** * **A fixed RV sequence for CG-SDT PUSCH repetitions is defined, e.g. RV= {0, 2, 3, 1}.**   **Proposal 5: Further discuss the mapping of mapping of RA-SDT resources and SSBs in RAN1.** |
| R1-2105471  InterDigital | **Proposal 1:** *An SSB associated to a CG-SDT configuration maps to all PUSCH resources of the CG-SDT configuration.*  **Proposal 2:** *No additional SSB-to-PUSCH mapping is introduced within a CG-SDT configuration (no change required to CG configuration).*  **Proposal 3:** *The UE selects RACH-based SDT when there is no valid CG for selection, including when the measured SSB-rsrp is not met for any SDT CG resource.*  **Proposal 4**: *Support reception of HARQ-ACK information for PUSCH transmissions for SDT operation.* |
| R1-2105508  Ericsson | Proposal 1 The set of SSBs is configured in CG PUSCH configuration in RRC release message for the mapping between SSBs to CG PUSCH resources configured by this CG PUSCH configuration.  Proposal 2 RAN1 should further discuss the TDRA for CG PUSCH resource in RRC inactive state.  Proposal 3 Further discuss in RAN1 on how to generate multiple CG PUSCH resources on top of the PO determined by TDRA per CG period.  Proposal 4 Ask RAN2 about the CG period candidate values for SDT.  Proposal 5 The mapping rules used for SSB to RO mapping can be reused by SSB to CG PUSCH mapping.  Proposal 6 Further discuss in RAN1 on whether and how CG SDT can be allowed on flexible symbols when UE is in RRC inactive state. Similar UE behavior for CG PUSCH transmissions in RRC connected state can be followed by UE doing CG based SDT.  Proposal 7 The subset of SSBs used for RSRP calculation is determined by an absolute RSRP threshold  Proposal 8 RSRP change is the difference between RSRP calculated at the time when the UE receives the latest TAC from the network and the RSRP calculated at the time when UE determines TA validation for a CG PUSCH SDT.  Proposal 9 Different RSRP variation thresholds and TAT configuations can be configured for different sets of SSBs configured in different CG PUSCH configuations.  Proposal 10 On top of the TA validation based on RSRP change, support TDOA based crieterial for TA validation in CG based SDT. |
|  |  |