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# Introduction

In this contribution, we summarize the email discussion approved for discussion during RAN1 #101-E. Chairman has approved three email discussion threads for RAN1 #101-E. The following are the approved email discussions:

* [101-e-NR-Mob-Enh-01] Email discussion/approval of Issue #1 (UL cancellation for DAPS) and #3 (UL overlapping transmission) in R1-2003747 by 5/29; if necessary, endorse the associated TPs by 6/4 – Daewon (Intel)
* [101-e-NR-Mob-Enh-02] Email discussion/approval of Issue #5 (Power sharing mode for UL DAPS-HO) in R1-2003747 by 5/28; if necessary, endorse the associated TPs by 6/3– Daewon (Intel)
* [101-e-NR-Mob-Enh-03] Email discussion/approval of Issue #6 (PDCCH monitoring in DL DAPS-HO) in R1-2003747 by 5/28; if necessary, endorse the associated TPs by 6/2– Daewon (Intel)

This contribution summarizes the email discussion for [101-e-NR-Mob-Enh-03].

# Email Discussion [101-e-NR-Mob-Enh-03]

This discussion is regarding the PDCCH/PDSCH restrictions for DL DAPS-HO (Issue #6 from [11]).

**Issue and Proposal Summary based on [4][5][6][8]:**

Contribution in [4] mentioned that the overbooking rules for DAPS-HO is more stringent than what was agreed in RAN1 #99 and suggests changing the specification to limit the overbooking in the target MCG only. Contribution in [6] clarified on the overbooking rules for source and target. In addition, The PDCCH blind detection for MCG1 and MCG2 capability was decided to be removed since RAN2 decided to not support SCell during DAPS HO. Contribution [4] and [5] suggests removing the corresponding text from specification.

* Text Proposal from [4]:

## TP #1

|  |
| --- |
| 15 Dual active protocol stack based handover*< Unchanged parts are omitted >*~~The UE can provide~~ *~~pdcch-BlindDetectionMCG1-UE~~* ~~to indicate a capability to monitor a maximum number of PDCCH candidates per slot that corresponds to~~ $N\_{cells}^{target}$ ~~downlink cells for the target MCG and~~ *~~pdcch-BlindDetectionMCG2-UE~~* ~~to indicate a capability monitor a maximum number of PDCCH candidates per slot that corresponds to~~ $N\_{cells}^{source}$ ~~downlink cells for the source MCG.~~ If the UE is provided search space sets on both the target MCG and the source MCG, the UE does not expect to have in any slot any USS set without allocated PDCCH candidates for monitoring on ~~both~~ the target MCG ~~and the source MCG~~. |

* Text Proposal from [5]:

## TP #2

|  |
| --- |
| For intra-frequency DAPS HO operation, the UE expects that an active DL BWP and an active UL BWP on the target cell are within an active DL BWP and an active UL BWP on the source cell, respectively.~~The UE can provide~~ *~~pdcch-BlindDetectionMCG1-UE~~* ~~to indicate a capability to monitor a maximum number of PDCCH candidates per slot that corresponds to~~ $N\_{cells}^{target}$ ~~downlink cells for the target MCG and~~ *~~pdcch-BlindDetectionMCG2-UE~~* ~~to indicate a capability monitor a maximum number of PDCCH candidates per slot that corresponds to~~ $N\_{cells}^{source}$ ~~downlink cells for the source MCG.~~ If the UE is provided search space sets on both the target MCG and the source MCG, the UE does not expect to have in any slot any USS set without allocated PDCCH candidates for monitoring on both the target MCG and the source MCG. |

* Proposal in [6]
	+ Confirm that the specification related to PDCCH overbooking during DAPS HO implies that overbooking is allowed in source or target.
* Proposal in [8]
	+ Clarify the agreement made in RAN1 meeting #99 and allow overbooking in source MCG during the DAPS HO.

## TP #3

|  |
| --- |
| The UE can provide *pdcch-BlindDetectionMCG1-UE* to indicate a capability to monitor a maximum number of PDCCH candidates per slot that corresponds to $N\_{cells}^{target}$ downlink cells for the target MCG and *pdcch-BlindDetectionMCG2-UE* to indicate a capability monitor a maximum number of PDCCH candidates per slot that corresponds to $N\_{cells}^{source}$ downlink cells for the source MCG. If the UE is provided search space sets on both the target MCG and the source MCG, the UE does not expect to have in any slot any USS set without allocated PDCCH candidates for monitoring on ~~both~~ the target MCG ~~and the source MCG~~. |

**Discussion Summary:**

There seems to be two issues.

1. Correction of specification text from removal of PDCCH monitoring capability for DAPS.
	* TP #2
2. Issue of overbooking in source MCG during DAPS HO.
	* TP #3

Companies are encouraged to provide comments on the proposal above. Comments should include views on whether TP #2 and TP #3 are acceptable or not. Also, if companies have a modified/reformulated proposal based on proposals from above companies, please do provide them below as well.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company Name | Comments/Views |
| Ericsson | Issue 1: support to endorse TP #2Issue 2: support to endorse TP #3 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Conclusion of the Email Discussion [101-e-NR-Mob-Enh-03]

**Summary of email discussion outcome:**

* xxx
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