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# Introduction

The FL summary of the proposals in the submitted contributions ([1]-[12]) for Rel.16 NR\_eMIMO MU-CSI maintenance is given below and categorized under the following sections:

* *H*igh priority (essential)
* *E*ditorial
* *L*ow priority (non-essential)

Proposals on Rel.16 draft shadow CRs are not summarized here since they are to be discussed as a part of Rel.15 maintenance.

Summary

## High priority (essential)

The following issues pertain to some ambiguity in the current description of the specs and may have some significant impact on spec completeness and/or UE implementation. Some of these issues, however, are still subject to further assessment.

Table 1 High-priority (essential)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Issue** | **Description/Proposal** | **Companies** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Editorial

The following issues pertain to relative simple editorial corrections which are valid and not expected to be contentious. Some textual refinement may be fitting and can be discussed.

Table 2 Editorial

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Issue #** | **Description/Proposal** | **Companies** |
| E.1: typographical correction (missing dash sign in RRC parameter name) | < Start of the text proposal >  5.2.2.2.5 Enhanced Type II Codebook  < Unchanged parts are omitted >  The parameter is configured with the higher-layer parameter *numberOfPMI-SubbandsPerCQI-Subband*.  < End of the text proposal > | Huawei/HiSi |
| E.2: typographical correction (correction on clause #) | 6.3.2.1.2 CSI --------------- Unchanged parts omitted -------------  Table 6.3.2.1.2-2A: PMI of *codebookType=* *typeII-PortSelection-r16*  Note: the bitwidth for , and shown in Table 6.3.2.1.2-2A is the total bitwidth of , and up to Rank = , respectively, and the corresponding per layer bitwidths are , , and 4, (i.e., 1, 3, and 4 bits for each respective indicator elements , , and , respectively), where as defined in Clause 5.2.2.2.5 in [6, TS 38.214] is the number of nonzero coefficients for layer such that | LGE |
|  |  |  |

## Non-essential

The following issues pertain to non-essential proposals with some potential specification impact which are not intended to address incomplete or faulty functions. Therefore, they will not be discussed during the eMeeting.

Table 3 Low-priority (non-essential)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Issue #** | **Proposal(s)** | **Companies** |
| N.1: R=2 for BWP size < 24 PRBs | *Proposal 1: UE is not expected to be configured with R =2 for BWP size less than 24 PRBs.*  FL assessment: unclear if this proposal is relevant at all since there is no support for Rel.16 eTypeII when BWP size < 24 PRBs. | vivo |
| N.2: SCI payload for rank 1 | *Proposal 1: bits are used to indicate the strongest coefficients for RI=1, where*  FL assessment: optimization | CATT |
| N.3: Parameter combination | *Proposal 1: For Rel-16 Type II CSI reporting, the minimum number of CSI subbands can be summarized as the following, where the number of CSI subbands is defined as the number of 1’s in csi-ReportingBand.*   * *For parameter setting 1*   + *To support up to rank 2 CSI reporting, the minimum number of CSI subbands is 5*   + *To support up to rank 3 CSI reporting, the minimum number of CSI subbands is 9*   + *To support up to rank 4 CSI reporting, the minimum number of CSI subbands is 13* * *For parameter setting 2*   + *To support up to rank 3 CSI reporting, the minimum number of CSI subbands is 5*   + *To support up to rank 4 CSI reporting, the minimum number of CSI subbands is 5* * *For parameter setting 3*   + *To support up to rank 3 CSI reporting, the minimum number of CSI subbands is 5*   + *To support up to rank 4 CSI reporting, the minimum number of CSI subbands is 5*   FL assessment: optimization | Apple |
| N.4: K0 | *Text Proposal 1. Modify the definition of , by introducing a minimum value, , from one of the following alternatives*  *1a. , to ensure that a UE can report one NZC per polarisation for rank*  *1b. , to ensure that a UE can report one NZC per polarisation for rank*  *2a. , to ensure that a UE can report one NZC for each selected beam for rank*  *2b. , to ensure that a UE can report one NZC for each selected beam for rank for*  FL assessment: optimization | Nokia/NSB |
| N.5: CBSR | ---------------------------- Start of proposed TP for TS38.214 ----  **5.2.2.2.5 Enhanced Type II Codebook**  --- Unchanged text omitted ---------  The bitmap parameter *n1-n2‑codebookSubsetRestriction-r16* forms the bit sequence and configures the vector group indices as in clause 5.2.2.2.3. Bits indicate the maximum allowed average amplitude, (), with , of the coefficients associated with the vector in group indexed by , where the maximum amplitudes are given in Table 5.2.2.2.5-6 and the average coefficient amplitude is restricted as follows    for , and . is the set of indices of the selected beams that are not associated with any of the sets of group indices g(k) for *k*=0,1,2,3 described in 5.2.2.3. A UE that does not report the parameter *amplitudeSubsetRestriction*='supported' in its capability signaling is not expected to be configured with or .  --- Unchanged text omitted ---------  ----------------------------End of proposed TP for TS38.214 ----  FL assessment: optimization | MotM/Lenovo |
| N.6: size of InS | *Proposal 1: When , the size of the intermediate set is give by for RI={1,2,3,4}, where is the number of FD bases selected for RI={1,2}.*  *Proposal 2: For eType II and eType II port-selection, support if .*  FL assessment: optimization | Qualcomm |

## Preparatory email discussion (04/21-24):

In addition to the captured comments in the above subsections, some additional comments can be summarized below. Some of the comments below are also relevant for the second phase of the eMeeting discussion.

Table 4 Additional comments

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

FL proposal for phase-2 discussion

Based on the above summary and inputs from the participants, three sub-threads (discussion topics) will be started during the official discussion week of the RAN1#101-e eMeeting.

XYZ
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