**3GPP TSG-RAN WG1 Meeting #103-e R1-200xxxx**

**e-Meeting, October 26th – November 13th, 2020**

**Agenda Item: 7.2.1**

**Source: Moderator (ZTE)**

**Title: Email discussion for NR 2-step RACH**

**Document for: Discussion**

# Introduction

This document is intended to address the following remaining issues for 2-step RACH by email discussion.

[103-e-NR-2Step-01] Email discussion/approval for potential CR(s) including the following issues:

* TP#1 in R1-2008418 (editorial)
* TP#1 in R1-2008785 (correction)
* TP#2 in R1-2008785 (correction/clarification)

till 10/29 – Li (ZTE)

# Editorial change on the “HARQ Feedback Timing Indicator” in 38.213

In R1-2008418, it is proposed to change the description in 38.213 in terms of the field “PDSCH-to-HARQ feedback timing indicator” in the successRAR to “HARQ Feedback Timing Indicator”, so that it is aligned with the field name used in the MAC spec.



***Proposal 1:***

* Adopt the following TP#1 in 38.213, to align the terminology of “HARQ Feedback Timing Indicator” between MAC spec and RAN1 spec.

|  |
| --- |
| **Reasons for change**To align the terminology of “HARQ Feedback Timing Indicator” between MAC spec and RAN1 spec**Summary of changes**Change the terminology of “HARQ Feedback Timing Indicator” in the successRAR to “HARQ Feedback Timing Indicator”.**Specs/Sections impacted**TS 38.213, Section 8.2A-------------------------**Text proposal #1 starts for TS 38.213** ----------------------------8.2A Random access response - Type-2 random access procedureIf the UE detects the DCI format 1\_0, with CRC scrambled by the corresponding MsgB-RNTI and LSBs of a SFN field in the DCI format 1\_0, if applicable, are same as corresponding LSBs of the SFN where the UE transmitted PRACH, and the UE receives a transport block in a corresponding PDSCH within the window, the UE passes the transport block to higher layers. The higher layers indicate to the physical layer- an uplink grant if the RAR message(s) is for fallbackRAR and a random access preamble identity (RAPID) associated with the PRACH transmission is identified, and the UE procedure continues as described in Clauses 8.2, 8.3, and 8.4 when the UE detects a RAR UL grant, or- transmission of a PUCCH with HARQ-ACK information having ACK value if the RAR message(s) is for successRAR, where - a PUCCH resource for the transmission of the PUCCH is indicated by PUCCH resource indicator field of 4 bits in the successRAR from a PUCCH resource set that is provided by *pucch-ResourceCommon* - a slot for the PUCCH transmission is indicated by a HARQ Feedback Timing Indicator field of 3 bits in the successRAR having a value $k$ from {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8} and, with reference to slots for PUCCH transmission having duration $T\_{slot}$, the slot is determined as $n+k+∆$, where $n$ is a slot of the PDSCH reception and $∆$ is as defined for PUSCH transmission in Table 6.1.2.1.1-5 of [6, TS 38.214]- the UE does not expect the first symbol of the PUCCH transmission to be after the last symbol of the PDSCH reception by a time smaller than $N\_{T,1}+0.5$ msec where $N\_{T,1}$ is the PDSCH processing time for UE processing capability 1 [6, TS 38.214]- for operation with shared spectrum channel access, a channel access type and CP extension [15, TS 37.213] for a PUCCH transmission is indicated by a ChannelAccess-CPext field in the successRAR - the PUCCH transmission is with a same spatial domain transmission filter and in a same active UL BWP as a last PUSCH transmission <Unchanged Text Omitted>------------------------- **Text proposal #1 ends for TS 38.214** ------------------------------- |

Any comments?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Correction on the determination of DMRS sequences in 38.211

R1-2008785 pointed out that one TP on the DMRS sequences agreed in RAN1#100b-e has not been correctly implemented in the current TS38.211.

**Decision:** As per email decision posted on May 1st, TP is R1-2003025 is endorsed for inclusion in editor's CR to 38.211.

----------------------------- Start of TP for TS 38.211 ----------------------------

6.4.1.1.1.1 Sequence generation when transform precoding is disabled

<Unchanged Text Omitted>

The quantity $n\_{SCID}\in \left\{0,1\right\}$ is

- indicated by the DM-RS initialization field, if present, either in the DCI associated with the PUSCH transmission if DCI format 0\_1 or 0\_2, in [4, TS 38.212] is used;

- indicated by the higher layer parameter *dmrs-SeqInitialization*, if present, for a Type 1 PUSCH transmission with a configured grant;

- determined by the mapping between preamble(s) and a PUSCH occasion and the associated DMRS resource for a PUSCH transmission of Type-2 random access process in [5, TS 38.213];

- otherwise $n\_{SCID}=0$.

<Unchanged Text Omitted>

----------------------------- End of TP -----------------------------------------------

***Proposal 2:***

* Adopt the following TP#2 in 38.213, to correct the determination of the DMRS sequences.

|  |
| --- |
| **Reasons for change**To correct the determination of the DMRS sequences based on the previous agreement**Summary of changes**Implement the above update**Specs/Sections impacted**TS 38.211, Section 6.4.1.1.1.1-------------------------**Text proposal #2 starts for TS 38.211** ----------------------------6.4 Physical signals6.4.1 Reference signals6.4.1.1 Demodulation reference signal for PUSCH6.4.1.1.1 Sequence generation6.4.1.1.1.1 Sequence generation when transform precoding is disabled<Unchanged Text Omitted>The quantity $n\_{SCID}\in \left\{0,1\right\}$ is- indicated by the DM-RS initialization field, if present, either in the DCI associated with the PUSCH transmission if DCI format 0\_1 or 0\_2, in [4, TS 38.212] is used;- indicated by the higher layer parameter *dmrs-SeqInitialization*, if present, for a Type 1 PUSCH transmission with a configured grant; - determined by the mapping between preamble(s) and a PUSCH occasion and the associated DMRS resource for a PUSCH transmission of Type-2 random access process in [5, TS 38.213];- otherwise $n\_{SCID}=0$.<Unchanged Text Omitted>------------------------- **Text proposal #2 ends for TS 38.211** ------------------------------- |

Any comments?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Correction on the validation rule of PUSCH occasions in 38.213

In R1-2008785, it was proposed to further clarify the validation rule of PUSCH occasions. In the current specification, a PUSCH occasion is valid if it does not overlap in time and frequency with any PRACH occasion associated with either a Type-1 random access procedure or a Type-2 random access procedure. However, there are still two issues about which PRACH occasions should be considered for PUSCH validation. First, if a PUSCH occasion overlapped with an invalid PRACH occasion, the PUSCH occasion will be considered as invalid according to current specification, which can be a waste of PUSCH resource. Second, the PRACH occasions include contention-free PRACH occasions according to current specification. As a UE is not aware of contention-free PRACH occasions separately configured for other UEs, the valid PUSCH occasions and the mapping between PRACH and PUSCH will not be aligned among different UEs. Therefore, it will be preferred only the contention-based PRACH occasions are considered.

***Proposal 3:***

* Adopt the TP#3 in 38.213, to clarify the validation rule of PUSCH occasions.

|  |
| --- |
| **Reasons for change**To correct the validation rule of PUSCH occasions**Summary of changes**Implement the above update**Specs/Sections impacted**TS 38.213, Section 8.1A-------------------------**Text proposal #3 starts for TS 38.213** ----------------------------8.1A PUSCH for Type-2 random access procedure<Unchanged Text Omitted>A PUSCH occasion is valid if it does not overlap in time and frequency with any valid contention based PRACH occasion associated with either a Type-1 random access procedure or a Type-2 random access procedure. Additionally, for unpaired spectrum and for SS/PBCH blocks with indexes provided by *ssb-PositionsInBurst* in *SIB1* or by *ServingCellConfigCommon* <Unchanged Text Omitted>------------------------- **Text proposal #3 ends for TS 38.213** ------------------------------- |

Any comments?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Summary

The final proposals and the potential CRs are to be updated…