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# 1 Introduction

This document provides a summary of the following RAN1 email discussion.

|  |
| --- |
| [103-e-LTE-eMTC5-02] Multi-TB issues – Johan (Ericsson)* Issue #1: Feedback for early termination ([R1-2007713](https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=fbbf041c-a66d1315-fbbe8f53-0cc47a31cdf8-08bc37774253a8a3&q=1&e=31cac414-d755-4f05-8fc7-d03d4bb99eda&u=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.3gpp.org%2Fftp%2Ftsg_ran%2FWG1_RL1%2FTSGR1_103-e%2FDocs%2FR1-2007713.zip), [R1-2008340](https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=02a0ce1c-5f72d915-02a14553-0cc47a31cdf8-83884e3e55dfbb5f&q=1&e=31cac414-d755-4f05-8fc7-d03d4bb99eda&u=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.3gpp.org%2Fftp%2Ftsg_ran%2FWG1_RL1%2FTSGR1_103-e%2FDocs%2FR1-2008340.zip), [R1-2008522](https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=eb9e1a78-b64c0d71-eb9f9137-0cc47a31cdf8-9909d12c299f1100&q=1&e=31cac414-d755-4f05-8fc7-d03d4bb99eda&u=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.3gpp.org%2Fftp%2Ftsg_ran%2FWG1_RL1%2FTSGR1_103-e%2FDocs%2FR1-2008522.zip), [R1-2008692](https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=9658bcd0-cb8aabd9-9659379f-0cc47a31cdf8-68b7e8d31759f7e2&q=1&e=31cac414-d755-4f05-8fc7-d03d4bb99eda&u=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.3gpp.org%2Fftp%2Ftsg_ran%2FWG1_RL1%2FTSGR1_103-e%2FDocs%2FR1-2008692.zip))
* Issue #2: TPC command issue ([R1-2007713](https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=0d193228-50cb2521-0d18b967-0cc47a31cdf8-78e740afab1c8600&q=1&e=31cac414-d755-4f05-8fc7-d03d4bb99eda&u=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.3gpp.org%2Fftp%2Ftsg_ran%2FWG1_RL1%2FTSGR1_103-e%2FDocs%2FR1-2007713.zip))
* Issue #3: Multicast procedure text indentation issue ([R1-2008692](https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=fa511a25-a7830d2c-fa50916a-0cc47a31cdf8-4f261cb551e2cba6&q=1&e=31cac414-d755-4f05-8fc7-d03d4bb99eda&u=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.3gpp.org%2Fftp%2Ftsg_ran%2FWG1_RL1%2FTSGR1_103-e%2FDocs%2FR1-2008692.zip))
* Discussion and decision by 10/29, TPs by 11/5
 |

# 2 Feedback for early termination

Contributions [1][2][3][4] discuss the aspects listed in the following conclusion made in RAN1#102-e:

|  |
| --- |
| RAN1 concludes that the current specification for early termination needs correcting. Continue discussion in RAN1#103-e based on the following points:* Whether explicit feedback should apply to all TBs or a subset of the TBs.
* Whether implicit feedback is supported for multi-TB and, if so, whether it applies to all TBs or a subset of the TBs.
 |

The contributions can be briefly summarized as follows. For detailed discussion and proposals, please refer to the contributions.

* Contribution [1] proposes that explicit feedback applies to individual TBs and that further discussion is needed regarding implicit feedback.
* Contribution [2] proposes that explicit feedback applies to a subset of the TBs and that implicit feedback applies to all TBs.
* Contribution [3] proposes that explicit feedback applies to all TBs and that implicit feedback is supported and to discuss further whether the implicit feedback applies to all TBs or a subset of the TBs.
* Contribution [4] proposes that explicit feedback applies to all TBs and that implicit feedback is not supported.

**Question: Companies are invited to comment below on the explicit and implicit feedback for early termination of uplink multi-TB transmission.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | We can accept the introduction of more advanced solutions than the one we propose in our contribution (which is that explicit feedback applies to all TBs and that implicit feedback is not supported), but in that case we want to see a complete detailed proposal with TPs. We do not want to make agreements in principle without seeing the full proposal. If it is not possible to produce a complete detailed proposal with TPs, we prefer our own proposal (see TP in our contribution). |
| Qualcomm | In [3], we provide a TP for the case of implicit feedback + apply to all TBs, we think this is simple enough while offering the possibility to early terminate with another grant. If there is a complete solution (including TP) for the explicit one, we may be also OK with it. |
| Nokia, NSB | We have no strong view here. Our slight preference is to have explicit feedback for individual or group of TBs. For implicit feedback, we are OK to have it apply to all TBs. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 3 TPC command issue

Contribution [1] notes that the following RAN1#95 agreement may not be captured in the specification.

|  |
| --- |
| For the UL unicast, when multiple TBs are scheduled by one DCI, the following parameter values are the same across all the TBs:* Frequency-hopping flag, TPC command
* FFS: MCS, RV, Resource assignment, Repetition number, Downlink assignment index (TDD-specific)
 |

**Question: Can the 36.213 TP on the TPC command issue below be adopted?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | Considering that it was agreed in previous meetings to not update “PUSCH” to “one or more PUSCH codewords” or similar in the first paragraph in 36.212 clauses 5.3.3.1.10/11, perhaps there is no need to make this update either? |
| Qualcomm | We do not have a strong view, we think it is probably not essential, but maybe worth clarifying. |
| Nokia, NSB | We think the specification is clear enough and this change is not needed. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**TP for 36.213:**

|  |
| --- |
| 5.1.1.1 UE behaviour------------------------------------------------- Text omitted -----------------------------------------For a BL/CE UE configured with CEModeA, if the PUSCH transmission(s), scheduled by one DCI, is transmitted in more than one subframe *i0*, *i1*, …, *iN-1* where *i0*< *i1*< …< *iN-1*, the PUSCH transmit power in subframe *ik* , *k*=0, 1, …, *N*-1, is determined byFor a BL/CE UE configured with CEModeB, the PUSCH transmit power in subframe *ik* is determined by ------------------------------------------------- Text omitted ----------------------------------------- |

# 4 Multicast procedure text indentation issue

Contribution [4] notes that there seems to be an indentation error in the multicast procedure text in 36.213.

**Question: Can the 36.213 TP on the multicast procedure text indentation issue below be adopted?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | Yes |
| Qualcomm | Yes |
| Nokia, NSB | Yes |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**TP for 36.213:**

|  |
| --- |
| 7.1.11 PDSCH subframe assignment for BL/CE UEA BL/CE UE shall upon detection of a MPDCCH with DCI format 6-1A/6-1B/6-2 intended for the UE, decode the corresponding PDSCH in subframe(s) *n+ki* with *i = 0, 1, …, NTBN-1* according to the MPDCCH, where- subframe *n* is the last subframe in which the MPDCCH is transmitted and is determined from the starting subframe of MPDCCH transmission and the DCI subframe repetition number field in the corresponding DCI;- the value of is the number of scheduled TB determined in the corresponding DCI if present, otherwise;- subframe(s) *ni* = *n+ki* with *i=0,1,…, NTBN-1* are *NTBN* consecutive BL/CE DL subframe(s) where,  , the value of  is determined by the repetition number field in the corresponding DCI, where  are given in Table 7.1.11-1, Table 7.1.11-2 and Table 7.1.11-3, respectively and subframe *n+x* is the second BL/CE DL subframe after subframe *n*. - for , - if the UE is configured with higher layer parameter *interleaving* in *ce-PDSCH-MultiTB-Config*, and PDSCH corresponding to a MPDCCH with DCI CRC scrambled by C-RNTI and where  for BL/CE UE configured with CEModeA,  for BL/CE UE configured with CEModeB, - BL/CE DL subframes  with  are associated with TB*r+*1 ,- otherwise,- BL/CE DL subframes  with  are associated with TB*r+*1 ,,- for  and PDSCH corresponding to an MPDCCH with DCI CRC scrambled by G-RNTI,- if higher layer parameter *multiTB-Gap* is configured*,* a scheduling gap with a length equal to the indicated value of *multiTB-Gap* is inserted between TB*r* and TB*r+*1, *r=*0,1,2.*..,NTB*-2.For BL/CE UEs, and for a PDSCH transmission starting in subframe *n+k0* without a corresponding MPDCCH, the UE shall decode the PDSCH transmission in subframe(s) *n+ki* with *i = 0, 1, …, N-1,* where - subframe(s) *n+ki* with *i=0,1,…,N-1* are *N* consecutive BL/CE DL subframe(s), where *0≤k0<k1<…,kN-1* and the value of  is determined by the repetition number field in the activation DCI, where  are given in Table 7.1.11-1, Table 7.1.11-2 and Table 7.1.11-3, respectively.------------------------------------------------- Text omitted -----------------------------------------  |
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