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# Introduction

In this contribution, we summarize the email reflector discussions for [103-e-NR-Mob-Enh-01]. Chairman has approved the following email discussion:

* [103-e-NR-Mob-Enh-02] Email discussion/approval on the following until 10/29 – Daewon (Intel)
	+ Issue#5 in R1-2008871, issue on handling of SUL and DAPS operation

# Recap of issue from R1-2008871

## Issue #5) Handling of SUL and DAPS capability [6]

[6] notes that Based on existing SUL capabilities, it cannot be unambiguously determined whether UE can or cannot support SUL during DAPS HO. Suggest to send an LS to RAN2 to let them know so that they can take this into account.

* Proposal from [6]:
	+ RAN1 sends a LS to RAN2 informing that from RAN1 perspective simultaneous operation of SUL and DAPS is not supported in Rel-16.

|  |
| --- |
| **1. Overall Description:**RAN1discussed the simultaneous operation of SUL and DAPS and concluded that, in order to limit the UE complexity, RAN1 perspective simultaneous operation of SUL and DAPS is not supported in Rel-16.**2. Actions:****To RAN2:****ACTION:** RAN1 respectfully asks RAN2 to take the above information in to account.  |

# Summary of Email Discussions

The proposal from [6] suggest sending a LS to RAN2 to inform that simultaneous operation of SUL and DAPS is not supported in Rel-16. This discussion can be split into two separate questions.

**Q1)** Do you agree that simultaneous operation of SUL and DAPS is not supported in Rel-16 from RAN1 perspective?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  **Company** | **Agree? (Yes/No)** | **Comments for Q1** |
|  |  |  |

**Q2)** If Q1 is agreeable, should we send an LS to RAN2?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  **Company** | **Send LS (Yes/No)** | **Comments for Q2** |
|  |  |  |

# Summary of Conclusions

To be filled once agreements/conclusions are made in RAN1.
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