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# 1 Introduction

This document captures the following RAN1#103e RedCap email discussion.

|  |
| --- |
|  [103-e-NR-RedCap-01] Email discussion for TR38.875 update – Johan (Ericsson)* 1st check point: 10/29 (particularly related to any previous agreements)
* 2nd check point: 11/12 further update based on the progress during this e-meeting.
 |

Follow the naming convention in this example:

* *RedCapTRupdateFLS1-v000.docx*
* *RedCapTRupdateFLS1-v001-CompanyA.docx*
* *RedCapTRupdateFLS1-v002-CompanyA-CompanyB.docx*
* *RedCapTRupdateFLS1-v003-CompanyB-CompanyC.docx*

If needed, you may “lock” a spreadsheet file for 30 minutes by creating a checkout file, as in this example:

* Assume CompanyC wants to update *RedCapTRupdateFLS1-v002-CompanyA-CompanyB.docx*.
* CompanyC uploads an empty file named *RedCapTRupdateFLS1-v003-CompanyB-CompanyC.checkout*
* CompanyC then has 30 minutes to upload *RedCapTRupdateFLS1-v003-CompanyB-CompanyC.docx*
* If no update is uploaded in 30 minutes, other companies can ignore the .checkout file.
* Note that the file timestamps on the server are in UTC time.

# 2 Discussion towards the 1st check point (10/29)

The draft TR update in [R1-2007528](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_103-e/Docs/R1-2007528.zip) has been endorsed, except the following update in Section 3.1.

|  |
| --- |
| 3.1 TermsFor the purposes of the present document, the terms given in TR 21.905 [1] and the following apply. A term defined in the present document takes precedence over the definition of the same term, if any, in TR 21.905 [1].**RedCap UE:** NR UE with reduced capabilities compared to a Rel-15 NR UE**~~example:~~** ~~text used to clarify abstract rules by applying them literally.~~ |

**Question 1: Can the proposed update in Section 3.1 be adopted? If not, please comment or provide suggested revisions.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Y/N** | **Comments or suggested revisions** |
| Qualcomm | Y | It would be great to clarify if R17 RedCap UE can support power saving and coverage recovery/enhancement solutions beyond NR Rel-15. |
| CATT | Y | We are generally fine with the update. We can make it even more clear that it is compared to a ‘Rel-15 NR UE with mandatory capability w/o signaling’ |
|  |  |  |

**Question 2: Are there any aspects related to any previous agreements that need to be captured in the TR that are not covered in email discussions for 8.6.1, 8.6.2, 8.6.3, 8.6.4, and 8.6.5? If so, please comment.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Y/N** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |